



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology

Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347 - 6435 (Online) Volume 2, Issue 4, April 2014)

Genetic Variability For Seed Yield And Its Component Characters In Wheat (*Triticum Aestivum* L.) Under Allahabad Agro-Climatic Conditions.

Madan Maurya¹, A. K. Chaurasia², Arvind Kumar³, C. L. Maurya⁴, B. M. Bara⁵, Mukesh kumar⁶, Prashant K. Rai⁷

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding

^{1,2,3,5,7}Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Allahabad 211007

^{4,6}C.S. Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur -208002

Abstract-- The present investigation was carried out with 40 genotypes during Rabi 2006-07 in RBD having three replications of field Experimentation Center of Department of Genetic and Plant Breeding, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Allahabad. The mean performance of genotypic IBWSN 1062, IBWSN 1056, IBWSN 1069, IBWSN 1068 and IBWSN 1077 was recorded highest for yield and yield per plant, days to maturity, plant height, spike length, 1000 grain weight, grain yield per plant, respectively. The analysis of variance revealed highly significant differences studied. The highest genetic variability was observed for yield per plant followed by number of grains per spike, 1000 grain weight and plant height. The highest phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV) was recorded for yield per plant followed 1000 grain weight and number of grains per spike. The highest genotypic coefficient variance (GCV) was observed for yield per plant while the characters 1000 grains weight, grain per spike and spike length showed moderate value of GCV indicating that there could be used as selection indices for yield improvement. Higher heritability, in broad sense, was recorded for yield per plant, 1000 grain weight, grain per spike and plant height while moderate heritability observed for days to heading days to maturity and spike length. High heritability indicates that heritability may be due to higher contribution of genetic component and thus the traits may be improved by progeny selection. Estimates of genetic advance as percent of mean were highest for yield per plant, grains per spike and 1000 grain weight

Keyword-- Wheat, Genetic Advance, Heritability (Broad Sense), Genotypic Variance, Phenotypic Variance, GCV and PCV

I. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important staple food grains of human race and also the world's most widely cultivated food crop and second most important staple food crop next to rice in India (FAO 2007). Among the cultivated food cereals, it occupies a pride place. In respect to area and production it occupies the second position, being exceeded only by rice.

In the India sub continent wheat has been under cultivation from pre historic time. It provides 20 percent of the total food calories of human requirement. It contributes towards food front to the time 36 percent of world population and provides 20 percent of total calorie supply. India stands fourth in both the area and production of wheat in the world. The study of genetic variability and heritability is the pre-requisites for any crop improvement program. Success in recombination breeding depends on the suitable exploitation of genotypes as parents for obtaining high heterotic cross and transgressive segregates heritability and genetic advance are other important selection parameters. The estimates of heritability help the plant breeders in determining the character for which selection would be rewording. The breeders are interested in selection of superior genotypes based on their phenotypic expression. The major function of heritability estimates is to provide to the progeny. Heritability estimates can anticipate improvement by selection of useful characters.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during Rabi seasons of 2006–2007 at Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Allahabad, Research Farm in Department of Genetic and Plant breeding. The experiment comprising of 40 genotypes were conducted in a randomized block design with three replications. Each genotypes was accommodated in a double row two meters length spaced at 25 cm with an approximate plant to plant spacing 4 cm and data were recorded on five randomly selected plants from each treatment and each replication for days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, spike length, number of grains per spike, yield per plant, 1000 grains weight. Analysis of variance (Table No.1) for all characters were carried out using the method of Burli *et al.* (2004) and individual comparison of varieties mean.

Genetic, Coefficient of variation (GCV & PCV) and heritability, genetics advance were calculated to observe the different traits **Johnsen et al. (1955)**, **Hanson et al. (1963)** and **Burton and Devane (1953)**.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analyses of variance for different 7 characters are present in **Table 1**. The result showed significant difference for mean sum of square at 5 and 1% level for all the characters under study among 40 genotypes. These characters are Days to 50% heading, Days to maturity, Plant height (cm), Grain per spike, Yield per plant (gm), 1000 grain weight (gm) and Spike length (cm). This suggested that the genotype selected for research were quite variable and constant levels of variability are present among them. Thus indicating amply scope for selection of different qualitative characters in wheat improvement.

Genetic parameters of yield and their components are given in **Table 2**. In the present study, the highest genetic variability and phenotypic variability was observed for yield per plant (gm) followed by grain per spike, 1000 grain weight, Plant height, days to 50% heading, days to maturity and spike length (cm) indicating that the genotypes. Similar findings were reported by **Ansari et al. (2005)**, **Khan et al. (2004)**. The highest value of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was higher than that of the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the recorded observation was its yield per plant (gm) followed by grain per spike, 1000 grain weight, Plant height, days to 50% heading, days to maturity and spike length (cm). Environmental coefficient of variation was very low as compared to phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation indicating less environmental influence. Earlier workers namely. **Singh et al. (2006)**, **Sharma and Garg (2002)**. Heritability and genetic advance are two complementary concepts. The estimates of heritability in broad sense in the present study were found to be high for yield per plant, 1000 grain weight and grains per spike. It was estimated to be moderate for plant height, days to heading, days to maturity while it was low for spike length. High value indicates that heritability may be due to higher contribution of genotypic component. Thus the traits may be improved by progeny selection. High heritability estimates were reported by **Gupta et al. (2004)**, and **Mittal and Sethi (2005)**.

The estimates of expected genetic advance showed that the highest genetic advance was exhibited by yield per plant (gm) followed by grain per spike, 1000 grain weight and plant height. Similar finding was reported by **Gupta and Verma (2000)**, **Pawar et al. (2002)**, **Singh et al. (2006)**.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anasri, K. A., Soomre, Z. A., Ansari, B. A. and Leghari, M. H. (2005) Genetic variability and heritability studies of some quantitative traits in bread wheat. *Pak. J. of Ari. Eng. Veteri. Sci.*, 21: 18-24.
- [2] Burli, A.V., More, S.M., Gare, B.N. and Dodake, S.S. (2004) Studies on genetic variability and heritability in chickpea under residual soil moisture condition. *J. of Maharashtra Agri. Uni.*, 29(3): 353-354.
- [3] Burton, G.W. and DeVane (1953) Estimating heritability in tall fescus from replicated clonal material. *Agron. J.* 45: 474-481.
- [4] FAO (2007) Selected indicator of food and agricultural development in asia pacific region. 2005–2006. *Rapa publication 2007/7*: p198.
- [5] Gupta, A. K. and Verma, S. R. (2000). Variability, heritability and genetic advance under normal and rainfed condition in durum wheat. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 34 (2):122-125.
- [6] Gupta, R. S., Singh, R. P. and Tiwari, D. K. (2004) Analysis of heritability and genetic advance in bread wheat. *Advance in Pl. Sci.* 17 (1): 303-305.
- [7] Hanson, W. D. (1963) Heritability statistical genetic and plant breeding. *NAS, NRC Washington public.* 982: 125 – 140.
- [8] Jahnsn, H. W., Robinsson, H. F. and Constock, R. E. (1955) Genotypic and Phenotypic correlation in soyabean and their implication in selection. *Agron J.* 74: 447-483.
- [9] Khan, K. F., Khan, M. K. and Mushtaq- kazmi (2004) Genetic variability among wheat cultivars for yield and yield components under the agro ecological conditions. *Sarhad J of Agri.* 20 (20):391-394.
- [10] Mittal, R. K. and Sethi, G. S. (2005). Genetic variability in triticale and bread wheat. *Journal of Envi. Bio.* 26 (6): 73-81.
- [11] Pawar, S. V., Patil, S. C., Naik, R. M. and Jambhale, V. M. (2002). Genetic variability and heritability in wheat. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* 27 (3): 324-325.
- [12] Sharma, A. K. and Garg, D. K. (2002). Genetic variability in wheat crosses under different normal and saline environments. *Annals Agric. Res.*, 23 (3): 497-499.
- [13] Singh, K. N., Neeraj, Vinod, K., and Neeraj Kulshreshtha (2006). Genetic variability of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) line for grain yield and component character. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 76 (7): 414-419.
- [14] Singh, S. P., Jha, P. B. and Singh, D. N. (2001). Genetic variability for polygenic traits in late sown wheat genotypes. *Annals Agric. Res.*, 22 (1):34-36.

Table No. 1
Analysis of Variance for 40 wheat genotypes.

S.N.	Characters	Means Sum of Squares		
		Replications (d. f. = 1)	Treatments (d. f. = 39)	Error (d. f. = 39)
1.	Days to 50% heading	01.51	18.41**	02.33
2.	Days to maturity	07.20	06.38**	02.12
3.	Plant height (cm)	22.89**	49.85**	01.11
4.	Grain per spike	00.45	72.71**	01.12
5.	Yield per plant (gm)	03.94*	144.13**	00.77
6.	1000 grain weight (gm)	7.07**	55.89**	00.65
7.	Spike length (cm)	01.63*	1.05**	00.37

*, ** indicates significance @ 5% and 1% respectively

Table: 2
Genetic parameters of 7 quantitative characters for 40 wheat genotypes.

S. No.	Characters	σ^2_g	σ^2_p	GCV %	PCV%	ECV %	h^2 (bs)%	GA	GG
1.	Days to 50% heading	8.04	10.37	3.45	3.90	1.85	77.51	5.14	6.22
2.	Days to maturity	2.09	4.22	1.20	1.70	1.20	49.64	2.10	1.73
3.	Plant height (cm)	24.39	25.45	4.79	4.89	1.00	95.82	9.96	9.65
4.	Grain per spike	35.79	36.91	12.51	12.70	2.21	96.97	12.14	25.38
5.	Yield per plant (gm)	71.68	72.45	37.78	37.98	3.92	98.94	17.35	77.42
6.	1000 grain weight (gm)	27.62	28.27	12.60	12.75	1.93	97.71	10.70	25.66
7.	Spike length (cm)	0.34	0.71	5.28	7.66	5.55	47.56	0.83	7.51

Where as:- σ^2_g Genotypic Variability, σ^2_p Phenotypic variability, GCV % Genotypic Coefficient of Variation PCV% Phenotypic coefficient of variation ECV % Environmental coefficient of variation, h^2 (bs)% Heritability (broad Sence), GA Genetic Advance, GG Genetic Gain