

Texutural Characteristics and Depositional Environment of Olistostromal Sandstone of Ukhrul, Manipur

Dr Thokchom Devala Devi

Patkai Christian College (Autonomous), Chumukedima-Seithekema, Dimapur-797103

Abstract-- Grain size analysis of olistostromal sandstone samples associated with Nagaland-Manipur Ophiolite Belt in and around Ukhrul Town which lies between $24^{\circ}25'$ - $25^{\circ}10'$ N latitude and $94^{\circ}10'-94^{\circ}30'$ E longitudes has been carried out to find out textural characteristics and depositional environment. These sandstones are highly variable in size and shape; texturally and mineralogical immature. Most of these sandstones have unimodal distribution. Median values vary between 0.3φ -3.75 φ and mean size varies from 0.86φ -3.81 φ . They are positively, negatively as well as nearly symmetrically skewed. Bivariate and multivariate analysis indicates diversity in the depositional environment. However marine and turbidity is the most dominant environment.

Keyword-- Grain size analysis, depositional environment, Nagaland-Manipur Ophiolite Belt, olistostromal sandstone.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sediment texture refers to the shape, size and threedimensional arrangement of the particles that make up sediment or a sedimentary rock. Particle size distribution in a clastic sedimentary rock is sensitive to the physical changes of the transporting media and the depositional basin. Systematic presentation and analysis of grain size data provide basis for the reconstruction of sedimentary processes including identification of depositional environment.

Since nineties sedimentologists are using grain size data for the interpretation of sedimentary processes. An earliest effort for the systematic analysis of grain size data was made by Udden (1898, 1914), Wentworth (1922).

Oseen (1913) and Rubey (1933) developed equations to extend the limit of settling velocity techniques to measure the size of coarse silt. Trask (1932), Krumbein (1934), Krumbein and Pettijohn (1938), Otto (1939), Twenhofel and Tyler (1941), Inman (1952), Spencer (1952) and others advocated the application of statistical techniques to characterise the frequency distribution of clastic sediments. Application of granulometric analysis in hydrodynamics and environmental interpretation was emphasised by Hjulstorm (1939), Doeglas (1946), Inman (1949), Bagnold (1946, 1956), Passega (1957, 1964), Folk and Ward (1957), Mason and Folk (1958), Harris (1958a), Roger and Head (1961), Moss (1963), Sahu (1964), Krinsley and Funnell (1965), Klovan (1966), Friedman (1967), Koldijk (1968), Chappell (1967), Moiola and Weiser (1968), Hails and Hoyt (1969), Visher (1969), Buller and Mc Manus (1972), Qidwai and Cassyap (1978), Swan et al. (1978); and others. Recent works by different researchers, viz. Mahendar (1996), Joshep et al (1997), Hanamgond and Chavadi (1998) Majumdar and Ganapati (1998), Murkute (2001a, 2001b) Raman and Reddy (2001), Bhat et al (2002), Rao et al (2005), Burhanuddin (2007), Rabindra et al (2008), Ashok and Rupesh (2009a, 2009b), Ashok and Neloy Khare (2009) and others amply testify the significance of the grain size study. Present paper is an attempt to investigate the grain size distribution, statistical parameters and their interelationship of olistostromal sandstones associated with Nagaland - Manipur Ophiolite belt in and around Ukhrul, Manipur which lies between 24°25'-25°10'N latitude and 94 ° 10'-94 ° 30'E longitudes (Fig. 1).





Figure 1: Location map of the study area.



II. GEOLOGY OF THE AREA

Oldham (1883) was the pioneer worker who gave a geological picture of Manipur State. Evan (1932) described the rocks occurring in the east of Imphal valley as mainly Disangs and those in west as Barails. Vandemmlen (1949) gave first tectonic picture of the north-eastern region of India. Dayal and Duara (1963) and Daura and Debadhikari (1968) carried out systematic geological mapping in several parts of the state. Hill ranges of Manipur and the adjoining states belong to Indo-Myanmar Ranges (IMR) and have been correlated with the Alpine Orogeny (Brunnschweiler 1966). These ranges pass northward into a belt of NW-SE trending structures linking them with the Himalayan-Indonesian Orogenic Belt (Khin and Win, 1969). In this belt, dismembered Late Mesozoic-Eocene Ophiolitic rocks are juxtaposed towards west against a folded sequence of shelf-turbiditic Palaeogene Sediments (Acharyva 1991). In and around Ukhrul metamorphic complex is considered as the oldest formation. It is exposed in the eastern most part of the area and lies unconformably under the N-S to NNE-SSE trending arcuate belt of Ophiolite-Melange Suite. Chaotic assemblages of basic and ultrabasic intrusive and extrusive of peridotite, gabbro, serpentinite, chert, limestone, shale, sandstone, conglomerate etc. form this ophiolite-melange suite.

Disangs and Barails lies above this suite. A generalised geological succession is presented in Table 1. In the study area, arenaceous exotic blocks occur at Ukhrul town, Hungdung, Samsai, Gamnom, Shangching and Khangkhui. In Middle Hungdung the exotic sandstone blocks are earthy in colour, thickly bedded, coarse grained and highly friable. At places these sandstones are overlain by conglomerate. In lower Hungdung they are hard and compact, light-brown in colour. At places thin bands of clay occurs along the bedding planes of the sandstone blocks. A huge body of exotic sandstone is exposed along the road section, near Hungdung cement bus stop. They are thickly bedded medium to coarse grained, very hard and compact, highly jointed and fractured. Samples collected from the border area between Hungdung and Ukhrul town is quartzitic in nature, dark grey in colour, very hard compact and moderately coarse grained. Samples from Khangkhui, Samsai are course grained, reddish colour, hard and compact. Sample from Gamnom is medium grained, very hard and compact whitish in colour having calcareous cement. Dimension of these exotic bodies range from 150m×100m to about 500m×200m.

Table 1
GEOLOGICAL SUCCESSION AROUND UKHRUL, MANIPUR (MODIFIED AFTER MITRA ET AL., 1986)

Age	Unit	Lithology		
Upper Eocene to Oligocene	Barail	Massive sandstone, alternation of shale and sandstone, and bedded		
	Group	structure.		
		- Gradational contact		
Cretaceous to Upper Eocene	Disang	Fine grained grey coloured sandstones, dark grey, splintery shales		
	Group	interbedded with sandy-shale and siltstone in upper part. Argillaceous		
	grey shales interbedded with mudstones and minor amount of siltstone			
		and argillaceous sandstone in lower part.		
		Tectonic contact		
Upper Cretaceous to Mid-Eocene	Ophiolite-	Basic and ultrabasic intrusive and extrusive of peridotite, gabbro,		
	Melange	serpentinite, chert, limestone, shale, sandstone, gritstone conglomerate,		
	Suite	etc.		
	~~~~	Unconformity		
Pre-Mesozoic or older	Metamorph	Low to medium grade metamorphic rocks of various compositions,		
	ic Complex	phyllitic schists, quartzites, micaceous-quartzite, quartz-chlorite-mica		
schists, and marble, etc.				
	~~~	Unconformity		
Basement Complex Unseen				

III. METHODOLOGY

Depending upon the nature, grain size from seventy two sandstone samples collected from different locations are measured thin section, sieving and pipetting techniques. Most of these samples area are hard and compact, as a result thin section method is adopted on sixty-six samples for detail grain size analysis, while only six samples which are friable and easily disaggregated undergo sieving and pipetting method.



Grain size data of 72 samples are presented graphically in the form of histograms, frequency curves and cumulative frequency curves. The inflection points and percentage of sediment loads in different modes of transportation were determined from cumulative frequency curves prepared after sieving and pipetting data. Various statistical parameters were computed using the formulae of Folk and Ward (1957), Folk (1980), Reinick and Singh (1980), Pettijohn (1984), Lindholmn (1987) and Sengupta (1996). The depositional processes and environments of the olistostromal sandstones associated with Nagaland-Manipur Ophiolite belt in and around Ukhrul, Manipur were found out from different bivariate plots of Moiola and Weiser (1968) Friedman (1961), Stewart (1958), and Passega (1957, 1964) and discriminant function proposed by Sahu (1964).

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Histograms: Analysis of histogram reveals that only few samples show bimodal distribution while others show uni-modality. Bimodality may be due to the mixing of the sediments from different provenance or sub-populations. In most of the cases modal class lies in $1-2\phi$ (0.25 to 0.50mm).

Frequency curves: Frequency curves show positive, negative as well as nearly symmetrical distribution. Such highly variable nature of the frequency curves indicates

fluctuation in the energy condition at the time of deposition of these sediments.

Cumulative curves: Cumulative curves plotted on the probability ordinate scale do not form continuous straight line (Fig. 2). Excepting few samples curves plotted for the present data show two, three or more straight line segments. Each segment has different slope that indicates the presence of more than one population of grains. Each of this population is related to different mode of transportation-traction, saltation and suspension (Doeglas, 1946; Visher, 1969 and Moss, 1962 and 1963). Cumulative curves of the present study indicate that saltation is the major process of transport though traction and suspension have also played some role during deposition of these sediments. Slope angles of line segments in saltation mode vary from 16° to 55°, indicating poor sorting (Qidwai and Cassyap, 1978). In most of the curves, the breaks are not sharp enough, which suggest the mixing of detritus carried by currents with different energy (Sharda and Verma 1977) or they may be the products of different provenance. The percentages of materials carried in different modes of transportations and inflection points for those data derived from sieving and pippeting were presented in Table 2 and 3. Loads on traction, saltation and suspension show wide variation. This indicates the highly variability in competency of the transporting agency.



Figure 2: Arithmetic probability curves.



Percentage Of Sediments Carried In Different Modes Of Transportation.

Sample no	Traction	Saltation	Suspension
2	15.1000	75.9673	7.7076
5	16.2044	77.8826	4.7644
6	56.3300	38.8196	4.2220
7	52.2500	43.4746	3.8850
13	48.8044	38.0936	7.6568
31	20.7367	76.2064	2.6768

 Table 3

 Number And Position Of Inflection Points In Cumulative Frequency Curves

-	r	
Sample	Number of	Position of inflection
no	inflection points	points in phi-scale
2	03	1, 2, 3
5	04	0, 1, 2, 3
6	03	0, 3, 5
7	03	1, 2, 3
13	02	0, 2
31	05	-1, 0, 2, 5, 6

Statistical parameters: The data presented in histograms, frequency curves and cumulative frequency curves have pictoral value and gives crude general idea about the sediment. To get detail comparisons various statistical methods have been suggested out of which graphical method is relatively simple and widely used. In the present study graphical method is used. Graphic measures like median, mean, standard deviation, skewness and kurtosis indicate high variability (Table 4). The median value ranges from 0.3ϕ to 3.75ϕ . Mean size of the study are ranges between 0.86¢ and 3.81¢. This highly variation in grain size indicates the variation in the kinetic energy at the time of deposition. In case of skewness, out of total samples 31 are fine skewed, 23 are very fine skewed and 14 are nearly symmetrical and only four samples are coarse skewed. Fine tail distribution is more common that suggest high kinetic energy of the depositional basin. Standard deviation values range from 0.34 to 1.75 and suggest that except one sample which is well sorted sandstone, others were deposited in the fluvial environment (Friedman, 1961).

In order to discriminate the depositional environments of the arenecuous rocks, the bivariate scatter plots as suggested by Moiola and Weiser (1968) and Friedman (1961) have been used in the present analysis. Bivariate plots of the study area indicate that most of the samples were deposited in the fluvial environment whereas few are in near shore environment. Mean size vs standard deviation (Fig.3A) and skewness vs mean size plots (Fig. 3C) reveals that few samples were deposited in beach and rest in the fluvial environment. Skewness vs standard deviation plot (Fig.3B) after Moiola and Weiser (1968) indicates that only five samples falls in the field of beach while others within the field of fluvial environment. Skewness vs standard deviation plot (Fig.3D) after Friedman (1961) indicates that only one sample falls in the field of beach environment and others within the field of fluvial environment. Analysis of the C-M diagram (Fig 3E) reveals that these arenacous rocks were deposited under diverse conditions by different process.

The statistical parameters are also used to discriminate the depositional environment following discriminant function analysis after Sahu (1964).

The following classifications are used in order to distinguish the different depositional environments:

 $\begin{array}{l} Y_{aeolian:beach} > -2.7411---beach environment\\ Y_{aeolian:beach} < -2.7411---aeolian environment\\ Y_{beach:marine} < 65.3650---beach environment\\ Y_{beach:marine} > 65.3650---shallow marine environment\\ Y_{marine:fluvial} < -7.4190---fluvial environment\\ Y_{marine:fluvial} > -7.4190---shallow marine environment\\ Y_{fluvial:turbidite} < 9.8433---turbidity environment\\ Y_{fluvial:turbidite} > 9.8433---fluvial environment\\ \end{array}$

Analysis of data generated from the discriminant functions (table 5) reveals that arenaceous rocks of the study were deposited under diverse conditions. Maximum numbers of samples show combine effect of fluvial, beach, marine, and turbidity environment. However marine and turbidity is the most dominant environment.

 Table 4

 Size Parameters And Characteristics Of Olistostromal SandstoneS

Sample	Median	Mean	Standard	Skewness	Kurtosis
No			Deviation		
1	1.89	2.22	0.89	0.29	0.89
2	2.3	2.26	1.36	0.07	1.63
3	2.35	2.52	0.95	-0.17	0.87
4	2.27	2.43	1.08	-0.01	0.97
5	2.3	2.21	1.45	0.13	2.45
6	1.8	1.3	1.74	-0.17	0.83
7	1.6	1.6	1.38	-0.2	1.04
8	2.19	2.41	1	0.05	0.87
9	2.1	2.42	0.76	0.26	1.09
10	0.89	1.41	1.1	0.36	1.01
11	2.35	2.45	1	-0.15	0.85
12	1.12	1.47	0.83	0.13	1.06
13	1.05	1.25	1.64	0.28	1.25
14	0.81	1.38	1.04	0.34	1.12
16	2.1	2.26	1.06	-0.04	0.96
17	1.15	1.55	1.14	0.19	1.13
18	0.3	0.87	1.15	0.31	0.96
19	2.42	2.52	0.92	-0.03	0.9
20	2.35	2.45	1.03	-0.06	0.95
21	2.65	2.7	0.95	-0.1	0.84
22	2.35	2.54	0.95	0.05	0.8
23	2.35	2.43	0.97	-0.17	0.87
24	1.04	1.45	0.96	0.22	1.02
25	1.5	1.89	0.88	0.24	0.98
26	1.5	1.87	0.98	0.2	1.12
27	0.55	0.9	0.78	0.12	1.2

Table 2



28	1.35	1.73	1.19	0.19	0.85
30	1.42	1.75	0.77	0.14	0.72
31	0.8	1.13	1.75	0.41	1.15
32	1.19	1.52	1.05	0.15	1.13
34	1.42	1.78	0.67	0.27	0.96
38	1.1	1.46	0.87	0.19	0.53
39	2.2	2.48	0.94	0.15	0.37
40	2.35	2.56	0.86	0.1	0.91
42	2.25	2.39	0.34	0.02	1.13
44	2.35	2.57	0.82	0.12	0.86
45	2.25	2.54	0.88	0.19	0.83
46	0.3	0.89	1.06	0.55	1.13
49	2	2.27	0.81	0.18	1.02
51	2.2	2.39	0.72	0.12	1.03
54	1.65	2.08	0.87	0.33	0.84
55	3.15	3.16	1.19	-0.07	0.78
57	1.6	1.87	0.59	0.17	1.1
59	2.25	2.48	0.87	0.12	0.81
60	3.45	3.55	0.64	0.13	1.03
64	2.15	2.41	0.67	0.26	1.42
65	3.75	3.81	0.67	0.09	0.93
66	3.6	3.7	0.68	0.15	0.95
67	2.75	2.99	0.98	0.18	0.92
68	3.3	3.29	0.82	0	1.05
70	0.35	0.86	0.75	0.27	0.94
71	0.75	1.17	0.88	0.23	1.09
72	1.75	2.18	0.97	0.32	1.11
75	2.5	2.83	0.83	0.36	1
76	1.45	1.9	0.85	0.41	1.1
77	2	2.23	1.13	0.05	1.2
78	1.1	1.38	0.78	0.25	1
79	2.5	2.75	0.69	0.21	0.95
80	0.95	1.19	0.92	0.09	1.3
81	2.85	3.04	0.82	0.18	1.43
82	1.75	2.06	1.11	0.15	1.24
84	1.05	1.35	0.66	0.14	1.22
86	1.7	2.15	1.24	0.21	0.72
87	1.3	1.81	0.96	0.41	1.31
90	1.8	2.08	1.14	0.05	1
91	1.4	1.82	0.79	0.42	1.24
92	3.25	3.19	0.7	-0.27	0.9
93	1.07	1.07	1.1	-0.03	0.97
10	1.85	1.7/			
94	2.35	2.68	0.63	0.41	1.04
94 95	1.85 2.35 0.5	2.68 0.93	0.63 0.72	0.41 0.31	1.04 1.32
94 95 97	1.85 2.35 0.5 1.85	2.68 0.93 2.32	0.63 0.72 1.05	0.41 0.31 0.3	1.04 1.32 0.73

Table 5 Result Of The Discriminant Function Analysis.					
Sample No	Y _{aeolian:beach}	Y _{beach:marine}	Y _{marine:fluvia}	Y _{fluvial:turbidit}	
1	-2.77	109.48	-7.8	7.97	
2	3.73	189.21	-15.91	10.06	
3	-2.58	107.04	-6.28	4.87	
4	-1.26	132.61	-9.41	6.3	
5	7.26	220.93	-18.33	15.49	
6	9.64	233.68	-25.54	2.94	
7	5.06	166.73	-15.29	4.55	
8	-2.2	121.9	-8.44	6.31	
9	-3.63	101.56	-5.67	7.33	
10	1.84	127.29	-11.97	8.33	
11	-2.04	117.45	-7.29	4.8	
12	0.11	90.51	-6.24	7.33	
13	8.9	226.18	-24.79	8.22	
14	1.91	121.21	-10.88	8.86	
16	-0.76	126.77	-8.97	5.97	
17	2.4	131.89	-11.86	7.93	
18	4.17	125.39	-12.99	7.27	
19	-2.94	111.98	-6.6	6.01	
20	-1.69	125.23	-8.31	4.92	
21	-3.42	116.03	-6.66	5.31	
22	-3.34	115.43	-7.45	6.12	
23	-2.07	113.82	-6.77	4.84	
24	0.91	106.48	-8.73	7.61	
25	-1.31	103.91	-7.48	7.9	
26	-0.02	117.15	-8.83	8.3	
27	2.54	79.42	-5.7	7.67	
28	1.34	125.05	-12.89	6.48	
30	-2.08	82.97	-5.41	5.84	
31	10.13	58.12	-28.74	8.49	
32	1.91	121.13	-10.05	6.01	
34	-2.2	71.18	-4.89	8.02	
38	-1.14	86.42	-7.18	4.87	
39	-4.73	106.69	-7.73	4.39	
40	-3.77	107.57	-6.21	7.04	
42	-4.62	66.66	-0.39	7.85	
44	-4.21	103.89	-5.87	7	
45	-3.98	110.79	-7.12	7.26	
46	3.77	109.58	-11.47	8.68	
49	-2.84	101.72	-6.03	8.56	
51	-3.63	93.16	-4.42	7.77	
54	-2.65	98.42	-7.72	7.93	
55	-3.41	156.74	-11.23	5.44	
57	-2.25	76.25	-3.35	8.21	
59	-3.77	106.49	-6.55	6.68	
60	-8.2	104.51	-3.22	8.78	
64	-3.05	98.41	-4.47	10.83	
65	-11.71	108.83	-3.33	8.12	
66	-8.82	109.29	-3.75	8.57	
67	-4.6	131.38	-8.53	7.91	
68	-5.94	115.86	-4.98	7.68	



70	1.4	73.74	-6.1	7.27
71	1.64	94.67	-7.63	7.9
72	-1.51	123.01	-9.22	9.3
75	-5.17	115.23	-7.02	9.5
76	-1.51	105.4	-7.78	9.76
77	0.4	153.53	-10.8	7.82
78	-0.59	85.64	-6.22	7.78
79	-5.52	96.65	-4.47	8.31
80	2.76	100.23	-7.46	8.04
81	-4.19	123.61	-6.11	10.76
82	0.75	139.29	-10.91	8.59

84	0.31	78.26	-4.12	8.27
86	-0.12	153.29	-14.02	6.24
87	0.26	121.82	-9.64	10.66
90	0.4	137.95	-11.07	6.64
91	-1.14	101.25	-7.07	10.51
92	-6.14	95	-2.12	5.08
93	0.57	128.53	-9.9	5.88
94	-5.69	94.92	-4.67	10.07
95	2.05	79.17	-5.77	9.54
97	-2.54	128.14	10.48	7.16
98	-2.09	81.51	-4.4	9.16



Figure 3: (A-C) bivariate plots- after Moiola and Weiser (1968), (D) bivariate plots after Friedman (1961) and (E) C-M plot after Passega (1957, 1964).



V. CONCLUSION

Interpretation of grain size analysis indicates that:

- i. Most samples have unimodal distribution.
- ii. The modal class lies between $1-2\phi$ (0.25 to 0.50mm) in most samples.
- iii. Samples are positively, negatively as well as nearly symmetrical.
- iv. The sediments were transported in all three modes traction, saltation and suspension. However saltation remains the major process of transportation.
- v. Slope of line segments in arithmetic probability curves varies from 16° to 55° , indicating poor sorting.
- vi. Loads on traction, saltation and suspension show wide variation. This indicates the highly variability in competency of the transporting agency
- vii. Due to fluctuation in the kinetic energy there was mixing of sediments of various sub-populations transported in different modes.
- viii. The median value ranges from 0.3φ to 3.75φ. Mean size of the study are ranges between 0.86φ and 3.81φ. This highly variation in grain size indicates the variation in the kinetic energy at the time of deposition.
- ix. Bivariate and multivariate analysis indicates diversity in the depositional environment. However marine and turbidity is the most dominant environment.

REFERENCE

- Acharyya,S.K. (1991): "Late Mesozoic Early Basin Evolution along the Indo-Burmese Range and Andaman Island Arc," in Sedimentary Basins of India, Tectonics context. (Eds) Tandon, S.K. Charu C. Pant and Casshyap, S.M. Gyanodaya Prakashan, Nainital, p 104-113.
- [2] Ashok K. S and Rupesh S. M. (2009a): Grain-size analysis and depositional environment of Lameta sediments exposed at Salbardi and Belkher, Amravati district, Maharastra and Betul district, Madhya Pradesh. Jour. Ind Ass.Sedimentologists, v. 28, No.1 (2009), p 73-83.
- [3] Ashok K. S and Rupesh S. M. (2009b): Grain-size analysis and depositional pattern of Upper Gondwana Sediments (Early Cretaceous) of Salbari Area, Districts Amravati, Maharastra and Betul, Madhya Pradesh. Jour. Geol. Soc. Ind. V. 73, March 2009, p 393-406.
- [4] Ashok K. S and Neloy Khare (2009): Granulometric Analysis of Glacial Sediments, Schirmacher Oasis, East Antarctica. Jour. Geol. Soc. Ind. V. 73, May 2009, p 609-620.
- [5] Bagnold, R.A. (1946): Motion of waves in shallow water, interaction between waves and sand bottoms; Royal Soc. London, Pro. Ser. A vol. 187, p 1-18.
- [6] Bagnold, R.A. (1956): The flow of cohesionless grains in fluids; Rol. Soc. London Phil. Trans. Ser. A vol. 249, p 235-297.
- [7] Bhat, M.S., Chavadi, V.C. and Hegde, V.S. (2002): Morphological and textural characteristics of Kudle beach, Karnataka, central west coast of India. Lour.Geol.Soc.India, 59, 125-131.
- [8] Brunnschweiler, R.O. (1966): On the geology of Indo-Burma Ranges. Jour.Geol. Soc. Australia. Vol.13, pt.1 p. 137-194.

- [9] Buller, A.T. and Mc Manus, J. (1972): Simple matric sedimentary statistics used to recognise different environment; sedimentology, vol. 18, p 1-21.
- [10] Burhanuddin, M. (2007): Textural analysis in interpreting the depositional environmental of Lower Gondwana Sandstone in central part of Godavari basin, Andhra Pradesh. Jour. Geol. Soc. India. V. 69, p 1335-1341.
- [11] Chappell, J (1967): Recognising fossil strand lines from grain-size analysis, JSP, vol. 37, p 157-165.
- [12] Daura and Debadhikari (1968): In Director General's general report, 1963-64, Geol. Sur. Ind. Rec. 98 (1), 7.
- [13] Dayal,B. and Duara, B.K. (1963): Report on the systematic geological mapping and mineral survey in parts of Manipur state. G.S.I. Prog. Rep. for F.S. 1962-63.
- [14] Doeglas, D. J. (1946): Interpretation of the results of mechanical analysis. JSP, V-16, p. 13-40.
- [15] Evans, P. (1932): Explanatory notes to accompany a table showing the Tertiary succession in Assam. Trans. Mining and Geol. Inst. vol 27, p. 155-260.
- [16] Folk, R.L. (1980): Petrology of Sedimentary Rocks. Hemphill Austin, Texas, 159p.
- [17] Folk, R.L. and Ward, W.C. (1957): "Brazos River Bar: A study in the significance of grain size parameters," Jour. Sed. Pet., vol. 27, p. 3-27.
- [18] Friedman, G.M. (1961): Distinction between Dune, Beach and River sands from their textural characteristics. Jour. Sed. Pet, V-31, p 514-529.
- [19] Friedman, G.M. (1967): "Dynamic processes and statistical parameters compared for size frequency distribution of Beach and River sands," Jour. Sed. Pet., vol. 37, p. 327-354.
- [20] Hails, J.R. and Hoyt, J. H. (1969): The significance and limitation of statistical parameter for distinguishing ancient and modern sedimentary environment of the Lower Georgia coastal plain, JSP vol. 39 p 559-580.
- [21] Hanamgond, P.T. and Chavadi, V. C. (1998): Sedimentological study of Kwada and Belekeri bay beaches, Uttara Kannada, west coast, India. Jour. Geol. Soc. India, 51, 193-200.
- [22] Harris, S.A. (1958a): Differentiation of various Egyptian Aeolian micro-environment by mechanical composition, Jour. Sed. Pet, vol. 28, p 164-174.
- [23] Hjulstorm, F. (1939): Transportation of detritus by moving water. In: Recent marine sediments edited by P.D. Trask: Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geologists, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 5-31.
- [24] Inman, D.L. (1949): Sorting of sediments in the light of fluid mechanics: Jour. Sed. Pet., vol. 19, p. 51-70.
- [25] Inman, D.L. (1952): Measures for describing the size distribution of sediments, Jour. Sed. Pet., vol. 22, p. 125-145.
- [26] Joshep, S., Thrivikramaji, K.P. and Anirudhan, S. (1997): Textural parameters, discriminant analysis and depositional environments of the Teri sands, southern Tamil Nadu. Jour. Geol. Soc. India, 50, 323-329.
- [27] Khin, A. and Win, K. (1969): Geology and Hydrocarbon prospects of Burma Tertiary Geosyncline. Union Burma Gr Sc Tech 2 53-81.
- [28] Klovan, J.E. (1966): The use of factor analysis in determining depositional environment from grain size distribution. Jour. Sed. Pet vol. 36, p 115-125.
- [29] Koldijk, W.S. (1968): On environmental sensitive grain-size parameters, Sedimentology, vol. 10, p 57-69.
- [30] Krinsley, D. and Funnell, B (1965): Environmental history of sand grain from the lower and middle Pleistocene of Novfolk, England. Quart. Jour. Geol. Soc. London, vol.121, p435-461.
- [31] Krumbein, W. C. and Pettijohn, F. J (1938): Manual of sedimentary petrography, Appleton-Century-Gofts, New York.



- [32] Krumbein, W.C. (1934): Size frequency distribution of sediments, Jour. Sed. Pet vol. 4, p 65-77.
- [33] Lindholmn, R.C. (1987): A practical approach to Sedimentology. Allen & Unwin Publ, 270.
- [34] Mahendar, K. (1996): Paleocurrent pattern, textures and depositional environment of Miliolitic limestone of Diu, western India. Jour. Geol. Soc. India, 52, 289-298.
- [35] Majumdar, P. and Ganapati, S (1998): Grain- size frequency distribution in ancient depositional environment- a case study from Lower Gondwana sediment, Prahnita Godavari basin, Andhra Pradesh. Jour. Geol. Soc. India, 52, 219-228.
- [36] Mason, C.C. and Folk R.L. (1958): Differentiation of beach, dune and aeolian flat environment by size analysis, Mustang Island, Texas, Jour. Sed. Pet vol. 28, p 211-226.
- [37] Mitra, N.D., Vidyadharan, K.T., Gaur, M.P., Singh, S.K., Mishra, U.K., Khan, L. K. And Ghosh, S., (1986): A note on the olistostromal deposits of Manipur, Rec. Geol. Surv. Ind.
- [38] Moiola, R.J. & Weiser, D (1968): Textural parameters and evaluation. Jour. Sed. Pet, V-38, p 45-53.
- [39] Moss, A.J. (1962): The physical nature of common sand and pebbly deposits. Pt-1, Am. Jour. Sci. 261, P 297 - 343.
- [40] Moss, A.J. (1963): The physical nature of common sand pebbly deposits. Pt.2, Am. Jour. Sci., 261, p 297-343.
- [41] Murkute, Y.A. (2001a): Textural parameters and petrography of Kamthy sandstones around Minjhari, Chandrapur district, Maharastra. Jour. Indian Asso. Sed., 20, 97-108.
- [42] Murkute, Y.A. (2001b): Kamthy sandstones: grain size distribution and depositional processes, Jour. Geol. Soc. India, 58, 435-440.
- [43] Oldham, R.D. (1883): Report on the Geology of parts of Manipur and Naga Hills, Geol. Surv. Ind. Vol. 33. (1) p. 31-101.
- [44] Oseen C.W. (1913): Uber den Gultigkeitsbereich der Stoke, schen Widerstandformal, Arkiv for Matematic, Astronomi Fysick, 9.
- [45] Otto,G.H. (1939): A modified logarithmic probability graph for the interpretation of mechanical analysis of sediments: Jour. Sed. Pet., vol. 9, p. 62-76.
- [46] Passega, R. (1957): Texture as characteristic of clastic deposition, Am. Assn. Pet. Geol. Bull. 41, p 830-847.
- [47] Passega, R. (1964): Grain size representation by CM patterns as geological tool. Jour. Sed. Pet., vol. 34, p. 830-847.
- [48] Pettijohn, F.J. (1984): Sedimentary Rocks. 3rd edition, CBS Publ., New Delhi.
- [49] Qidwai, H.A. and Cassyap,S.M.(1978): Grain size characteristics of ancient fluvial deposits- an example from Lower Gondwana (Permian) formations, Pench valley coalfield, central India Jour. Geol. Soc. India 19 240-250.
- [50] Rabindra N.H., Linashree D. And Arya G. N.: Texturaral characteristics and discriminant analysis of Talchir and Karharbari sandstones of the Ong-River Gondwana Basin of Orissa. Jour. Sed. Pet vol. 27, No. 1 (2008).

- [51] Raman, C.V. and Reddy, K.S.N. (2001): Sediment dispersal pattern off the Mahanadi-Nagavali continental shelf, northwest Bay of Bengal. Jour. Geol. Soc. India, 58, 123-133.
- [52] Rao, P. V. N., Suryam, R.K. and Rao, V.R. (2005): Depositional environment inferred from grain size parameters of the beach sediments between false Devi points to Kottapatnam, Andhra Pradesh coast. Jour. Geol. Soc. India, 65, 317-324.
- [53] Reinick, H.E. and Singh, I.B. (1980): Depositional Sedimentary Environments, 2nd edition., Springer-Verlag-Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 543.
- [54] Roger,J. and Head,W.D. (1961): Relationship between porosity, median size and sorting coefficient of synthetic sands, Jour. Sed. Pet vol. 31 p 467-470.
- [55] Rubey, W.W. (1933): Settling velocities of gravel, sand and silt, Am. Jour.Sci., vol. 25, p. 636-650.
- [56] Sahu, B.K. (1964): Depositional mechanism from the size analysis of elastic sediments. Jour. Sed. Pet, V-34, P 73-83.
- [57] Sengupta, S.M. (1996): Introductionto Sedimentology. Oxford & IBH publishing Co-Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 305.
- [58] Sharda, Y.P. and Verma, V.K. (1977): Paleo environment during Muree and Siwalik sedimentation around Udhampur, Jammy Himalaya. Publication of the centre of advanced study in Geology No. II, Punjab University, Chandigarh.
- [59] Spencer, D.W. (1952): The interpretation of grain size distribution curves of clastic sediments: Jour. Sed. Pet vol. 33, p. 180-190.
- [60] Stewart,H.B (1958): Sedimentary reflection on depositional environment, in San Mignellagoon, Baju California, Mexico AAPG Bull vol 42, p 2567-2618.
- [61] Swan, D., Clayne, J.J. and Luternauer, J.L. (1978): Grain size statistics In Evaluation of Folk and Ward graphic measures, Jour. Sed. Pet. Vol. 48, p. 863-878.
- [62] Trask, P.D. (1932): Origin and environment of source sediments of petroleum, 323p Houston: Gulf Publ. Co.
- [63] Twenhofel, W.H. and Tyler, S.A. (1941): Methods of study of sediments: McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc, New York, 183p.
- [64] Udden, J.A. (1898): Mechanical composition of wind deposits Augustana Library Publ., No. 1.
- [65] Udden, J.A. (1914): Mechanical composition of clastic sediments. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. Vol. 25 p. 655-744.
- [66] Vandemmlen, R.W. (1949): The geology of Indonesia I. A. General Geology. Mart Nijhoff, The Hague.
- [67] Visher, G.S. (1969): Grain size distribution and depositional process, Jour, Geol V-39, P1074-1106.
- [68] Wentworth, CK (1922): A scale of computing mechanical composition types in sediments Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. vol. 40, p 771.