

“Role of Artificial Intelligence in Modern-Day Education”

Mansi Miglani¹, Dr. Sagar Sharma²

^{1,2}Assistant Professor

Abstract-- Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become one of the most significant technological advances in the modern educational sector, altering the process of teaching, learning, and the practices within institutions. This scholarly article explores the application of AI in contemporary education by discussing the pedagogical opportunities and the ethical and intellectual issues of this technology. Using the latest research, such as the works by Schiff, Nguyen et al., Ferreira Mello et al., Cukurova and Li et al., the paper covers the role of AI in personalized learning, adaptive assessment, accessibility and student engagement. Simultaneously, it also explores issues around algorithmic bias, privacy, authorship, teacher authority, and the loss of critical thinking. The research is based on the qualitative, literature-based approach and presented in terms of constructivist and humanistic views of education. It states that AI is not to be seen as a form of efficiency or automation, but as a pedagogical influence the value of which requires ethical governance, mediation by teachers and AI literacy. The paper concludes with the thought that the future of education is a harmonious model of human-AI collaboration that will improve learning without sacrificing creativity, interpretation, and intellectual autonomy.

Keywords-- Artificial Intelligence, Education, Personalized Learning, Ethics, AI Literacy

I. INTRODUCTION

The twenty-first century has brought about a radical change in the area of education that has been largely propelled by the fast-growing technological change. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is one of the most important and contested innovations among these. Previously, AI was linked with computer science, robotics or fantastical futuristic fantasy, but now it has become deeply ingrained in the daily learning process. Since recommendation-based learning systems and automated grading software to the more expansive philosophy of education, AI has started to transform not only the mechanics of learning, but the philosophy of learning itself.

The increasing involvement of AI in education is an indication of the move towards traditional teacher-centered teaching to more adaptive, flexible, and data-based approaches to learning. The AI systems are able to analyze the performance of learners and offer real-time feedback, detect the gaps in their learning, and create personalized content. These abilities render AI especially appealing in a world where schools are more and more required to accommodate huge and varied student bodies.

Theoretically, AI will streamline the learning experience to be more efficient, inclusive, and accessible. But even these promises come with some pressing concerns. Ethical use, surveillance, data privacy, algorithmic bias, academic integrity, and future of critical thinking are some of the questions that have now become the topic of discussion about AI in education.

Daniel Schiff notes that AI in education (AIEd) has a remarkable transformative potential, especially the possibility of personalizing instruction and democratizing learning opportunities (Schiff). But he also cautions that unless AI is used with adequate ethical and pedagogical reflection, it could make education increasingly industrialized, in which learning would be overly standardized and disconnected to human interaction. This conflict of innovation and safety is among the characteristic traits of modern research on AI in education.

Equally, Nguyen et al. state that educational systems can be greatly enhanced with the help of AI, as it is possible to introduce personalized learning, automated assessment, and predictive analytics, yet they emphasize that the implementation of these technologies should be regulated by sound ethical standards (Nguyen et al.). Ferreira Mello et al. also indicate that the emergence of generative AI has added fuel to the educational debate, especially in post-secondary education, where writing, originality and interpretation are the main issues at stake (Ferreira Mello et al.). In particular disciplines like English studies, these changes hold particular significance since the production of language, the process of reading, and the authorship are directly influenced by AI.

The work by Mutlu Cukurova is particularly applicable in this respect as it transcends the field of technological zeal and demands the significance of the so-called hybrid intelligence in which AI will not take the place of human intellect but assist it in its activities (Cukurova). This point of view is especially useful in education that is humanities based in that learning relies not only on the acquisition of information but also on the interpretation, ambiguity, and reflective judgment. Similarly, as Li et al. illustrate, AI should be interpreted based on practical and context-based experiences, especially within the specific educational setting, like autism education, where the teacher attitudes and the diversity of the learner play a critical role (Li et al.).



This research paper suggests that, despite the fact that Artificial Intelligence has indeed brought about major changes in the contemporary education in terms of personalization, accessibility, and efficiency, the value of AI in education is ultimately subject to ethical governance, human-centered pedagogy, and preservation of critical thinking. Artificial intelligence can improve the learning process, although it cannot substitute the human aspects of learning: dialogue, interpretation, empathy, creativity, intellectual struggle. Thus the future of education cannot be visualized as a completely mechanized one; it should be a coexistence area where human intelligence and artificial systems will be in a critical, responsible relationship.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The increasing literature on the topic of Artificial Intelligence in education shows that the field ceased to be an experiment in technical circles; it is now a matter of serious pedagogical, ethical, and cultural interest. AI is a technological tool as well as a force that is transforming the way teaching, learning, authorship, and intellectual engagement is understood by scholars, which is why it is under increased scrutiny.

One of the earliest conceptual discussions in this field is the article by Daniel Schiff, *Out of the Laboratory and into the Classroom: The Future of Artificial Intelligence in Education*. Schiff frames AI as a potentially groundbreaking technology that can be used to streamline things and make education more democratic. He points out intelligent tutoring systems and anthropomorphised learning agents as some of the most notable applications of AI in distinguishing between instruction and offering learner support (Schiff). The balanced tone of the work is one of the strong points of Schiff. He does not celebrate AI as a wonder-working educational answer nor reject it as necessarily evil. Rather he recognizes its potentials as well as its dangers. Notably, Schiff is also concerned with how education is industrialized, and AI is going to turn learning into quantifiable results and devalue human educational relationships. The value of his work is that it places AI in wider sociotechnical and ethical contexts and does not view it as an instrument that is neutral.

Nguyen et al. contribute to this debate by elaborating on the ethical aspects of AI in education. In their article, the authors focus on the wide potential of AI, such as personalized learning, automatic grading, predictive learning analytics, and AI-guided tutoring, but they also highlight the need to consider the ethical principles that should control the mentioned developments (Nguyen et al.).

The authors appropriately acknowledge that AI does not merely ameliorate educational systems mechanically; it also affects cognition, classroom culture, and institutional decision-making. It is particularly due to their contribution that the necessity of fairness, accountability, privacy, and transparency can be predicted. Nonetheless, the article is quite categorical about the ethical issues, but it is not as detailed on the ways that these principles may be applied in various learning settings. Nevertheless, the article can be a valuable guide to comprehending that the implementation of AI should be always followed by ethical consideration.

The article by Ferreira Mello et al. titled *Education in the Age of Generative AI: Context and Recent Developments* is more so timely as it discusses the advent of generative AI applications like ChatGPT. Their article follows the history of AI in education by aligning the current debates with previous AI developments such as intelligent tutoring systems to the modern generative models, putting the current discussion in the larger historical context (Ferreira Mello et al.). This historical context is worthwhile since it demonstrates that the current issues are linked to an extended educational association with automation and smart systems. The article is particularly significant in learning about the way generative AI transforms educational activities, particularly in writing-based subjects. It emphasizes the productivity and the disturbance that such tools cause in the learning settings.

One of the most theoretically important interventions in the literature is offered by Mutlu Cukurova. In “The Interplay of Learning, Analytics, and Artificial Intelligence in Education,” he argues that the complete automation of educational processes is neither desirable nor educationally valuable in the long run (Cukurova). Instead, he suggests hybrid forms of intelligence where AI can serve as a conversational collaborator and not an oppressive solution machine. This is a very crucial point of view since it shifts the debate out of the efficiency and into cognitive engagement. The focus on human-in-the-loop systems which Cukurova emphasizes is particularly applicable to the field of higher education where the goal is not just to provide correct answers but to build critical, interpretive, and evaluative skills.

Li et al. add an applied and context-sensitive approach to the study of AI-based interventions in autism education. Their work emphasizes the experiences of teachers and educators with the use of AI-assisted tools, which shows that the success of AI is not solely based on the level of technological sophistication but also on the levels of teacher literacy, adapting to the context, and ethical concerns (Li et al.).



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 09, September 2026)

The study is especially helpful due to the fact that it brings the discussion outside of the general theory and into the realm of implementation. It serves as a reminder that AI in education should be scrutinized not just in terms of what it can theoretically perform, but in the context of its practical use in actual classes.

Collectively, the literature indicates that AI in education can be thought of as a complicated and debatable pedagogical formation. The common themes in the chosen works are personalization, teacher mediation, ethical governance, learner agency, and the increasing significance of AI literacy. The literature thus offers a solid basis to contend that the place of AI in education in the current world should be assessed critically, and not without any doubt.

III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The research paper is based on a human-centered constructivist approach, which is backed by aspects of critical pedagogy. These methods are especially well applied to the study of AI in education since they focus on learning as an active, reflective and socially mediated phenomenon and not as a strictly mechanical transfer of information.

Constructivism considers learning as a process that learners create actively through experience, interaction and interpretation. Knowledge, within this context, is not given to the learner by some external source but made by the learner through cognitive and social interaction. This point of view is particularly relevant when it comes to AI since numerous AI tools purport to provide knowledge in an effective manner. But education is not just the access to information, but it is also the skill of questioning, interpreting, synthesizing and making sense. A constructivist approach thus enables us to question whether AI contributes to authentic learning or is just a rapid content delivery system.

The idea of hybrid intelligence, as proposed by Cukurova, corresponds well to this theoretical view. His claim that AI must aid human cognition, not substitute it, strengthens the constructivist perspective that relevant learning is through active engagement (Cukurova). Within this paradigm, AI can be most useful as a scaffold, a dialogic support system, or a reflective aid-not as something that takes the place of human effort and thinking.

Simultaneously, the current paper also relies on such a phenomenon as critical pedagogy that investigates the way in which the educational systems are conditioned by power, ideology, and inequality.

AI is not an educational device that is neutral, but created in the context of certain technological, economic, and cultural frameworks. The issues of bias, access, surveillance and digital inequality are thus core to its role. Critical pedagogy can assist us to scrutinize how AI can replicate the prevailing assumptions regarding language, intelligence, success, and academic legitimacy.

In the case of MA English, this theoretical framework is particularly helpful as it prefigures interpretation, authorship and intellectual agency. Learning in the field of literary education and humanities may be highly reliant on ambiguity, argument, close reading, which cannot be boiled down to automatic results. Thus, the paradigm employed in this case places AI as not merely an innovation to be evaluated, but as a cultural and pedagogical influence to be critically understood.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research design used in this study is a literature based qualitative research study. Instead of carrying out a survey or experimental testing, the paper critically reviews the existing scholarly literature on AI in education, to synthesize the main debates, detect common themes, and construct an analytical perspective of AI in contemporary education.

It is grounded in the use of secondary sources, particularly the peer-reviewed articles and recent academic debates concerning AI in education. The chosen readings relate to various yet complementary points of view: conceptual, ethical, pedagogical, historical and applied. This will enable the paper to build a multidimensional perception of AI instead of narrowing it down to a one educational activity.

The method used is critical thematic analysis. The chosen literature was reviewed to find out the key recurrent themes like:

- adaptive learning and personalization,
- writing and generative AI,
- ethical concerns,
- teacher roles,
- accessibility and inclusion,
- Human agency and AI literacy.

These themes were then categorized into analysis parts with the aim of developing a logical argument concerning the prospects and constraints of AI in education.

The approach fits an MA English research paper especially well since it focuses on close reading and interpretation, synthesis and critical argumentation.

It also enables the study to be interdisciplinary but with a humanities-focused view. The one weakness of this approach is, however, that it will be based on published scholarship and not on fieldwork. Because of this, the paper does not directly measure outcomes of the classroom. Nevertheless, it offers a good conceptual and analytical base to comprehend the role of AI in education.

V. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The main objectives of this research paper are:

1. To analyze the role of Artificial Intelligence in the education process today.
2. To examine the effects of AI on the practices of teaching, learning, and assessment.
3. To determine the applicability of AI in higher education and humanities-based learning.
4. To consider the ethical and pedagogical issues related to AI in teaching.
5. To highlight the importance of AI literacy, teacher mediation, and human-centered learning.

VI. PROPOSED OUTCOMES

The following are some of the probable implications of AI and education as postulated in this research paper:

- The scope of customized learning and adjusting learning will be extended with the help of AI.
- Schools will start to rely more on AI to assess, provide feedback and academic support.
- Generative AI will compel significant modifications in the design of assignments, the pedagogy of writing, and assessment.
- AI literacy and teacher training will be critical to the success of AI in education.
- Ethical issues like prejudice, privacy, and digital disparity will be more prominent in educational policy.

A model of human-AI cooperation was probably the most successful type of future education in comparison with its full automation.

VII. CONCLUSION

Artificial Intelligence is certainly one of the strongest forces that influence the education of today. Its impact can be seen in almost all spheres of the educational process, including teaching techniques and student interaction, assessment, accessibility and academic writing. As it is shown in the literature reviewed in this paper, AI has significant pedagogical potential. It is able to individualize learning, help various learners, decrease the administrative load, and offer new types of academic support.

To a great extent, it has already started to change education into a more malleable and technology-attentive environment.

Meanwhile, the importance of AI in education cannot be interpreted based on the discourse of progress or convenience only. AI also implies significant ethical, pedagogical, and intellectual concerns. It questions conventional notions of authorship, originality, teacher authority and critical thinking. These questions are not peripheral in the case of higher education, nor in particular in the case of studies like English. Education does not merely entail efficient generation of answers. It is concerned with the cultivation of individual thinking, deep interpretation and critical thinking with language, ideas and culture.

The studies analyzed in the given paper keep pointing to the fact that the value of AI does not lie in its sophistication but the circumstances of its application. Schiff reminds us that the transformation of education should be socially responsible (Schiff). Nguyen et al. give an emphasis on ethical governance (Nguyen et al.). Ferreira Mello et al. disclose the disruptive effect of generative AI on academic practice (Ferreira Mello et al.). Cukurova suggests hybrid intelligence over dependency that is driven by automation (Cukurova). Li et al. prove that a human context and interaction with teachers are always needed in practice (Li et al.).

To sum up, the contribution of Artificial Intelligence to the contemporary education can be described as both prospective and contingent. AI can make educational experiences engaging, but it must be critically, ethically and humanely incorporated. The future of education cannot be based on the replacement of teachers, lessening learning to data and outsourcing thinking to machines. Rather, it must seek to provide a harmonious learning experience where AI will complement human imagination, interpretation and intellectual development. The real educational value of it is there.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cukurova, Mutlu. *The Interplay of Learning, Analytics, and Artificial Intelligence in Education*. 2024.
- [2] Ferreira Mello, Rafael, et al. *Education in the Age of Generative AI: Context and Recent Developments*. 2023.
- [3] Li, Guang, et al. "Teachers and Educators' Experiences and Perceptions of Artificial-Powered Interventions for Autism Groups." *BMC Psychology*, 2024.
- [4] Nguyen, Andy, et al. "Ethical Principles for Artificial Intelligence in Education." 2023.
- [5] Schiff, Daniel. "Out of the Laboratory and into the Classroom: The Future of Artificial Intelligence in Education." *AI & Society*, 2021.