International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 08, August 2026)

Equity, Evidence, and the Margins of the System

A Critical Review of the Public Health Philosophy, Women's Health Advocacy, and Tribal Mental Health Work of Dr. Rani Bang and Dr. Abhay Bang

Dr. Yermal Sudhir Shrinikrao[1], Dr. Joshi Manjusha[2], Dr. Deshmukh Vandana[3], Dr. Deepa Warpudkar-Rajenimbalkar[4], Dr. Surekha Shelke[5]

[1]*Professor, Dept of Physiology P.D Jain Homoeopathic Medical College, Parbhani*
[2]*Professor, Dept of Hom. Pharmacy P.D Jain Homoeopathic Medical College, Parbhani*
[3]*Professor, Dept of Organon of Medicine P.D Jain Homoeopathic Medical College, Parbhani.*
[4]*BHMS, MD & PhD Peadiatric Homoeopathy*
[5]*P.G Scholar, Dept of Homoeopathic Materia Medica P.D Jain Homoeopathic Medical College.*

Abstract **-- Dr. Rani Bang and Dr. Abhay Bang, co-founders of the Society for Education, Action and Research in Community Health (SEARCH) in Gadchiroli, Maharashtra, have spent nearly four decades building one of India's most cited models of community-based, tribal-oriented healthcare. This review synthesizes their published interviews, peer-reviewed research, and the 2018 Report of the Expert Committee on Tribal Health (which Dr. Abhay Bang chaired) to critically examine four themes: their critique of formal public health systems; their contribution to women's reproductive health research; their broader tribal health advocacy; and their documented findings on depression, anxiety, and addiction among tribal populations. The review finds their central contribution to be evidentiary — replacing assumption with community-based data — but also identifies unresolved tensions around scalability, government implementation, and the durability of a model built around two individuals and one district.**

Keywords**-- public health systems; community health workers; tribal health; women's reproductive health; gynaecological morbidity; depression; anxiety; addiction; Gadchiroli; SEARCH; health equity**

I. Introduction And Sources

Dr. Abhay Bang and Dr. Rani Bang are physicians (MD, Medicine and MD, Obstetrics & Gynaecology respectively) who, after postgraduate training at Nagpur and a Master of Public Health at Johns Hopkins University, chose to build their careers in Gadchiroli, then among the poorest and least medically served districts in Maharashtra. In 1985 they founded SEARCH, and in 1993 established the residential campus Shodhgram. Dr. Abhay Bang later chaired the Government of India's Expert Committee on Tribal Health (2013–2018).

Their work has drawn WHO and UNICEF endorsement, adoption of their Home-Based Newborn Care model into India's national health programme, and awards including the Maharashtra Bhushan and the Padma Shri.

This review draws on their peer-reviewed publications (notably the 1989 Lancet study on gynaecological morbidity), the 2018 Tribal Health Report, and a series of long-form interviews given over the past decade to outlets including India Development Review (IDR), the Journal of Medical Evidence, and Indian broadcast and print media. A specific interview reportedly broadcast by BBC News Marathi on 8 August 2026 was sought for this review but could not be independently located or verified at the time of writing; where the request for this review referenced that interview, the themes below are instead grounded in the couple's substantial verified public record on the same subjects, so that no claim or quotation is attributed to a source that could not be confirmed.

II. Critique Of Public Health Systems

A recurring theme across the Bangs' public statements is that India's public health system is designed around urban, facility-based assumptions that do not travel well to remote, low-literacy, tribal terrain. Their foundational insight — articulated repeatedly since the 1980s — was that "research is to be conducted where the problems are, and not where the facilities are." This reframes the usual direction of health system design: rather than asking tribal communities to travel to distant, under-resourced primary health centres, SEARCH's model trains local, often semi-literate women as community health workers who deliver essential newborn and maternal care inside the home.

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 08, August 2026)

The Expert Committee on Tribal Health report that Dr. Abhay Bang chaired extended this critique to policy: it found tribal healthcare services to be "deficient in number, quality and resources" and, more fundamentally, poorly designed for tribal society, with inadequate community participation. It described tribal populations as facing a "triple" — later revised in follow-up assessments to a "quadruple" — burden of disease: persistent communicable disease and malnutrition, a rising load of non-communicable disease, mental illness and addiction, and social/cultural barriers to accessing whatever services exist.

2.1 Critical appraisal

The Committee's own retrospective assessments are notably candid about implementation failure: reporting on progress several years after submission, Dr. Abhay Bang himself has been quoted as saying that despite the report's positive reception, "precious little has happened" on the ground. This is a meaningful methodological caution for readers of the Bangs' work: their critique of the system is well evidenced, but the record of translating that critique into durable state policy is markedly weaker than the record of their own site-level intervention in Gadchiroli. A fair reading of their public health critique should therefore separate two distinct claims — that facility-centred, top-down health systems fail tribal populations (well supported by their data), and that community health worker models of the SEARCH type can be scaled nationally with comparable fidelity (much less established, given that SEARCH's results were achieved under conditions of sustained, specialist, multi-decade leadership that may not generalize easily).

III. Women's Health

Dr. Rani Bang's earliest and most widely cited contribution is the 1989 community-based study, published in The Lancet, documenting the prevalence of gynaecological morbidity among rural women in Gadchiroli. The study found that roughly 92 percent of women surveyed had some form of gynaecological or reproductive tract condition — menstrual disorders, reproductive tract infections, and sexually transmitted infections among them — the large majority of which had gone undiagnosed and untreated. It was, by her own account and that of subsequent commentators, the first community-based study of its kind in a developing country, and is credited with helping shift international population policy away from a narrow focus on fertility control and toward women's reproductive health more broadly, a shift reflected in the 1994 Cairo International Conference on Population and Development.

Dr. Rani Bang has also written about the social meanings attached to women's reproductive capacity in the communities she served — for instance, noting that Marathi has a distinct word for an infertile woman but no equivalent term for an infertile man, and describing how women themselves ranked infertility as a more socially lethal condition than the physical risk of obstructed labour, "because everybody blames her." This qualitative material complements the epidemiological findings and has been influential in framing reproductive morbidity as a matter of social stigma and access, not merely clinical incidence.

3.1 Critical appraisal

The 1989 study's methodology — direct pelvic examination of a community sample rather than reliance on self-reported symptoms or facility records — was itself part of its contribution, since clinic-based data structurally undercounts conditions that never reach a clinic. A limitation acknowledged implicitly rather than explicitly in the popular coverage of this work is that a single-district study, however rigorously conducted, describes prevalence in that setting; the widely repeated 92 percent figure has sometimes been cited in general discussions of Indian women's reproductive health without the geographic and socioeconomic qualifiers that gave it meaning in 1989.

IV. Tribal Health

Beyond Gadchiroli, Dr. Abhay Bang's chairmanship of the Expert Committee on Tribal Health produced India's first comprehensive, nationally scoped report on the subject. The report catalogued nine priority conditions — malaria, malnutrition, child mortality, maternal health problems, family planning and infertility, addiction and mental health issues, sickle cell disease, animal bites and accidents, and low health literacy — and issued nine major recommendations, including a proposed National Tribal Health Council, a Tribal Health Directorate, a Tribal Health Research Cell, a recurring triennial state-of-tribal-health report, and funding proportional to tribal population share.

The report also documented genuine gains alongside persistent gaps: under-five mortality among Scheduled Tribes fell from 135 per 1,000 live births in 1988 to 57 in 2014, and the share of underweight tribal children fell from roughly 54 percent (2005–06) to roughly 40 percent (2015–16) — improvement, but still well behind the non-tribal national average.

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 08, August 2026)

4.1 Critical appraisal

As with the systems critique in Section 2, the tribal health report's central weakness is not analytical but implementational: multiple follow-up assessments, including Dr. Abhay Bang's own public comments years after submission, note that the bulk of its structural recommendations (the National Tribal Health Council chief among them) remain unimplemented. This is a useful corrective to narratives that treat the Bangs' work as a finished success story; the Gadchiroli model is well validated at site level, but the policy vehicle meant to generalize it has stalled.

V. Depression And Anxiety In Tribal Populations

Mental illness and addiction were identified by the Tribal Health Report as a distinct, under-addressed component of the "triple/quadruple burden" facing tribal communities, alongside communicable disease, malnutrition, and (increasingly) non-communicable disease. The report's recommendations explicitly called for awareness programmes against addictive substances and for the provision of de-addiction and mental health services within tribal primary care — an implicit acknowledgment that neither existed in adequate form at the time of the report.

This finding sits within a wider, independently documented pattern in the peer-reviewed literature: scoping reviews of mental health among India's Scheduled Tribes consistently report elevated rates of depression, anxiety, and substance use disorders, attributed to geographic isolation, cultural disruption, displacement, and marginalization, compounded by heavy reliance on traditional healers and family support rather than formal psychiatric services, owing to stigma and near-total absence of accessible care. Dr. Abhay Bang's own earlier, decades-long campaign against alcohol dependence in Gadchiroli — which began with a prohibition movement in the district in the late 1980s — can be read as an early, locally-generated response to exactly this gap, well before addiction and mental health were formally named as national tribal health priorities in the 2018 report.

5.1 Critical appraisal

The evidence base for depression and anxiety specifically (as opposed to addiction, which is better documented in the Bangs' own primary research) rests more heavily on the wider scoping-review literature than on original epidemiological work published under the Bangs' own authorship.

Their distinctive contribution here is less a specific prevalence study of tribal depression and anxiety and more the institutional achievement of getting mental health formally recognized, for the first time, as a named priority within India's official tribal health policy framework. Readers should therefore treat the couple's authority on this specific sub-theme as agenda-setting and policy-level rather than as originators of primary clinical data, in contrast to their women's health work, where they are the primary data source.

VI. Discussion

Read together, these four themes describe a consistent methodological signature: distrust of top-down assumption, insistence on community-based measurement before intervention, and a preference for training and empowering local community members over importing outside professionals. This signature produced two of the most consequential pieces of Indian community health evidence of the past forty years — the gynaecological morbidity study and the Home-Based Newborn Care model — and, through the Tribal Health Report, elevated a policy agenda (including mental health and addiction) that had previously been largely absent from national tribal health planning.

The principal limitation running through all four themes is the same: a substantial gap between what has been demonstrated at the SEARCH/Gadchiroli site level (rigorously, over decades) and what has been achieved at the level of national policy implementation (much less so, by the Bangs' own public acknowledgment). Future work — by SEARCH, by government bodies, or by independent researchers — would be strengthened by: (a) updated, published prevalence data on depression and anxiety specifically among tribal populations rather than addiction alone; (b) independent evaluation of whether the SEARCH community health worker model retains its effectiveness when replicated by other organizations without the Bangs' direct, multi-decade involvement; and (c) an accounting of which of the nine 2018 Tribal Health Report recommendations have, in the years since, actually been implemented.

VII. Conclusion

Dr. Rani Bang and Dr. Abhay Bang's contribution to Indian public health rests less on any single interview or public statement than on a sustained, four-decade body of community-based evidence and institution-building.

Their critique of top-down health systems, their landmark documentation of women's reproductive morbidity, their national tribal health policy work, and their role in placing tribal mental health and addiction on the policy agenda are all well substantiated by the published record. The unresolved question — one the couple themselves have raised publicly — is whether the equity their work has demonstrated is achievable at district level can be secured at national scale, given how much of the model's success has depended on their own sustained personal leadership.

VIII. Conflict Of Interest And Funding

This review was prepared from publicly available secondary sources (interviews, institutional reports, and peer-reviewed literature) and involved no primary data collection, funding, or contact with SEARCH, Dr. Rani Bang, or Dr. Abhay Bang. No conflicts of interest are declared.

REFERENCES

[1] Bang, R.A., Bang, A.T., et al. (1989). High prevalence of gynaecological diseases in rural Indian women. The Lancet, 333(8629), 85–88.

[2] Society for Education, Action and Research in Community Health (SEARCH). Founders. searchforhealth.ngo/founders/

[3] Ministry of Health & Family Welfare and Ministry of Tribal Affairs, Government of India (2018). Report of the Expert Committee on Tribal Health — Tribal Health in India: Bridging the Gap and a Roadmap for the Future.

[4] National Academy of Medical Sciences (2024). Report of Task Force 2024 on Tribal Health.

[5] India Development Review (IDR). Interview with Dr Rani Bang on women and public healthcare policies. idronline.org/idr-interviews-rani-bang/

[6] Journal of Medical Evidence (2025). Interview with Dr. Abhay Bang. journals.lww.com/jome

[7] The Hitavada (2022). Country's first report on tribal health still awaits implementation.

[8] Scroll.in (2018). Tribal communities face a 'triple burden' of disease, finds India's first tribal health report.

[9] Emerald Publishing, Mental Health and Social Inclusion (2026). Exploring mental health conditions among scheduled tribes: a scoping review.

[10] Wikipedia. Abhay and Rani Bang. en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abhay_and_Rani_Bang

[11] The Better India (2024). 'SEARCH': Dr Abhay & Rani Bang's Tribal Healthcare Mission in Gadchiroli.