



Digital Payment Systems and Cybersecurity: The Case of UPI, ULI and CBDC

Dr. P. Sanjeevi¹, Dr. J.S. Durga Prasad²

¹Faculty, ²Assistant Professor, SMM, Indian Maritime University, Visakhapatnam, India

Abstract-- The digital transformation of payment systems has been widely recognized as a significant enabler of financial inclusion, competition, and economic modernization. Over the last decade, India emerged as an international front-runner by developing integrated digital public infrastructure that supported new payment architectures such as the Unified Payments Interface (UPI), Unified Ledger Infrastructure (ULI), and Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC). This study examined the evolution of these payment instruments, analysed their policy and economic implications, and evaluated associated cybersecurity and regulatory challenges. A qualitative analytical method was employed to assess the technological, monetary, and institutional dimensions of these systems using policy documents, regulatory publications, and contemporary literature. Key results suggested that UPI expanded financial inclusion and competition while creating new data-driven credit pathways. ULI offered a prospective architecture for seamless tokenized settlements and programmable financial contracts, while CBDC pilots indicated the potential for digital sovereign currency to enhance cross-border efficiency and policy transmission. However, cybersecurity threats, privacy concerns, and regulatory coordination issues persisted as primary risks. The study concluded that the convergence of payments, data, and digital identity may reshape future economic and financial interactions, but long-term success will depend on establishing cyber-secure, interoperable, and legally harmonized frameworks.

Keywords-- digital payments; UPI, ULI, CBDC, cybersecurity, regulatory policy; digital public infrastructure.

I. INTRODUCTION

Digital payments have emerged as a backbone of contemporary economic systems. Their acceleration, as innovations in mobile technology, authentication frameworks and data infrastructure lowered transaction frictions and allowed new financial services (Reserve Bank of India, 2023). In India, constituent pieces of layered public digital infrastructures integrating identity (Aadhaar), payments (UPI), documentation (Digi Locker), mobility (FASTag) and grievance systems (Bharat BillPay) lent structural significance to the digitalization of payments. Launched in 2016, UPI was a game-changer for real-time interoperable payments and large-scale financial inclusion.

Subsequent policy evolutions — UPI Lite, UPI on feature phones, and recent initiatives to introduce manufacturers who accept credit cards-on-UPI as well as cross-border corridors for UPI — have showcased the dynamism of ever-evolving payment architectures.

Two other developments also transformed the innovation landscape. First, Unified Ledger Infrastructure (ULI) construction provided an integrated programmable settlement environment facilitating both tokenized assets and digital financial contracts (Bank for International Settlements, 2023). Second, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) was among the first to announce wholesale and retail pilots of CBDC in 2022 to explore monetary and cross-border opportunities for sovereign digital currency. Collectively, these instruments—and their accompanying structures—represented a layered modernization pathway across payments, settlement and monetary-policy design and with the birth of these channels came growing cybersecurity risks, fraud sophistication and data governance issues that made security, regulatory compliance and cybercrime protocols central to financial systems. This paper synthesizes these developments and perspectives to consider future prospects, implications for policy, and challenges for 2025–2035.

II. LITERATURE AND BACKGROUND

In the academic literature on digital payments, cost reduction, efficiency gains, financial inclusion and competition are all emphasised (OECD 2022). Payment infrastructure also affects credit intermediation, innovation spillovers, and market contestability. The Indian literature points out the importance of UPI's scalability, interoperability and platform economics as determinants of adoption (NPCI, 2023).

The BIS presented conceptual frameworks for ULI and tokenized deposits to enhance settlement efficiency, as well as provide programmable financial contracts (Bank for International Settlements, 2023). Studies on CBDCs focused by IMF and BIS looked into monetary policy transmission, cross-border remittances, and financial stability (IMF, 2024). Regulatory literature emphasized the tensions between innovation and risk, especially as they pertain to cybersecurity, privacy, competition and prudential oversight.



The literature in cybersecurity thus reflected the proliferation of even more pervasive social engineering, thicker layers of credential theft- hampered malware-based breach attempts, combined with an alarming rise in QR bias frauds and even API-chain vulnerabilities. India has put in place a multi-layered legal and regulatory mechanism to safeguard digital transactions and payment systems. The basis is provided by the IT Act in recognising electronic records and defining cyber offences while subsequent amendments strengthened enforcement. National cyber policies and strategies aim to protect critical infrastructure, enhance the country's cyber resilience by means of coordinated detection, response and recovery mechanisms. Catalyst in this regard is CERT-In, which acts as the incident response authority (arising from an advisory issued by them regularly) mandating audits where necessary and encouraging reporting of incidence, while an integrated national cybercrime portal coupled with dedicated police units allows for investigation of digital payment frauds and other cyber offences. With respect to the financial sector, the RBI has issued granular cybersecurity and operational resilience guidelines for banks or payment system operators, covering policies as well as crisis management plans, secure architectures and continuous monitoring. These sectoral measures are supported by comprehensive laws governing data protection, money laundering and lawful interception which together further enhance privacy, compliance and investigative powers in India's digital financial ecosystem.

III. METHODOLOGY

A qualitative analytic method was used which included:

- *Policy Analysis*: reviewing RBI, NPCI, BIS, OECD and DPDP Act documents.
- *UPI vs ULI Vs CBCD*: an economic-policy table of the comparison.
- It is desirable to converge the cyber frameworks and cyber security standards.
- *Contextual Update*: integration of UPI Global, CBDC pilots and multi-CBDC initiatives (2023-25).

Instead of measuring them empirically, this method algorithm compared payment innovations by economic, regulatory, and cybersecurity criteria.

IV. ANALYSIS OF UPI

UPI transformed digital payments in India with real-time, account-to-account transfer using interoperable virtual payment addresses.

Fintech innovators could better plug into the ecosystem due to its open and modular design, enabling a more competitive and contestable market structure. The simplicity of the system created minimal friction on both users and merchants, leading to fast adoption among all socio-economic strata. Monthly transactions were in billions by 2024 indicating high levels of public trust, low transaction costs and strong financial inclusion effects. UPI therefore became the bedrock of a new digital public infrastructure that transformed India's retail payments market.

4.1 Economic Impacts

UPI made small payments possible for consumers and merchants, enabling the use of digital payments even by youth and lower-income households. It also enabled data-based lending for small businesses, and made government payments and subsidies faster, cleaner and more efficient. UPI boosted online commerce and streamlined tax or fee payments between citizens and the government. A waiver of small merchant fees enabled rapid uptake but also led to questions about how payment providers will sustain their costs as time goes on.

4.2 Policy and Competition Implications

UPI's open architecture led to a proliferation of payment platforms and lowered the likelihood that any single player would dominate the market. RBI and NPCI also issued guidelines to prevent the monopoly of any one company, and to maintain the robustness and reliability of the system. UPI's global connections made it easier for tourists and workers to send money across borders. And this was also good for trade and currency flows across the nations.

V. ANALYSIS OF UNIFIED LEDGER INFRASTRUCTURE (ULI)

ULI is the concept of creating a single settlement layer where money, digital tokens and automation in automated contracts coexists within one settlement layer. What ULI does is instead of storing data for financing separately—like with banks, payment networks and clearinghouses—these individual records would move to a shared space where they could be processed faster and more efficiently. Its design emphasizes interoperability (systems interacting), programmability (rules and conditions embedded in transactions), atomic settlement (everything in a transaction settles simultaneously or not at all) and enhanced reconciliation (reducing time on record matching between institutions). Since data, payments and contracts all sit together, the system decreases delays, errors and costs from multi-step settlements that exist now.



ULI also supports advanced use cases like automated trade finance, smart contracts, cross-border payments, and tokenized assets, making financial markets more integrated, transparent, and resilient.

5.1 Economic and Market Implications

Using automatic rules and conditional payments, ULI can speed up and smooth financial activities such as settling securities, managing derivatives and supply-chain finance. It can also make transactions more visible and easier to verify, helping with ESG reporting. Since a lot of the steps are done automatically, it reduces paperwork, back-office operations and additional collateral requirements. In the long run ULI could fundamentally alter how markets are structured and how financial firms relate to one another.

5.2 Policy and Regulatory Implications

If all these things were united in a ULI, it would require separate regulators for payments, securities, data and money to collaborate since the system integrates them all. It also raises new policy questions,

VI. ANALYSIS OF CBDC

Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) are electronic equivalents of money that a country’s central bank directly issues; they are to cash what digital currencies would be. India started piloting CBDCs in late 2022, with retail and wholesale pilots exploring how customers and financial institutions could access these tools. The retail pilot concerns with day-to-day payments, for example between people and businesses; the wholesale pilot is more about settlements between banks and in government securities. And these pilots also allow the RBI to assess whether a digital rupee will make payments faster, cheaper and more secure.

They also provide a framework for exploring improved features of the financial system, lower reliance on cash, and innovation that would build upon a future CBDC. In general, the tests seek to see if CBDCs can function safely at scale and integrate into India’s larger monetary and regulatory ecosystem.

6.1 Drivers

CBDCs are primarily examined for their potential to: maintain national control of money, the growth of private cryptocurrency, programmable payments, better cross-border transfers and improving government subsidy payments.

6.2 Challenges

CBDCs would have to strike a balance between privacy for users and traceability that would deter fraud and crime. They could also siphon deposits from banks, which might have implications for lending. Additional challenges are posed by designing the network and getting people to feel comfortable using a new form of digital money. CBDCs will not be able to coexist smoothly with UPI and other payment systems unless there are some new technical standards that make this possible. This will also require coordination between different countries and regulators for cross-border CBDCs. And all of this complicates policy and regulatory decisions.

6.3 Global Developments

Countries have tested CBDCs, including Japan, Singapore and the UAE, as well as those in the EU. The BIS “m-Bridge” project explored the coexisting of different CBDCs for cross-border payments, demonstrating how global financial systems could increasingly link in the future.

VII. ECONOMIC AND POLICY COMPARISON

Table 1:
Economic and Policy Comparison of UPI, ULI, and CBDC

Instrument	Monetary Implications	Inclusion	Competition	Cyber-Risk	Regulatory Tools
UPI	Monetary neutral, enhances credit data	Very high	High	High	RBI, NPCI, DPDP, CERT-In
ULI	Potential impact on collateral & liquidity	Moderate	Moderate	High	RBI, SEBI, BIS policy
CBDC	May affect deposits, policy transmission	High	Low-Moderate	High-Very High	RBI, BIS, FATF, AML/CFT

Source: Compiled from RBI, NPCI, BIS, FATF, and CBDC pilot documentation.



VIII. CYBERSECURITY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

Cybersecurity regulation is the edifice of laws and policy that aim to protect sensitive data, digital systems, and critical infrastructure from cyber-attack. They are also key regulatory priorities, such as data privacy, secure handling of personal and financial data and timely breach disclosure. Systemic vulnerabilities have resulted in stricter compliance for the critical sectors like banking, energy, telecom and healthcare. Through authentication, encryption, fraud detection and operational resilience regulators also focus on secure digital transactions.

The regulatory environment includes legislation, policy guidance, sectoral standards and international norms. Cybercrime, data protection and electronic transactions are addressed by statutory instruments whilst sectoral regulators provide operational rules for continuing compliance. There are acknowledged international frameworks—such as ISO/IEC, NIST and GDPR that support harmonisation and delineate structured models for institutional cybersecurity governance. This approach allows for enhanced accountability and interoperability across digital systems.

Trends in recent years (2024–25) indicate a transition from basic cyber defence to more generalised forms of cyber resilience. This includes zero-trust architectures, cloud security, AI-driven threats and vendor supply-chain risks. New security and risk-management standards are required as crypto-assets and CBDCs proliferate. Other developments, including cyber insurance, ESG-linked disclosure of cyber risks and stress-testing all reflect an increasing acknowledgement that cybersecurity is not just a technical consideration it has financial and governance dimensions too.

Regulatory Architecture

India built out a multi-legged model such as:

- IT Governance and Cybersecurity: Implementation Guidelines by RBI.
- NPCI UPI security circulars.
- DPDP Act 2023 on Data Protection and Personal Digital Governance.
- CERT-In incident reporting norms
- Oversight by Financial Stability and Development Council.
- FATF AML/CFT compliance for cross-border payments
- OECD principles on the use of AI in automated risk systems, as these come into closer alignment with BIS and IMF talks on digital money risks.

IX. DISCUSSION

- Digital payment systems are becoming more unified with identity, data and money layers.
- Market players in the clearing and settlement of transactions, such as FAST, brought a positive impact on UPI by increasing financial inclusion across the country as well as stimulating competitive dynamics between payment platforms on both national and international levels.
- ULI launched a programmable and efficient settlement model that would redefine the operation of tokenized assets and financial markets.
- CBDCs were seen to provide benefits of digital sovereign money, particularly in their ability to potentially enhance cross-border payments and make monetary policy more effective, but also were viewed as raising risks about privacy issues, cybersecurity, and financial stability.
- Many things can happen in the next decade (2025–2035) — convergence among UPI, ULI and CBDC, parallel coexistence of systems and stronger global regulatory dynamics.
- The direction of future development will depend on cybersecurity resilience, interoperability, regulatory cooperation, and public trust.
- The direction of future development will depend on cybersecurity resilience, interoperability, regulatory cooperation, and public trust.

X. CONCLUSION

Digital payments in India grew rapidly and now account for a significant share of economic activity. The UPI has increased financial inclusion and competition while at the same time creating public trust in digital transactions. Meaning: A new way for settling tokenized assets and automated contracts, which will make reforms in the way we find transactions done in financial markets. CBDCs bring a new category of sovereign digital money to the table, along with potential gains for cross-border payments and monetary policy, as well as challenges related to privacy, security or systemic financial stability. In the future, digital payment systems will demand strong cybersecurity, regulation and cooperation among institutions. If these challenges are well managed, India could help shape global norms for digital finance in the years to come.



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 05, May 2026)

REFERENCES

- [1] Bank for International Settlements. (2023). *Blueprint for a future monetary system*. BIS.
- [2] IMF. (2024). *CBDCs and cross-border payments*. International Monetary Fund.
- [3] NPCI. (2023). *UPI product circulars and transaction reports*. National Payments Corporation of India.
- [4] OECD. (2022). *Digital payments and financial inclusion*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- [5] Reserve Bank of India. (2022). *Concept Note on Central Bank Digital Currency*. RBI.
- [6] MeitY. (2023). *National Cybersecurity Strategy Discussion Document*. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, Government of India.