International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435(Online) Volume 14, Issue 12, December 2025)

Principles of Antimicrobial and Antibiotic Stewardship

Guidance: Dr. Vanita B. Wadewale[1], Author: Khushi Sundarkar[2], Co author: Kajal suryawanshi[3]

[1]*Assistant professor, Department: Pharmacy practice, Shivlingeshwar College of Pharmacy, Almala, Tq-Ausa, Dist.- Latur, Maharashtra (MH) India 413520.*

[2,3]*Pharm D II year, Pharmacotherapeutics, Shivlingeshwar College of Pharmacy, Almala, Tq-Ausa, Dist.- Latur, Maharashtra (MH) India 413520.*

***Abstract*-- Antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) represents a coordinated and systematic set of interventions designed to optimize the use of antimicrobial agents, thereby improving patient outcomes while minimizing the emergence of resistance, toxicity, and overall healthcare costs. The global escalation of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has transformed stewardship programs from optional institutional initiatives into essential pillars of modern healthcare systems and public health policy. This comprehensive review synthesizes findings from 25 key review and guideline papers-including influential contributions by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA), and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)-as well as several recent systematic reviews.**

AMS focuses on the judicious selection, dosing, route, and duration of antimicrobial therapy, supported by evidence-based interventions such as prospective audit and feedback, formulary restriction, provider education, clinical decision support systems, and rapid diagnostic testing. Effective stewardship requires multidisciplinary collaboration among infectious disease specialists, microbiologists, pharmacists, and infection control teams. Outcomes from diverse healthcare settings consistently demonstrate that well-implemented AMS programs significantly reduce inappropriate antibiotic use, hospital-acquired infections, antimicrobial resistance rates, and associated healthcare expenditures.

However, substantial challenges persist, particularly in resource-limited settings, where barriers such as inadequate diagnostic capacity, lack of trained personnel, and weak surveillance systems hinder implementation. Addressing these challenges demands innovative, scalable, and context-specific models, coupled with continuous education, diagnostic integration, research support, and global policy harmonization to sustain the long-term efficacy of antimicrobial therapy.

***Keywords*-- Antimicrobial stewardship; antibiotic stewardship; antimicrobial resistance; infection control; hospital policy; clinical outcomes; stewardship principles; healthcare epidemiology; One Health; public health.**

I. Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance is one of the most pressing public health threats of the 21st century. Overuse and misuse of antibiotics in human and veterinary medicine have accelerated resistance, reducing the efficacy of life-saving drugs. In response, antimicrobial stewardship programs **(ASPs)** were developed to optimize antibiotic prescribing and preserve antimicrobial effectiveness. The foundational works of the IDSA, SHEA, and CDC established a framework for structured, multidisciplinary interventions within healthcare institutions.

II. Core Principles Of Antimicrobial Stewardship

According to IDSA and SHEA guidelines (Barlam et al., 2016; Dellit et al., 2007), stewardship rests on four fundamental principles:

1. Optimization of antimicrobial therapy - ensuring the right drug, dose, route, and duration.
2. Reduction of unnecessary use - limiting antibiotics when not indicated.
3. Prevention of resistance - minimizing selective pressure.
4. Improvement of patient outcomes - enhancing efficacy and safety.

The CDC's Core Elements of Hospital and Outpatient Antibiotic Stewardship (2014, updated 2019) emphasize institutional commitment, accountability, pharmacy expertise, action, tracking, reporting, and education.

III. Strategies And Interventions

Common ASP strategies include: - Prospective audit and feedback - Formulary restriction and preauthorization - Education and guidelines - Antibiotic "time-outs" and de-escalation.

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435(Online) Volume 14, Issue 12, December 2025)

- Rapid diagnostics and stewardship in ICU Studies such as those by Pickens & Wunderink (2019) and Giamarellou et al. (2023) highlight the value of diagnostic stewardship and de-escalation, particularly in critical care.

IV. Implementation Across Settings

Implementation models vary by resource level. In high-income countries, stewardship is well- integrated into hospital quality frameworks. In contrast, Kakkar et al. (2020) and Pulcini et al. (2018) note that low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) face infrastructural and policy barriers. Stepwise frameworks (Resman et al., 2020; Haddad et al., 2024) advocate context-specific adaptation and phased implementation.

V. Outcomes And Impact

Meta-analyses (Ya et al., 2023; Aiesh et al., 2023) consistently demonstrate reductions in antibiotic consumption, resistance rates, and hospital costs. Stewardship interventions also improve clinical outcomes, including reduced Clostridioides difficile infections and shorter hospital stays. Systematic reviews (Kaki et al., 2011; Doshi et al., 2025) further confirm stewardship's positive impact on both clinical and economic measures.

VI. Education And Training

Fleming et al. (2025) emphasize the integration of AMS principles into undergraduate curricula for healthcare professionals. Continuous education fosters culture change and empowers prescribers to make evidence-based antimicrobial choices.

VII. Challenges And Barriers

Common challenges include inadequate staffing, lack of diagnostic facilities, limited data systems, and poor regulatory enforcement. In LMICs, the absence of surveillance infrastructure limits stewardship evaluation (Ababneh et al., 2021). Balancing access to antibiotics with stewardship (Cohn et al., 2024) remains a key global dilemma.

VIII. Future Directions

Emerging strategies include artificial intelligence for prescribing support, electronic stewardship dashboards, and integration of One Health principles (Laxminarayan et al., 2020). International collaboration and standardized benchmarks will be crucial in maintaining sustainable AMS programs.

IX. Conclusion

Antimicrobial stewardship is a vital strategy in combating AMR and optimizing patient care. Consistent evidence from multiple reviews confirms that structured programs reduce inappropriate antibiotic use, resistance rates, and healthcare costs. The principles of stewardship-commitment, accountability, evidence-based action, and education-must be universally adopted and adapted across healthcare systems to ensure the continued effectiveness of antimicrobial therapy.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

[1] Barlam TF et al. Implementing an Antibiotic Stewardship Program: Guidelines by the IDSA and SHEA Clin Infect Dis. 2016.

[2] Dellit TH et al. Guidelines for Developing an Institutional Program to Enhance Antimicrobial Stewardship. Clin Infect Dis. 2007.

[3] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Core Elements of Hospital Antibiotic Stewardship Programs. CDC, 2014/2019.

[4] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The Core Elements of Outpatient Antibiotic Stewardship. CDC, 2016.

[5] Pollack LA et al. Core Elements of Hospital Antibiotic Stewardship Programs. Clin Infect Dis. 2014.

[6] Shrestha J. Antimicrobial Stewardship. StatPearls [Internet]. 2023.

[7] Giamarellou H et al. Antimicrobial Stewardship in the Hospital Setting. Antibiotics (MDPI). 2023.

[8] Pickens CI, Wunderink RG. Principles and Practice of Antibiotic Stewardship in the ICU. Crit Care Clin. 2019.

[9] Resman Fetal. Step-wise Design of an Antimicrobial Stewardship Program. Scand J Infect Dis. 2020.

[10] Kakkar AK et al. Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs in Resource-Limited Settings. Front Public Health. 2020.

[11] Pulcini C et al. Developing Core Elements for Global ASPs. One Health Trust Report. 2018.

[12] Mzumara GW et al. Protocols, Policies and Practices for Antimicrobial Stewardship. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2023.

[13] de Carvalho FRT et al. Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs: A Review. Antibiotics (MDPI). 2022.

[14] Cortegiani A et al. Antimicrobial Stewardship in ICU: Consensus Review. J Anesth Analg Crit Care. 2023.

[15] Ya KZ et al. Antimicrobial Stewardship Reduces Antibiotic Use Density: Systematic Review. JAMA Netw Open. 2023.

[16] Aiesh BM et al. Impact of ASPs on Clinical and Economic Outcomes. Sci Rep. 2023.

[17] Kaki R et al. Antimicrobial Stewardship in Critical Care: Systematic Review. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011.

[18] Haddad Net al. Practical Implementation Guidance and Hospital Experiences. Int J Med Microbial. 2024.

[19] Ababneh MA et al. ASP Practices in the Middle East: Systematic Review. Reg Health Rev. 2021.

[20] Vadala R et al. Antimicrobial Stewardship in Critical Care. Indian J Crit Care Med. 2020.

[21] CDC. Stewardship Implementation in Small/Critical Access Hospitals. CDC Toolkit.

[22] Cohn J et al. Accelerating Antibiotic Access and Stewardship. Lancet Infect Dis. 2024.

[23] Laxminarayan R et al. The Lancet Infectious Diseases Commission on AMR Lancet Infect Dis. 2020.

[24] Fleming N et al. Antimicrobial Stewardship Curricula for Healthcare Students. JAC-AMR. 2025.

[25] Doshi J, Ntim OK et al. Evaluations of ASPs: Systematic Reviews. Lancet. 2025.

Tools used:

Pubmed Central (PMC), CDC Stacks,MDPI, American Society for Microbiology, Google, Chatgpt, Canva.