

Load Balancing of Tasks in Cloud Computing Environments

Disha Saini¹, Parbhat Gupta²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of BCA, ²Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Vidya Institute of Creative Teaching Meerut, UP, India

Abstract— Cloud computing incorporates concepts of parallel and distributed computing to provide shared resources; hardware, software and information to computers or other devices on demand. These are emerging distributed systems which follows a "pay as you use" model. This paper is presents review of load balancing technique for the cloud computing applications. The Load balancing of non preemptive independent tasks on virtual machines (VMs) is an important aspect of task scheduling in clouds. Whenever certain VMs are overloaded and remaining VMs are under loaded with tasks for processing, the load has to be balanced to achieve optimal machine utilization.

Keywords— Cloud computing, Load balancing, Task scheduling, Virtual Machine, Resource sharing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cloud Computing is a concept of distributed computing in which one has the ability to run an application on many connected systems at the same time. It provides a utility service giving one an access to technology resources that are available on demand. With cloud computing coming into existence, the focus has shifted from provisioning of the infrastructure to business, thus increasing the efficiency of production. Cloud computing provides reliable and dependable on-demand infrastructure and services that reduce time and expenses.At the current time, one of the fields that are rapidly creating in figuring is blurring processing (CC). There are imperative administrations required by people as well as associations that are presented in CC, to be specific IaaS, SaaS, and PaaS. Through the production of the cloud, the advancement of the application has become simpler, as well as offering types of assistance to end-clients through virtualization over the web. In CC, we have cloud specialist co-ops who manage huge processing structures totally characterized on use as they offer their administrations in a reliable mode. Be that as it may; CC is confronting the issue of burden adjusting, which impacts the presentation and makes it frail assuming we over-burden the framework [1]. Notwithstanding the numerous previous exploration directed in the Distributed computing field, a few difficulties actually exist connected with responsibility adjusting in cloud-based applications and explicitly in the Foundation as administration (IaaS) cloud model.

Effective allotment of undertakings is a pivotal cycle in distributed computing because of the confined number of assets/virtual machines. IaaS is one of the models of this innovation that handles the backend where servers, server farms, and virtual machines are made due. Cloud Specialist organizations ought to guarantee high assistance conveyance execution in such models, keeping away from circumstances, for example, has being over-burden or underloaded as this will bring about higher execution time or machine disappointment, and so forth Task Planning profoundly adds to stack adjusting, and booking undertakings much sticks to the prerequisites of the Assistance Level Understanding (SLA), a record presented by cloud designers to clients. Significant SLA boundaries, for example, Cutoff time are tended to in the LB calculation [2].



Figure 1: Load balancing model

In distributed computing, asset provisioning is a critical moving errand because of dynamic asset provisioning for the applications. According to the responsibility prerequisites of the application's assets ought to be powerfully designated for the application. Differences in asset provisioning produce energy, cost wastages, and also, it influences Nature of Administration (QoS) and builds Administration Level Understanding (SLA) infringement. Thus, applications distributed assets amount should coordinate with the applications required assets amount. Load adjusting in distributed computing can be tended to through ideal booking strategies, while this arrangement has a place with the NP-Complete advancement issue classification.



In any case, the cloud suppliers generally face asset the board issues for variable cloud responsibilities in the heterogeneous framework climate [3].

The cloud applications are by and large calculation serious and can fill dramatically in memory with the expansion in size assuming no appropriate viable and effective burden adjusting strategy is embraced bringing about low quality arrangements. To give a superior burden adjusting arrangement in distributed computing, with broad information, another half and half model is being suggested that performs grouping on the quantity of documents present in the cloud utilizing record type organizing. The arrangement is performed utilizing Backing Vector Machine (SVM) considering different record organizations, for example, sound, video, text guides, and pictures in the cloud [4].

The current reasoning concerning calculations expected by Web of Things (IoT) applications is moving toward haze figuring rather than distributed computing, in this manner accomplishing a large portion of the necessary calculations at the organization edge of the IoT gadgets. Thusly, errands in different IoT applications should be actually dispersed over the haze hubs to work on the nature of administration, explicitly the assignment reaction time [5]. Accordingly, the plan of energy-proficient burden adjusting answers for edge and haze conditions has turned into the primary concentration. In this exploration work, we have proposed Dynamic Energy Productive Asset Portion (DEER) system for adjusting the heap in haze registering conditions. In the introduced methodology, at first the client submits undertakings for execution to the Assignments Chief. Asset Data Supplier registers assets from Cloud Server farms. The data about the assignments and assets are then submitted to the Asset Scheduler [6].

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

A. Bayan an et al., presents the evolvement in CC requires the more prominent requirement for foundation and asset improvement. The heap adjusting strategy ensures the productive utilization of the asset through the arrangement of administrations to the cloud supporters [1].

D. A. Shafiq et al., proposed calculation is expected to advance assets and further develop Burden Adjusting considering the Nature of Administration (QoS) task boundaries, the need of VMs, and asset allotment. The proposed LB calculation resolves the expressed issues and the momentum research hole in view of the writing's discoveries [2]. M. Sohani et al., proposed Prescient Need based Adjusted Heterogeneous Earliest Completion Time (PMHEFT) calculation, which can assess the application's impending asset requests. This examination contributes towards fostering the forecast based model for effective and dynamic asset provisioning in a heterogamous framework climate to satisfy the end client's necessities [3].

M. Junaid et al., presents the resultant information class gives high characterization precision which is additionally taken care of into a metaheuristic calculation in particular Subterranean insect Settlement Advancement (ACO) involving Document Type Organizing FTF for better burden adjusting in the cloud [4].

M. K. Hussein et al., presents, two nature-enlivened meta-heuristic schedulers, in particular subterranean insect settlement advancement (ACO) and molecule swarm enhancement (PSO), are utilized to propose two different planning calculations to successfully stack balance IoT undertakings over the haze hubs under correspondence cost and reaction time contemplations [5].

A. U. Rehman et al., presents asset scheduler organizes the accessible assets in diving request according to their use. The asset motor subsequent to getting the data of errands and assets from the asset scheduler allots undertakings to the assets according to requested rundown. During execution of undertakings, the data about the situation with the assets is likewise shipped off the Asset Burden Supervisor and Asset Power Administrator [6].

M. Junaid et al., presents information exists in enormous volume and assortment that requires broad calculations for its openness, and henceforth execution proficiency is a main pressing issue. To address such worries, we propose a heap adjusting calculation, specifically, Information Documents Type Organizing (DFTF) that uses an altered variant of Feline Multitude Improvement (CSO) alongside SVM [7].

M. Sardaraz et al., presents bottleneck undertakings are handled on high need to lessen execution time. In the following stage, undertakings are booked with the PSO calculation to diminish both execution time and money related expense. The calculation additionally screens the heap equilibrium to effectively use cloud assets. Benchmark logical work processes are utilized to assess the proposed calculation [8].

S. Ache et al., the calculation proposed in this work is contrasted and EDA and GA through the CloudSim reenactment analyze stage. The exploratory outcomes show that the EDA-GA crossover calculation can successfully lessen the errand culmination time and further develop the heap adjusting capacity [9].



M. Liaqat et al., presents, current review has refreshed nova scheduler to propose a multi-asset based VM arrangement way to deal with further develop application execution as far as focal handling unit (computer chip) usage and execution time. Tentatively we have shown that our proposed strategy has decreased application execution time by half when contrasted and one of the notable methods [10].

III. CHALLENGES

1. Performance Degradation:

It may lead to performance degradation as load balancers assign equivalent or predetermined weights to diverse resources and therefore it can result in poor performance in terms of speed and cost. Therefore, it is the need to have effective load balancers which balance load depending upon the type of resources.

2. Job Selection:

It deals with the issue of job selection. Whenever we are assigning some jobs to resources through load balancers. There should be an optimal algorithm to decide the order and which jobs should be given to which servers for our system to work efficiently.

3. Load Level Comparison:

Load distribution should be done based on the basis of load level comparison of different servers. Thus a whole system needs to be set up for collecting and maintaining the server's status data.

4. Load Estimation:

There is no way to determine or predict the load or the total number of processes on a node since the demand for process resources fluctuates quickly.

5. Performance Indices:

The performance indices of the system should not degrade anything more than a particular point. Load balancers should provide stability. So they need to make sure that during extreme events like- when the number of requests from the server increases drastically.

6. Availability and Scalability:

A distributed system should be easily available and scalable. Nowadays the concept of distributed systems is used all over the globe. It provides customers a lot of flexibility to view services on demand. Therefore an effective load balancer must account for transformation as per expectations of processing power and scalability.

7. Stability:

In a normal load balancer, there is a central node that is in charge of load balancing choices. As one load is given all the power, then it leads to the condition of a single point of failure. If the central node fails, it will badly impact the application. Therefore its the need to have some distributed algorithms to make sure that there we don't rely on a central node for all our tasks.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper presents different approach for load balancing of tasks in cloud computing environments. The honey bee technique is one of the best among different approachs. The JAVA netbeans software can be used with the wamp server for the implementation and simulation of the work. The various simulated work concludes the successfully load analysis of the maximum and minimum load analysis under virtual machine.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bayan A Al Amal Murayki Alruwaili; Mamoona Humayun; Jhanjhi, N Z. "Proposing a Load Balancing Algorithm For Cloud Computing Applications" Journal of Physics: Conference Series; Bristol Vol. 1979, Iss. 1, (Aug 2021).
- [2] D. A. Shafiq, N. Z. Jhanjhi, A. Abdullah and M. A. Alzain, "A Load Balancing Algorithm for the Data Centres to Optimize Cloud Computing Applications," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 41731-41744, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3065308.
- [3] M. Sohani and S. C. Jain, "A Predictive Priority-Based Dynamic Resource Provisioning Scheme With Load Balancing in Heterogeneous Cloud Computing," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 62653-62664, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3074833.
- [4] M. Junaid, A. Sohail, A. Ahmed, A. Baz, I. A. Khan and H. Alhakami, "A Hybrid Model for Load Balancing in Cloud Using File Type Formatting," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 118135-118155, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3003825.
- [5] M. K. Hussein and M. H. Mousa, "Efficient Task Offloading for IoT-Based Applications in Fog Computing Using Ant Colony Optimization," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 37191-37201, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2975741.
- [6] A. U. Rehman et al., "Dynamic Energy Efficient Resource Allocation Strategy for Load Balancing in Fog Environment," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 199829-199839, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3035181.
- [7] M. Junaid et al., "Modeling an Optimized Approach for Load Balancing in Cloud," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 173208-173226, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3024113.
- [8] M. Sardaraz and M. Tahir, "A Hybrid Algorithm for Scheduling Scientific Workflows in Cloud Computing," in IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 186137-186146, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2961106.



- [9] S. Pang, W. Li, H. He, Z. Shan and X. Wang, "An EDA-GA Hybrid Algorithm for Multi-Objective Task Scheduling in Cloud Computing," in IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 146379-146389, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2946216.
- [10] M. Liaqat, A. Naveed, R. L. Ali, J. Shuja and K. -M. Ko, "Characterizing Dynamic Load Balancing in Cloud Environments Using Virtual Machine Deployment Models," in IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 145767-145776, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2945499.