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Abstract—In this paper, an improved method based on evolutionary algorithm for speech signal denoising is proposed. In this approach, the stochastic global optimization techniques such as Artificial Bee Colony (ABC), Cuckoo Search (CS) algorithm, and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) technique are exploited for learning the parameters of adaptive filtering function required for optimum performance. It was found that the ABC algorithm and Cuckoo Search algorithm based speech denoising approach give better performance in terms of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) as compared to PSO based speech denoising approach. The quantitative (SNR, MSE and Maximum Error (ME)) and visual (Denoised speech signals) results show superiority of the proposed technique over the conventional and state-of-art speech signal denoising techniques. All proposed methods have been simulated in Matlab, and design results are illustrated clearly to show the superiority of the proposed method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of noise cancellation has received considerable attention in recent years in many speech applications, such as speech bandwidth compression, speech recognition, speaker verification, and [1]-[3]. For example, in automatic speech recognition from noise-corrupted speech, the noise cancellation schemes provide an improved quality of speech signal that helps in achieving a better recognition performance. The most common problem in speech processing is the effect of interference noise in the signals. This noise masks the speech signal and reduces its intelligibility. Various sources which produce interferences may include ventilation equipment, traffic, crowds and commonly, echoes and reverberation. It can also arise electronically from thermal noise, distortion products or tape hiss. If the sound system has large peaks in its frequency response, the speech signal can end up masking itself [2].

Quantitative measurements and computer-aided analysis become difficult and unreliable due to poor speech signal quality. Thus, denoising and enhancement of the speech become necessary for many practical applications. Noise reduction or speech enhancement algorithms are used to suppress background noise and improve the quality and intelligibility of speech. Conventional linear filtering is the most common way to do single trial analysis of speech signal, by which contaminations due to on-going background noise can be attenuated from the speech signal. A major difficulty in conventional linear filtering is very low SNR, since then, the concept of adaptive filtering was introduced.

Adaptive Filters: An adaptive filter adapts itself to changes in its input signals automatically according to a given algorithm. The algorithm will change the filter coefficients according to a given criteria, typically an error signal is given to improve its performance. In essence an adaptive filter is a digital filter combined with an adaptive algorithm, which is used to modify the filter coefficients and work as an adaptive noise canceller (ANC). Adaptive filters are used in many diverse applications like radar signal processing, telephone echo cancelling, equalization of communication channels and biomedical signal enhancement [4] [5], [6].

Adaptive Algorithms: Adaptive noise cancellation (ANC) uses various minimization techniques or adaptive algorithms like LMS, NLMS and RLS. These adaptive algorithms are Gradient based algorithm which are most commonly used due to simplicity in computation and easy implementation. These gradient based algorithms suffers from some problem like these are not suitable for multimodal error surface and it gives only one possible solution for each iteration according to generated error. The aim of this paper is to solve the problem of ANC, but these problems are not solved by conventional algorithm so here optimization algorithms (PSO, ABC and cuckoo search) are used. Optimization algorithm increased the probability of encountering the global optimum.
Performance of the Cuckoo Search based denoising technique performs better than PSO based method for speech denoising. The visual and quantitative results are given in results and discussions section. The paper is organized as follows. Section-II gives an overview of ANC for speech denoising. Section-III introduces PSO, ABC and the Cuckoo Search Algorithm for speech denoising case. In section-IV, qualitative and quantitative results of the proposed method with PSO, supported by SNR, MSE and ME have been discussed. Conclusions are given in the final section.

II. OVERVIEW OF ADAPTIVE NOISE CANCELLATION

Noise cancellation technology is a growing field that capitalizes on the combination of disparate technological advancements. This aims to cancel or at least minimize unwanted signal and so to remedy the excess noise that one may experience. There are already several solutions available [7], [8]. Adaptive noise cancellation is widely used to improve the Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) of a signal by removing noise from the received signal. The basic structure and working of ANC is shown in Fig.1. In this configuration the input x(n) is primary input signal fed into transversal filter and generates filtered output y(n) which is shown as y(n) = w(n)^H x(n) .The filter output y(n) is compared with the reference signal d(n) and produces error e(n), mathematical representation is e(n)=d(n)− y(n). This error is used to update new filter coefficients w (n) for transversal filter.

A.1) PSO Algorithm with Adaptive Filter:

The error signal should converge to the desired signal d(n), but it will not converge to the exact desired signal. In other words, the difference between the desired signal d(n) and the error signal e(n) will always be greater than zero. The only option to this problem is to minimize the difference between those two signals using certain error minimization techniques [5].

A.2) Procedure of PSO algorithm with adaptive filters:

The PSO algorithm is start with initialization of particles and their velocity with random swarm within a problem space and remaining procedure of PSO algorithm with ANC can be described in following steps [16]:

III. DIFFERENT EVOLUTIONARY TECHNIQUES FOR DENOISING OF SPEECH SIGNAL

Swarm intelligence (SI) is an innovative technique for problem optimization which is inspired by the social behaviours of animal swarms in nature, examples of systems like fish schooling, bird flocking, ant colonies, bacteria molding and animal herding. SI is an artificial intelligence technique based on animal behavior in which no centralized control (which shows how individual agents should behave) and self-organized systems. The Global behavior is achieved by local interactions between agents. Recent years, several swarm intelligence algorithms have been proposed [9]. Swarm based algorithm are used in various applications to solve different kind of problems which has many possible solutions.

A. Particle Swarm Optimization Technique

Particle Swarm Optimization was proposed in 1995 by James Kennedy and R. C. Eberhart. PSO is an Evolutionary Swarm technique based on stochastic optimization and inspired by social behavior of bird flocking. Here birds find the food by the social cooperation with other birds. They move in random direction to find a food and all bird follow the positions and who is closest to food will inform to other one of the member, but there is no leader. All of them hold their and all other birds will update their position. This process will continue until they reach to food location [10-12].

A.1) PSO Algorithm with Adaptive Filter:

The main motive of adaptive filter with PSO algorithm is to optimize (minimize) the cost function which is calculated by Mean squared difference i.e. MSE, between desired signal and filtered output. This value is used to calculate fitness value of each particle. The cost function or MSE C (n) (the MSE for the i^th particle at the nth iteration) is defined as [10, 13-15]:

\[
C_i(n) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} [e_i(n)^2] 
\]

Where, e_i(n) is i^th error for i^th particle and M is number of input sample.

A.2) Procedure of PSO algorithm with adaptive filters:

The PSO algorithm is start with initialization of particles and their velocity with random swarm within a problem space and remaining procedure of PSO algorithm with ANC can be described in following steps [16]:
Step1. Start: Decide the problem space and define the maximum and minimum limit for particle (±Xi) i.e. weight vector and their velocity vector (±Vi).

Step2. Initialize particles Xi with random positions and their corresponding velocities Vi according to the problem space.

Step3. Update Position: If present position is within the problem space than follow the next step otherwise adjust the positions.

Step4. Fitness function: Calculate fitness function using Eq.(1) For each particle:

Calculate Pbest: Compare fitness value of particle with its Pbest, if current value is better then set Pbest equal to the current value.

Calculate Gbest: Find present global minimum from best position of particles, and assign value to the variable g (Gbest).

Step5. Update Vi and Xi: Update the velocity and position of the particle:

\[ V_{pd}(i+1) = W(i) V_{pd}(i) + c_1 r_1 (X_{pd}(i) - X_{pd}(i)) + c_2 r_2 (X_{gpd}(i) - X_{pd}(i)) \]  

\[ X_{pd}(i+1) = X_{pd}(i) + V_{pd}(i+1) \]  

Xi represents the ith particle; Pi represents the best previous position; Vi represents the velocity; c1 and c2 are positive constant commonly set to 2. The r1 and r2 are two random numbers within range 0 to 1.

Fp(i) and Fg(i) are the personal best position and global best position. Fp(i) is initialized with Fpd, which is calculated in step 4 and the best of Fp(i) is taken Fg(i) at initial step.

Xpd(i) and Xgpd(i) are the personal best position and the global best position respectively. The position of Fp(i) is stored in Xpd(i) and position of Fg(i) is stored in Xgpd(i).

Step6. The updating of position and velocity is restricted by the boundary value which is 80% of maximum and minimum value of the particle search space.

Step7. Calculate the fitness Fpd(i) at new search position. Update Fp(i) if current value of Fpd(i) is less than the current value of Fp(i), otherwise retain old Fp(i). Update Fg(i) if best of Fp(i) is less than previous Fg(i) otherwise retain Fg(i), similarly Xpd(i) and Xgpd(i) is updated accordingly.

Step8. Repeat step 5 to step 7 till stopping criteria or maximum number of iteration is achieved.

B. ABC Algorithm

Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) is one of the recently defined algorithms by D. Karaboga in 2005 [17]. The algorithm is specifically based on the model proposed by Tereshko and Loengarov (2005) for foraging the behaviour of honey bee colonies. It is motivated by the intelligent behaviour of bees. The ABC algorithm is as simple as PSO and differential evolutionary algorithms, and is also easy to implement. ABC algorithm uses the common control parameters like colony size and maximum number of cycle. ABC as an optimization tool provides a population based search in which individuals known as foods positions are modified by the artificial bees with time. The bee’s aim is to search or to discover the places of food sources with high nectar amount and finally the one with the highest nectar. Initially, all food source positions are searched by scout bees and then the nectar of food sources are exploited by the employed bees and onlooker bees, and this continual exploitation will ultimately cause them to become exhausted.

In ABC algorithm, the colony of artificial bees contains three groups of bees: employed bees, onlookers and scouts. The Onlooker bee waits on the dance area for making decision to choose a food source. A bee going to the food source visited by it previously is named an employed bee. A bee carrying out random search is called as scout.

The detailed algorithm steps for the optimization of the (thresholding) function are as follows:

Step1: Initialization of control parameters. The main control parameters of the ABC algorithm is colony size and number of iterations. So, initialize the colony (CS) size by 6. Limit of the scout bees is given by L = (CS*D)/2. The dimension D of the problem is three.

Step2: Initialize the position of CS/2 food sources of employed bees, randomly using the variables over a defined range. The range is taken as λ=1 to 150, k=0.1 to 2, m=1 to 4 and find CS/2 solutions.

Step3: Evaluate fitness for each of the obtained solution.

\[ C_i(n) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{M} (e_{in}(n))^2 \]  

(1)
Fitness function:

\[
\text{fitness}_i = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{1 + C_i}, & \text{if } C_i \geq 0 \\
1 + \text{abs}(C_i) & \text{if } C_i < 0
\end{cases}
\] (4)

Step 4: Select the maximum value of the fitness, which is the best quality of food source.

Cycle 1: Employed bees phase

\[v_{ij} = x_{ij} + \phi_{ij}(x_{ij} - x_{ij})\] (5)

Where, k and j are random selection index, \( \phi \) is randomly produced number in the range \([0, 1]\).

Calculate \( C(v_i) \) and the fitness of \( v_i \). By comparing with the fitness of previously obtained solution with the \( C(v_i) \).

Replace \( C_i \) with \( C(v_i) \) if \( C_i < C(v_i) \) replace it with \( C(v_i) \) otherwise increase the trial counter.

Step 5: calculate the probability values \( p \) for the solutions \( x \) by means of their fitness using the equation:

\[p_i = \frac{\text{fitness}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{\text{CS/2}} \text{fitness}_i}\] (6)

Step 6: Onlooker bee’s phase:

Produce the new solution \( v_i \) for onlooker bees from the solutions \( x \) selected, and depending upon the probability \( p_i \) evaluate them. Then apply greedy selection between \( C(v_i) \) and \( C_i \).

Step 7: memories the best solution and corresponding vector.

Step 8: scout bee phase, for replacing the abandoned solution (the solution of which trial is more than L), a new random solution is generated.

Step 9: update cycle and repeat the process until stopping criteria is achieved.

C. Cuckoo Search Algorithm

In 2009, Yang and Deb have proposed the Cuckoo Search (CS) optimization algorithm [18]. The CS algorithm is a new meta-heuristic algorithm for solving the optimization problems. It is inspired by the obligate brood parasitism of some Cuckoo species by laying their eggs in the nests of other host birds (of other species). Some host birds can engage direct conflict with the intruding Cuckoos. The algorithm is based on the obligate brood parasitic behavior of some cuckoo species in combination with the L’evy flight behavior of fruit flies and some of the birds.

Each egg in cuckoo search algorithm represents a solution and Cuckoo egg represents a new solution. Overall there is aim to employ new and potentially better solutions to replace weak solutions in the nests. In the simplest form, each nest has one egg. The Cuckoo algorithm can be extended to more complicated cases, which are having more than one egg representing a set of solutions. The CS is based on three idealized rules:

1. Each Cuckoo lays one egg at a time, and dumps it in a randomly chosen nest;
2. The best nests with high quality of eggs (solutions) will carry over to the next generations;
3. The hosts nests are fixed in count, and a host can discover an alien egg with probability \( p_d \in [0, 1] \). In this case, the host bird can either throw the egg away or abandon the nest to build a completely new nest in a new location.

Based on above mentioned rules, the basic steps of Cuckoo algorithm are:

Step 1: Set the number of nest. Nest is nothing but different solutions. In this problem, it is taken as 20. Set the probability with a discovery rate (probability). Set the stopping criteria, which is either fixed number of iteration or tolerance value. Set dimension of the problem. The number of dimension is 3 here. Also set the boundaries of the parameters.

Step 2: Randomly initialize the solution, by generating different nest for obtaining different solutions.

Step 3: Evaluate fitness for each of the obtained solution. Find the best nest corresponding to minimum value of fitness.

Step 4: Start iteration, generate new nest by Levy flight but keep the current best. A Levy flight can be formed as Cuckoo i, a Levy flight is performed by the equation:

\[x_i(t + 1) = x_i(t) + \alpha \otimes L’evy(\lambda)\] (7)

Where, \( \alpha \) is step size. It essentially provides a random walk while the random step length is drawn from L’evy distribution, which has an infinite variance with an infinite mean. L’evy distribution is given by:

\[L’evy\ u = \lambda^\nu, \quad (1 < \lambda \leq 3)\] (8)

L’evy function can be changed according to application. Mantegna’s algorithm is one of the L’evy function.
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Step 5: 评估这一组解集并获得新的适应度。将旧的适应度与这个新的适应度进行比较，并将旧的适应度替换为新的适应度，如果新的适应度优于旧的适应度。

Step 6: 重复上述过程，直到满足某些停止标准，给出最佳适应度和对应的最佳巢。

A complete flowchart routine of optimization based methodology for speech denoising is shown in Fig. 2 depicting the detail steps of overall algorithm.

IV. Results and Discussion

In this section, various evolutionary algorithms based on the proposed denoising scheme are exploited for speech signals. Performance of the proposed scheme is computed by determining different fidelity parameters such as SNR, MSE and ME given by Eqs (9), (10) and (11) respectively. In this paper, denoising performance of PSO algorithm, ABC algorithm and Cuckoo Search (CS) algorithm is compared on the basis of SNR, MSE and ME. For making this comparison, PSO algorithm, ABC algorithm and CS algorithm is executed with MATLAB R2012a. The performance result of various evolutionary algorithms is shown in Fig.3, Fig.4, Fig.5 and Table I, Table II and Table III shows the results obtained by running the algorithms for an input SNR of 5 dB, 10 dB and 15 dB respectively. It was observed that the ABC algorithm and CS algorithm gives better value of SNR, MSE and ME as compared to PSO.

Fidelity parameters:

(i) \( \text{SNR}_{db} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\text{Desired Signal}}{\text{Error}} \right)^2 \) (9)

(ii) \( \text{MSE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \text{Desired Signal} - \text{Error} \right)^2 \) (10)

(iii) \( \text{ME} = \max \left( \text{abs} \left( \text{Desired Signal} - \text{Error} \right) \right)^2 \) (11)

TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Iterations</th>
<th>PSO Algorithm</th>
<th>ABC Algorithm</th>
<th>CS Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNR(dB)</td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.8124</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>-3.2291e-007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>16.4975</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>-1.1733e-007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>20.4927</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>-3.6356e-007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table II
For 10 dB Input SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Iterations</th>
<th>PSO Algorithm</th>
<th>ABC Algorithm</th>
<th>CS Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNR(dB)</td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>20.1432</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>-2.0044e-007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>25.1729</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>-1.8007e-007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>28.0091</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>-2.2596e-007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III
For 15 dB Input SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Iterations</th>
<th>PSO Algorithm</th>
<th>ABC Algorithm</th>
<th>CS Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNR(dB)</td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>24.0756</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>-5.3102e-008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>28.1024</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>-8.3923e-008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.3 Result of various evolutionary algorithms for 400 iterations (at 5 dB noise)
In this work, an improved denoising scheme for speech signal is presented using different evolutionary algorithms such as CS algorithm, ABC algorithm and PSO algorithm. The fidelity parameters obtained clearly show superiority of the proposed technique over other conventional speech denoising techniques. A comparative study of different evolutionary algorithms has also been made, and it has been found that the proposed technique based on CS algorithm and ABC algorithm yields better performance as compared to PSO in terms of SNR, MSE and ME.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, an improved denoising scheme for speech signal is presented using different evolutionary algorithms such as CS algorithm, ABC algorithm and PSO algorithm. The fidelity parameters obtained clearly show superiority of the proposed technique over other conventional speech denoising techniques. A comparative study of different evolutionary algorithms has also been made, and it has been found that the proposed technique based on CS algorithm and ABC algorithm yields better performance as compared to PSO in terms of SNR, MSE and ME.
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