

An Almost Correct Theory of Flavour

Why the Standard Model Knows How Particles Interact but Not Why they Come in Such Peculiar Families

Dr. Ijaz Durrani

University of Education, Lahore, Pakistan

Abstract-- The Standard Model of particle physics is simultaneously one of the most successful theories ever constructed and one of the most conspicuously incomplete explanations of Nature. Nowhere is this paradox sharper than in the problem of flavour. The theory correctly accommodates three generations of quarks and leptons, their masses, their weak mixing patterns, and the observed violation of charge-parity symmetry. Yet it does not explain why three generations exist, why their masses span enormous hierarchies, why quark mixing is comparatively modest while neutrino mixing is large, or why the Yukawa couplings assume their apparently arbitrary values. In this sense, the Standard Model contains an extraordinarily accurate *description* of flavour without possessing a genuine *theory* of flavour.

This paper develops the notion of an “almost correct theory of flavour”: a framework in which the observed flavour structure is interpreted not as a collection of unrelated numerical accidents but as the low-energy remnant of a deeper organising principle. Particular attention is given to approximate flavour symmetries, spontaneous symmetry breaking, Froggatt–Nielsen mechanisms, discrete non-Abelian symmetries, modular flavour theories, grand unification, radiative mass generation and the possibility that flavour itself is emergent. The increasing precision of measurements of rare meson decays, CP violation, neutrino oscillations and charged-lepton processes places severe restrictions upon such theories. The central argument is that the next theory of flavour need not overthrow the Standard Model; rather, it must explain why the Standard Model's apparently arbitrary flavour parameters possess precisely the structure that experiments reveal.

Keywords-- Flavour physics; Standard Model; Yukawa couplings; quark generations; lepton generations; CKM mixing; PMNS mixing; neutrino masses; flavour symmetry; CP violation; Froggatt–Nielsen mechanism; modular symmetry; grand unification; flavour-changing neutral currents; physics beyond the Standard Model.

I. INTRODUCTION: THE GREAT SUCCESS WITH A MISSING EXPLANATION

Particle physics possesses a peculiar embarrassment.

We know remarkably well how elementary particles behave, yet we remain surprisingly ignorant about why there are several copies of them.

The electron has two heavier relatives, the muon and tau. The up and down quarks are accompanied by charm, strange, top and bottom quarks. These particles possess identical gauge quantum numbers within their respective classes yet radically different masses.

Nature therefore seems to have copied the same fundamental design three times and altered principally the masses and mixing parameters.

Why?

The Standard Model does not say.

Its gauge structure explains electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions with extraordinary precision. The Higgs mechanism explains how elementary fermions *can* acquire masses. But the numerical strengths of their couplings to the Higgs field must simply be supplied to the theory from experiment [1–4].

This distinction is fundamental.

The Higgs mechanism explains the **existence of the machinery of mass generation**.

It does not explain the **pattern of masses generated by that machinery**.

The top quark couples powerfully to the Higgs field. The electron couples extremely weakly. Neutrinos are lighter still and may obtain their masses through physics not contained in the minimal Standard Model.

Why should Nature employ such an extravagant hierarchy?

The flavour problem begins precisely here.

II. WHAT PHYSICISTS MEAN BY “FLAVOUR”

“Flavour” is an unexpectedly domestic word for one of particle physics' deepest mysteries.

It distinguishes particles possessing identical gauge interactions but belonging to different generations.



The six quark flavours are:

up, down, charm, strange, top and bottom.

The charged leptons are:

electron, muon and tau.

Corresponding to them are three known neutrino flavours.

The gauge forces largely disregard these generational identities. An electron and muon carry the same electric charge. Yet their masses differ enormously.

Thus flavour cannot merely be another ordinary gauge charge.

Something else is organising the generations.

The discovery of neutrino oscillations made the puzzle deeper. Neutrinos produced with one flavour can later be detected as another, demonstrating that flavour states and mass states are not identical [5,6].

Flavour is therefore not simply a catalogue of particles.

It is a dynamical architecture.

III. THE PECULIAR HIERARCHY OF FERMION MASSES

One of the strongest clues is the astonishing range of fermion masses.

The top quark has a mass of roughly 173 GeV, whereas the electron weighs only about half an MeV. Neutrino masses are vastly smaller again.

These numbers do not resemble random variations around a common scale.

They form pronounced hierarchies.

The generations themselves appear roughly hierarchical:

first generation: very light,

second generation: intermediate,

third generation: heavy,

Although neutrinos complicate this otherwise suggestive pattern.

The Standard Model accommodates these facts perfectly well because its Yukawa couplings may take essentially whatever experimentally required values are assigned to them.

But accommodation is not explanation.

A satisfactory flavour theory should ideally derive, or at least naturally generate, these hierarchies.

This is analogous to the historical development of atomic physics. Before quantum mechanics, spectroscopy possessed enormous quantities of accurate empirical information. The breakthrough came when apparently arbitrary spectral patterns became consequences of an underlying theory.

Flavour physics may presently occupy a comparable intellectual stage.

We possess the spectrum.

We await the grammar.

IV. TWO MIXING WORLDS

The flavour puzzle becomes particularly intriguing when quarks and leptons are compared.

Quark mixing is described by the Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa matrix [7,8]. Its structure is strongly hierarchical: transitions between neighbouring generations are considerably larger than transitions between the most widely separated generations.

Neutrino mixing, represented by the Pontecorvo–Maki–Nakagawa–Sakata matrix, looks dramatically different [5,9].

Several neutrino mixing angles are large.

Nature therefore seems to operate two contrasting flavour architectures:

quarks whisper between generations; neutrinos converse loudly across them.

Any deeper flavour theory must explain why particles embedded within the same Standard Model exhibit such radically different mixing structures.

That contrast is unlikely to be an incidental decorative feature. It may be one of the most important clues available.

V. CP VIOLATION: THE ASYMMETRY HIDDEN INSIDE FLAVOUR

Flavour also contains the phenomenon of CP violation.

Kobayashi and Maskawa famously recognised that three generations of quarks permit an irreducible complex phase capable of producing CP violation [8]. The later discovery of the third generation transformed this theoretical possibility into a central component of the Standard Model.

Experiments involving kaons and B mesons subsequently established the CKM mechanism with impressive precision [10–12].

Yet another puzzle immediately appears.

The amount of CP violation contained in the Standard Model appears insufficient to explain the observed cosmic predominance of matter over antimatter.

Thus flavour physics may be connected to one of cosmology's deepest questions:

Why is there anything left in the Universe at all?

If additional sources of CP violation exist in the lepton sector, particularly among neutrinos, they could potentially participate in mechanisms such as leptogenesis [13].

Flavour may therefore contain fossils of the process that created the material Universe.

VI. THE FROGGATT–NIELSEN IDEA: HIERARCHY FROM SYMMETRY

One of the most elegant attempts to explain fermion hierarchies was proposed by Froggatt and Nielsen in 1979 [14].

Its essential insight is beautifully simple.

Suppose an additional symmetry exists at high energies. Different fermions carry different charges under this symmetry. Direct couplings to the Higgs field may then be forbidden or suppressed.

When the symmetry is broken, effective interactions appear with differing degrees of suppression.

Large fermion masses correspond to relatively unsuppressed interactions.

Tiny fermion masses arise from strongly suppressed ones.

The enormous observed hierarchy could therefore emerge from comparatively modest underlying structural differences.

This transforms the Yukawa couplings from arbitrary numbers into consequences of symmetry.

The Froggatt–Nielsen mechanism remains influential because it converts the flavour problem into something physics knows how to handle exceptionally well:

symmetry and its breaking.

VII. DISCRETE SYMMETRIES AND THE NEUTRINO CLUE

Another major approach invokes discrete flavour symmetries.

Groups such as A_4 , S_4 and related finite groups became particularly attractive after neutrino experiments revealed unexpectedly large mixing angles [15–17].

Certain discrete symmetries naturally generate highly structured lepton mixing patterns.

Early models sometimes predicted idealised configurations such as tribimaximal mixing. Precision measurements—especially the demonstration that the reactor mixing angle is definitely non-zero—showed that the simplest versions cannot be exact descriptions of Nature.

Yet this apparent failure was scientifically productive.

It suggested that flavour symmetry may be approximate rather than exact.

The observed mixing pattern could therefore be the scar left behind after a more symmetrical high-energy theory was broken.

That possibility recurs throughout modern flavour physics:

the symmetry may be invisible precisely because Nature has already broken it.

VIII. MODULAR FLAVOUR: GEOMETRY REPLACES THE FLAVOUR

A particularly elegant modern development involves modular symmetry [18–20].

Traditional flavour models frequently introduce additional scalar fields called flavons. Their vacuum configurations break flavour symmetries and generate fermion mass patterns.

Modular flavour theories offer a striking alternative.

Here, Yukawa couplings themselves can behave as mathematical functions controlled by a modulus—a quantity associated with the geometry of an underlying compact space.

Flavour structure can consequently emerge from geometry.

This is conceptually profound.

Instead of asking why Nature selected a bewildering collection of Yukawa constants, one asks whether those constants are evaluations of a much smaller mathematical structure.

The dream is unmistakable:

replace arbitrary parameters with geometry.

Such approaches remain speculative, but they represent an important shift from cataloguing flavour parameters toward deriving them.

IX. GRAND UNIFICATION AND THE FAMILY PUZZLE

Grand Unified Theories provide another possible window.

In theories based upon groups such as $SU(5)$ or $SO(10)$, particles that appear unrelated in the Standard Model can belong to common unified multiplets [21–23].

$SO(10)$ is especially suggestive because an entire Standard Model generation, together with a right-handed neutrino, can fit elegantly within a single representation.

This immediately raises an alluring possibility.

Perhaps flavour is not independent of unification.

Perhaps the replication of generations reflects some deeper structure of the unified theory.

Unfortunately, ordinary grand unification does not automatically explain why three generations exist or why their masses differ.

It rearranges the pieces beautifully.

It does not yet explain why the chessboard contains exactly those pieces.

X. NEUTRINO MASSES: THE CRACK IN THE MINIMAL STANDARD MODEL

Neutrinos provide the clearest experimental indication that the minimal Standard Model is incomplete.

Oscillation experiments demonstrate that neutrinos possess non-zero mass differences [5,6].

Yet the minimal Standard Model contains no conventional mechanism giving them the observed masses.

The seesaw mechanism provides one compelling possibility [24–26]. Extremely heavy right-handed neutrinos can naturally produce extraordinarily light observed neutrinos.

This is important for flavour theory because the neutrino sector may contain information about physics at energy scales far beyond direct experimental reach.

If neutrino masses originate from a high-energy seesaw mechanism, their mixing pattern could preserve indirect evidence of otherwise inaccessible physics.

Neutrinos may therefore function as **microscopic archaeological artefacts from the ultraviolet Universe**.

XI. THE FLAVOUR-CHANGING NEUTRAL CURRENT PROBLEM

Any new theory of flavour faces an immediate danger.

New interactions capable of explaining flavour hierarchies can also generate flavour-changing processes that experiments have shown to be extraordinarily rare.

Neutral meson oscillations, rare kaon decays, B-meson transitions and charged-lepton flavour violation impose severe constraints upon new physics [27–30].

This creates what is commonly called the **new-physics flavour problem**.

If new particles exist near experimentally accessible energies, why have they not already produced conspicuous flavour violations?

One influential response is Minimal Flavour Violation [31].

Its central idea is that even new physics respects the basic flavour-breaking structure already present in the Standard Model.

The Standard Model then becomes not merely an approximation but the flavour template that new physics is required to imitate.

This may explain why the theory remains astonishingly successful even if deeper physics exists.

XII. THE B-PHYSICS REVOLUTION—AND ITS WARNING

During the past decade, rare B-meson decays generated considerable excitement because several measurements appeared to deviate from Standard Model expectations.

Particular attention surrounded possible violations of lepton flavour universality.



Some early anomalies seemed tantalisingly suggestive of new physics.

But flavour physics has repeatedly taught an important methodological lesson:

an anomaly is not a discovery.

Improved measurements have caused several once-prominent discrepancies to weaken substantially.

This is not a disappointment.

It demonstrates the extraordinary power of precision flavour experiments to eliminate seductive but incorrect theories.

Experiments at LHCb, Belle II and related facilities continue to test rare processes with increasing precision [32–34].

Flavour physics advances not merely when an anomaly survives, but also when an anomaly dies.

Every disappearance removes a false road from the theoretical map.

XIII. CHARGED-LEPTON FLAVOUR VIOLATION

If neutrinos mix, one might naturally wonder whether charged leptons can transform between flavours in processes beyond the minuscule Standard Model expectations.

Processes such as muon-to-electron conversion or rare muon decays are therefore exceptionally powerful probes.

Experiments including MEG II, Mu2e and COMET seek such phenomena with extraordinary sensitivity [35–37].

Observation of charged-lepton flavour violation at accessible rates would constitute unequivocal evidence for physics beyond the minimal Standard Model.

This experimental frontier is particularly attractive because it does not require the direct production of enormously massive new particles.

Quantum effects can reveal heavy physics indirectly.

The flavour laboratory can therefore become a telescope aimed toward energy scales that colliders cannot directly reach.

XIV. WHY THREE GENERATIONS?

This remains perhaps the most aesthetically disturbing question.

Why three?

The Standard Model offers no fundamental answer.

One generation would suffice to construct ordinary matter.

Indeed, almost everything encountered in everyday life is built from first-generation fermions: electrons, up quarks and down quarks.

The heavier generations are unstable.

Nature therefore created two additional families that rapidly decay into the first.

Their existence seems extravagant unless they perform some deeper role.

Three generations are crucial for the known CKM form of CP violation.

But whether this fact explains their existence or merely exploits it remains unknown.

Possible explanations involve anomaly cancellation in extended theories, topology of compact extra dimensions, family symmetries, string constructions and other high-energy structures.

None has yet achieved decisive empirical confirmation.

The number three remains written into Nature without an accompanying footnote.

XV. COULD FLAVOUR BE EMERGENT?

Perhaps the deepest possibility is that flavour is not fundamental at all.

The Standard Model treats quarks and leptons as elementary.

But history repeatedly warns us that apparently elementary structures may possess hidden constituents or collective origins.

Atoms were once elementary.

Nuclei were once elementary.

Protons and neutrons were once elementary.

If fermion generations arise from compositeness, topology, extra-dimensional localisation or another emergent mechanism, the flavour hierarchy could reflect internal structure rather than arbitrary fundamental constants [38,39].



Different fermion masses might then correspond to different configurations of a deeper substrate.

Such ideas remain speculative.

Yet they attack the flavour problem at its root rather than decorating its symptoms.

XVI. AN "ALMOST CORRECT" THEORY

What, then, would qualify as an almost correct theory of flavour?

It should reproduce at least six major empirical facts:

1. the existence of three observed generations;
2. the enormous hierarchy of charged-fermion masses;
3. the comparatively small hierarchy of neutrino masses;
4. the hierarchical structure of quark mixing;
5. the large mixing characteristic of neutrinos;
6. the observed pattern of CP violation while allowing a plausible connection to the cosmic matter-antimatter asymmetry.

But an almost correct theory need not initially derive every measured number with arbitrary precision.

Kepler's laws were almost correct before Newton supplied their dynamical foundation.

The Bohr atom was almost correct before quantum mechanics.

Fermi's theory of beta decay was almost correct before electroweak unification.

Scientific progress frequently proceeds through theories that identify the correct organising principle before revealing its ultimate microscopic origin.

The next advance in flavour physics may have precisely this character.

XVII. RESEARCH FINDINGS

The present analysis yields several principal conclusions.

First, the Standard Model's flavour sector is phenomenologically successful but conceptually underdetermined. Its Yukawa parameters encode experimental information rather than explaining it.

Second, fermion mass hierarchies strongly suggest the presence of an organising mechanism. Approximate symmetries remain among the most economical candidates.

Third, the striking contrast between CKM and PMNS mixing constitutes a powerful discriminator among competing theories.

Fourth, neutrino physics is likely to be indispensable to any complete flavour theory because neutrino masses already require an extension of the minimal Standard Model.

Fifth, the absence of large flavour-changing neutral currents severely constrains accessible new physics and implies that any deeper theory must possess an efficient mechanism for suppressing unwanted transitions.

Sixth, modern modular approaches suggest an intriguing conceptual transition: flavour parameters might ultimately be consequences of geometry rather than arbitrary constants.

Finally, precision flavour physics may probe energy scales vastly exceeding those accessible through direct particle production.

XVIII. NOVELTY AND UNIQUENESS OF THE PRESENT PERSPECTIVE

The central conceptual proposal advanced here is that the flavour problem should be viewed neither as evidence that the Standard Model is fundamentally mistaken nor merely as an exercise in parameter fitting.

Instead, the Standard Model may be regarded as an **almost correct effective theory of flavour**.

Its numerical structure could represent the low-energy projection of a simpler high-energy principle.

The distinction is subtle but important.

A deeper theory need not destroy CKM mixing, Yukawa interactions or the Higgs mechanism.

It should explain them.

The successful quantities of the Standard Model would survive just as Newtonian mechanics survives inside relativity and classical optics survives inside quantum electrodynamics.

The flavour puzzle may therefore be less a demand for revolution than a demand for ancestry.

We know the descendant.

We are searching for its parent theory.

XIX. EXPERIMENTAL ROAD AHEAD

The coming generation of experiments will interrogate flavour from several directions simultaneously.

Belle II will continue high-precision studies of B, charm and tau physics.

LHCb will accumulate increasingly precise measurements of heavy-flavour decays.

Neutrino experiments such as DUNE and Hyper-Kamiokande will sharpen our understanding of the neutrino mass ordering and leptonic CP violation.

Rare-muon experiments will search for charged-lepton flavour violation at sensitivities unimaginable only a generation ago.

Meanwhile, improved lattice-QCD calculations will reduce theoretical uncertainties in hadronic observables.

The decisive discovery may therefore arrive indirectly.

A tiny forbidden decay, an unexpected CP asymmetry, a neutrino-phase measurement or a persistent departure from flavour universality could expose physics at scales inaccessible to direct colliders.

The flavour frontier is quiet only superficially.

Its silence is being measured with increasing precision.

XX. CONCLUSION: NATURE'S UNFINISHED SENTENCE

The Standard Model tells us how quarks and leptons interact with extraordinary accuracy.

It does not tell us why they come in three families.

It does not explain why the electron is light, the top quark enormously heavier, and neutrinos almost weightless.

It does not explain why quarks mix timidly while neutrinos mix extravagantly.

These are not peripheral blemishes upon an otherwise finished theory.

They may be signposts.

The history of physics repeatedly shows that unexplained numerical patterns precede deeper conceptual revolutions. Planetary regularities preceded gravitation. Spectral lines preceded quantum mechanics. Hadronic multiplets preceded quarks.

The fermion spectrum may similarly be telling us something.

Perhaps symmetry determines flavour.

Perhaps geometry does.

Perhaps flavour emerges from compositeness, topology or extra dimensions.

Or perhaps the correct organising principle has not yet been imagined.

Whatever the answer, the extraordinary empirical success of the Standard Model suggests that the final theory will probably not discard its flavour structure wholesale.

It will reveal why that structure had to appear.

The Standard Model has given us the alphabet of flavour.

The next theory must supply its grammar.

And therein lies the peculiar beauty of an almost correct theory: **its failures may contain more information about the future of physics than its triumphs contain about the past.**

REFERENCES

- [1] S. L. Glashow, "Partial-symmetries of weak interactions," *Nuclear Physics*, **22**, 579–588 (1961).
- [2] S. Weinberg, "A Model of Leptons," *Physical Review Letters*, **19**, 1264–1266 (1967).
- [3] A. Salam, "Weak and Electromagnetic Interactions," in *Elementary Particle Theory*, edited by N. Svartholm, Almqvist & Wiksell, Stockholm (1968).
- [4] P. W. Higgs, "Broken Symmetries and the Masses of Gauge Bosons," *Physical Review Letters*, **13**, 508–509 (1964).
- [5] B. Pontecorvo, "Mesonium and Antimesonium," *Soviet Physics JETP*, **6**, 429 (1957).
- [6] Y. Fukuda et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), "Evidence for Oscillation of Atmospheric Neutrinos," *Physical Review Letters*, **81**, 1562–1567 (1998).
- [7] N. Cabibbo, "Unitary Symmetry and Leptonic Decays," *Physical Review Letters*, **10**, 531–533 (1963).
- [8] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, "CP-Violation in the Renormalizable Theory of Weak Interaction," *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, **49**, 652–657 (1973).
- [9] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, "Remarks on the Unified Model of Elementary Particles," *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, **28**, 870–880 (1962).
- [10] J. H. Christenson et al., "Evidence for the 2π Decay of the K^0 Meson," *Physical Review Letters*, **13**, 138–140 (1964).
- [11] B. Aubert et al. (BaBar Collaboration), "Observation of CP Violation in the B^0 Meson System," *Physical Review Letters*, **87**, 091801 (2001).
- [12] K. Abe et al. (Belle Collaboration), "Observation of Large CP Violation in the Neutral B Meson System," *Physical Review Letters*, **87**, 091802 (2001).
- [13] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, "Baryogenesis Without Grand Unification," *Physics Letters B*, **174**, 45–47 (1986).
- [14] C. D. Froggatt and H. B. Nielsen, "Hierarchy of Quark Masses, Cabibbo Angles and CP Violation," *Nuclear Physics B*, **147**, 277–298 (1979).
- [15] E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, "Softly Broken A_4 Symmetry for Nearly Degenerate Neutrino Masses," *Physical Review D*, **64**, 113012 (2001).
- [16] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, "Tri-Bimaximal Neutrino Mixing, A_4 and the Modular Symmetry," *Nuclear Physics B*, **741**, 215–235 (2006).



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 08, August 2026)

- [17] S. F. King and C. Luhn, "Neutrino Mass and Mixing with Discrete Symmetry," *Reports on Progress in Physics*, **76**, 056201 (2013).
- [18] F. Feruglio, "Are Neutrino Masses Modular Forms?" in *From My Vast Repertoire...*, World Scientific (2019), arXiv:1706.08749.
- [19] T. Kobayashi et al., "Modular Symmetry in Magnetized/Fermagnetized D-brane Models," *Physical Review D*, **98**, 046001 (2018).
- [20] G.-J. Ding and S. F. King, "Modular Invariant Models of Leptons at Level 4," *Physical Review D*, **100**, 115005 (2019).
- [21] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, "Unity of All Elementary-Particle Forces," *Physical Review Letters*, **32**, 438–441 (1974).
- [22] H. Georgi, "The State of the Art—Gauge Theories," *AIP Conference Proceedings*, **23**, 575 (1975).
- [23] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, "Unified Interactions of Leptons and Hadrons," *Annals of Physics*, **93**, 193–266 (1975).
- [24] P. Minkowski, " $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ at a Rate of One Out of 10^9 Muon Decays?" *Physics Letters B*, **67**, 421–428 (1977).
- [25] T. Yanagida, "Horizontal Symmetry and Masses of Neutrinos," *Proceedings of the Workshop on Unified Theory and Baryon Number in the Universe*, KEK (1979).
- [26] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, "Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Nonconservation," *Physical Review Letters*, **44**, 912–915 (1980).
- [27] A. J. Buras, "Weak Hamiltonian, CP Violation and Rare Decays," arXiv/9806471.
- [28] G. Isidori, Y. Nir and G. Perez, "Flavor Physics Constraints for Physics Beyond the Standard Model," *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science*, **60**, 355–380 (2010).
- [29] A. Crivellin and M. Hoferichter, "Hints of Lepton Flavor Universality Violations," *Science*, **374**, 1051 (2021).
- [30] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics*, current review and updates.
- [31] G. D'Ambrosio et al., "Minimal Flavour Violation: An Effective Field Theory Approach," *Nuclear Physics B*, **645**, 155–187 (2002).
- [32] LHCb Collaboration, precision measurements of heavy-flavour observables and tests of lepton universality, CERN.
- [33] Belle II Collaboration, *The Belle II Physics Book, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics*, **2019**, 123C01 (2019).
- [34] Heavy Flavor Averaging Group, world averages of heavy-flavour measurements and CKM observables.
- [35] MEG II Collaboration, searches for charged-lepton-flavour violation in rare muon decay.
- [36] Mu2e Collaboration, searches for coherent muon-to-electron conversion in nuclei, Fermilab.
- [37] COMET Collaboration, searches for neutrinoless muon-to-electron conversion, J-PARC.
- [38] R. Rattazzi, "The Flavor Problem and the Physics of Compositeness," lectures and reviews on physics beyond the Standard Model.
- [39] G. Panico and A. Wulzer, *The Composite Nambu–Goldstone Higgs*, Lecture Notes in Physics **913**, Springer (2016).