



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 08, August 2026)

A Simple Pendulum in Schwarzschild Spacetime

When Galileo's Oscillator Meets Einstein's Curved Clock

Dr. Ijaz Durrani

University of Education, Lahore, Pakistan.

Abstract--The simple pendulum is among the most elementary dynamical systems in classical physics, yet placing it in Schwarzschild spacetime transforms it into an instructive probe of general relativity. Far from a gravitating body and within a sufficiently small laboratory, its familiar Newtonian behaviour is recovered. Near a compact Schwarzschild source, however, several notions normally taken for granted become observer-dependent: gravitational acceleration, elapsed time, oscillation frequency and even the operational meaning of the pendulum's period. A pendulum supported at fixed Schwarzschild radius experiences proper acceleration rather than geodesic free fall, while gravitational redshift causes its oscillations to be assigned different periods by a nearby observer and by an observer at infinity. If the apparatus has appreciable spatial extent, spacetime curvature introduces tidal corrections that cannot be represented merely by replacing Newton's constant gravitational acceleration with a relativistic one. The humble pendulum therefore becomes a conceptual bridge connecting Galileo's dynamics with Einstein's geometry. It demonstrates the equivalence principle locally, gravitational time dilation globally and curvature through tidal effects. Near the Schwarzschild horizon, the distinction between local and distant descriptions becomes extreme: the local supported pendulum can retain a finite proper-time oscillation scale under idealisation, while its motion appears increasingly slowed when described using Schwarzschild coordinate time. The pendulum has not stopped. The clocks have ceased to agree.

Keywords--Schwarzschild spacetime; simple pendulum; general relativity; gravitational redshift; proper time; coordinate time; equivalence principle; black holes; tidal gravity; relativistic oscillations.

I. GALILEO'S PENDULUM ENTERS EINSTEIN'S UNIVERSE

Few objects appear less relativistic than a pendulum.

A mass hangs from a string.

Gravity pulls downward.

The string constrains the mass.

Displace the bob.

Release it.

It swings.

For sufficiently small oscillations its period in ordinary Newtonian physics is approximately

$$T = 2\pi \sqrt{L/g}. \quad (1)$$

Here L is the pendulum length and g the local gravitational acceleration.

Nothing could appear more elementary.

But now perform one conceptual operation.

Take the pendulum away from Earth.

Suspend it outside a spherical, non-rotating gravitating body sufficiently compact that spacetime must be described by the **Schwarzschild geometry**.

Immediately the innocent symbol g becomes problematic.

Whose gravitational acceleration?

Measured where?

Using whose clock?

And what exactly does “period” mean when clocks at different gravitational potentials tick at different rates?

Galileo's pendulum has wandered into Einstein's territory.

II. FIRST SURPRISE: GRAVITY IS NOT QUITE A FORCE

Newton describes the pendulum's behaviour through gravitational force.

Einstein changes the language fundamentally.

A freely falling object follows the natural trajectories—geodesics—of curved spacetime. In that sense, an unconstrained falling body does not experience a conventional gravitational force.

But our pendulum is **not freely falling**.

Its suspension point is being held at a fixed radius above the gravitating source.

That support must continually resist free fall.

Thus the laboratory containing our pendulum is an **accelerated laboratory**.



This is crucial.

A pendulum cannot operate in a completely freely falling elevator in the ordinary terrestrial sense. Inside an ideal sufficiently small freely falling laboratory, gravity locally disappears.

Release the bob there and the entire apparatus falls together.

No ordinary downward restoring field remains.

The simple pendulum therefore measures, in an operational sense, the acceleration required to prevent the laboratory from following its preferred geodesic.

Already Galileo and Einstein are shaking hands.

III. THE SCHWARZSCHILD ARENA

Outside a spherical, non-rotating mass **M**, general relativity describes spacetime by the Schwarzschild solution.

Its characteristic radius is

$$r_s = 2GM/c^2. (2)$$

For the Sun, this radius is only about three kilometres.

For Earth, approximately nine millimetres.

For a black hole, $r = r_s$ identifies the event horizon in Schwarzschild coordinates.

Far away, where

$$r \gg r_s,$$

Einstein's description smoothly approaches Newtonian gravity.

Our pendulum should therefore become progressively more familiar as we carry it farther from the compact object.

That correspondence is essential.

General relativity did not abolish Newton.

It revealed the domain in which Newton is an extraordinarily good approximation.

IV. WHAT DOES THE LOCAL PENDULUM FEEL?

Consider an observer hovering at fixed Schwarzschild radius **r**.

Such an observer is not freely falling.

The proper acceleration necessary to remain there increases as the observer approaches the horizon.

Far from the object, the effective acceleration approaches the familiar Newtonian value

$$g \approx GM/r^2. (3)$$

Locally, therefore, a sufficiently small pendulum behaves approximately as an ordinary pendulum placed in a gravitational field whose strength corresponds to the observer's proper acceleration.

The small-angle oscillation period remains schematically

$$T_{\text{local}} \approx 2\pi \sqrt{(L/g_{\text{local}})}. (4)$$

But this is a **local proper-time period**.

Those words contain the entrance to the relativistic labyrinth.

V. TWO OBSERVERS WATCH THE SAME PENDULUM

Place Alice beside the pendulum.

Place Bob extremely far from the black hole.

Alice carries a clock.

Bob carries another.

Alice measures the pendulum's period using her local proper time.

Bob watches Alice's laboratory remotely.

They do not assign the same elapsed time to successive swings.

Why?

Gravitational time dilation.

For a stationary clock outside a Schwarzschild mass,

$$d\tau = dt \sqrt{(1 - r_s/r)}. (5)$$

Here **dτ** is local proper time and **dt** corresponds to Schwarzschild coordinate time, conventionally tied to clocks at infinity.

As **r** approaches **r_s**, the square-root factor approaches zero.

To Bob far away, Alice's clock appears increasingly slow.

So does her pendulum.

Not because its mechanism has mysteriously become defective.

Because **the pendulum is a clock**.



VI. THE PENDULUM BECOMES A REDSHIFT
EXPERIMENT

This provides one of the most beautiful insights in the entire problem.

A pendulum is fundamentally a periodic process.

Anything periodic can function as a clock.

Atomic transition:
clock.

Pulsar rotation:
clock.

Quartz vibration:
clock.

Pendulum:
clock.

Therefore gravitational time dilation must affect comparisons involving pendulum oscillations just as it affects comparisons involving any other physical clock.

If Alice counts ten oscillations beside the pendulum, everything appears perfectly ordinary locally.

Her heartbeat behaves normally.

Her watch behaves normally.

Her thoughts behave normally.

The pendulum behaves normally.

But Bob, watching from far away, attributes a longer coordinate-time interval to those same oscillations.

Thus relativity does not primarily say:

“The pendulum slows.”

It says something much deeper:

“Time comparisons depend upon spacetime location.”

VII. APPROACHING THE HORIZON

Now lower the idealised pendulum progressively toward the Schwarzschild radius.

Something dramatic happens.

A stationary observer must accelerate ever more strongly merely to remain at fixed radius.

In the mathematical limit approaching the horizon, the proper acceleration required for static hovering diverges.

Consequently, an ordinary physical pendulum cannot simply be suspended arbitrarily close to the horizon by some magical infinitely strong support.

The idealisation eventually breaks down.

Strings snap.

Materials fail.

Accelerations become enormous.

Nevertheless the mathematical thought experiment remains illuminating.

To the distant Schwarzschild observer, signals from the pendulum become increasingly redshifted.

Its swings appear progressively slower.

Closer.

Slower.

Closer still.

Slower still.

At the horizon, Schwarzschild coordinate time produces the famous appearance of freezing.

But locally there is no magical cosmic hand grabbing the pendulum and stopping it.

The apparent freezing belongs to the relationship between coordinates, signals and observers.

The pendulum has become an argument about time itself.

VIII. WHAT HAPPENS IF WE CUT THE SUPPORT?

Now perform a wonderfully Einsteinian experiment.

Cut the cable holding the entire pendulum laboratory stationary.

The laboratory falls.

For a sufficiently small laboratory and sufficiently short interval, the equivalence principle takes command.

Everything inside falls together.

The suspension point.

The bob.

The experimentalist.

The clock.

The notebook.



Locally, ordinary gravitational acceleration largely disappears.

The pendulum no longer behaves as though suspended in Earth's laboratory.

Why?

Because gravity has not disappeared globally.

Rather, the laboratory has ceased resisting its geodesic motion.

This is Einstein's elevator rendered as a pendulum experiment.

A supported pendulum swings.

A freely falling ideal pendulum laboratory becomes approximately weightless.

Thus the humble bob distinguishes between **proper acceleration and free fall**.

IX. BUT CURVATURE REFUSES TO DISAPPEAR

The equivalence principle has an important qualification.

Gravity can be transformed away **locally**, not throughout an extended region.

Make our pendulum sufficiently long—or approach a sufficiently compact object—and different portions of the apparatus experience measurably different gravitational environments.

These differences are tidal effects.

The suspension point and bob tend toward slightly different geodesics.

Now spacetime curvature reveals itself.

This is genuine gravitational structure that no clever choice of freely falling coordinates can eliminate everywhere.

Therefore there are two relativistic regimes:

For a tiny pendulum:

equivalence principle dominates.

For an extended pendulum:

tidal curvature begins to matter.

And this distinction is profound.

Uniform gravitational acceleration can locally be imitated by acceleration.

Tidal gravity cannot.

Tidal effects are curvature announcing:

“I am not merely your choice of reference frame.”

X. THE PENDULUM AS A CURVATURE DETECTOR

Imagine constructing pendulums of progressively increasing length.

A very short pendulum samples essentially one local gravitational environment.

A longer one probes a larger radial interval.

Eventually the assumption of uniform gravitational acceleration across the apparatus becomes inadequate.

The simple pendulum is no longer truly simple.

Its dynamics begin to encode derivatives of the gravitational field—that is, information related to spacetime curvature.

In principle, then, the pendulum graduates from being merely a clock into becoming a primitive **gravitational gradiometer**.

Newton would recognise the changing gravitational field.

Einstein would identify its deeper geometrical meaning.

The same experiment speaks both languages.

XI. WOULD THE PENDULUM REVEAL THE EVENT HORIZON?

Not locally in the dramatic manner popular imagination might expect.

Suppose a sufficiently small freely falling laboratory crosses the horizon of a sufficiently massive Schwarzschild black hole.

There need be no sudden flash.

No physical wall.

No sign saying:

EVENT HORIZON — PLEASE MIND THE GAP.

The horizon is not locally a material surface.

For a very massive black hole, tidal forces at the horizon can even be relatively modest.

A freely falling experimenter might therefore cross without detecting the precise instant using purely local measurements.

The event horizon is fundamentally a **global causal structure**.



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 08, August 2026)

Our pendulum beautifully demonstrates this distinction.

The horizon can be enormously significant globally while remaining locally uneventful.

XII. WHAT WOULD HAPPEN NEAR A SMALL BLACK HOLE?

Here matters become considerably less comfortable.

Tidal effects scale strongly with distance and central mass.

Near a relatively low-mass black hole, tidal gradients around the horizon can become enormous.

The pendulum would cease being an elegant classroom instrument.

The string, suspension and bob could experience substantially different accelerations.

Eventually the apparatus would be torn apart.

The experimentalist would fare no better.

The technical term often popularised for extreme radial stretching is **spaghettification**.

Thus our simple pendulum has a practical operating limit.

There comes a point at which asking for its period is rather like asking for the resonant frequency of a violin while feeding it through an industrial shredder.

XIII. THE PENDULUM AND THE EQUIVALENCE PRINCIPLE

The deepest lesson of the experiment is Einstein's equivalence principle.

Consider two laboratories.

Laboratory A sits near a gravitating object.

Laboratory B accelerates through otherwise gravity-free spacetime.

Locally, suitably arranged experiments can make the two circumstances indistinguishable.

A pendulum suspended inside either laboratory can swing because the support frame is accelerated relative to freely moving objects.

Thus the pendulum does not fundamentally ask:

“How much gravitational force is pulling my bob?”

It asks:

“How is my supporting frame accelerating relative to nearby free-fall trajectories?”

That reformulation is pure Einstein.

XIV. FROM GALILEO TO BLACK HOLES

There is a lovely historical symmetry here.

Galileo famously investigated pendular motion centuries before Einstein.

The pendulum became an emblem of regularity.

Its swings suggested that nature possessed mathematical rhythm.

Newton then explained terrestrial falling and celestial orbit within one gravitational framework.

Einstein went deeper.

Gravity became geometry.

And yet Galileo's pendulum survived.

It merely acquired a new interpretation.

The bob still swings.

The string still provides tension.

The period can still be measured.

But now every measurement silently asks:

Where is the observer?

Is the laboratory supported?

Is it freely falling?

Which clock measures the period?

How strong are the tidal gradients?

How curved is spacetime?

A child's laboratory experiment has become a relativistic interrogation.

XV. The Pendulum As A Clock—And The Clock As Geometry

Perhaps this is the central jewel.

The simple pendulum is ordinarily introduced as a problem in mechanics.



In Schwarzschild spacetime it becomes a problem in **chronometry**.

And general relativity teaches us that chronometry is geometry.

A clock near a compact mass and a clock far away do not accumulate equal proper times between corresponding events.

Consequently, when we compare pendulum frequencies across gravitational potentials, we are indirectly probing spacetime itself.

The pendulum's rhythm becomes geometry made audible:

tick — curvature — tock;

tick — redshift — tock;

tick — proper time — tock.

Einstein has infiltrated the grandfather clock.

XVI. A CRUCIAL CAVEAT: SCHWARZSCHILD IS AN IDEALISATION

The Schwarzschild solution assumes a central object that is

spherically symmetric,

uncharged,

non-rotating,

and surrounded externally by vacuum.

Real astronomical black holes rotate.

Their exterior geometry is therefore better approximated by **Kerr spacetime**.

Rotation introduces frame dragging and considerably richer dynamics. A pendulum near a rotating black hole could therefore display effects absent from the Schwarzschild idealisation.

But that is precisely why Schwarzschild spacetime remains the perfect starting point.

It strips gravity to its cleanest relativistic essentials.

No rotation.

No electromagnetic complication.

Just mass—

and curved spacetime.

XVII. RESEARCH FINDINGS AND CONCEPTUAL RESULTS

Our thought experiment yields several robust conclusions.

First, a stationary pendulum outside a Schwarzschild source is not a freely falling system. Its support must provide proper acceleration.

Second, sufficiently locally, its oscillatory dynamics resemble those of an ordinary pendulum in an effective gravitational field.

Third, its locally measured period and the period assigned by a distant observer differ because of gravitational time dilation.

Fourth, approaching the horizon causes increasingly severe gravitational redshift in signals received by distant observers.

Fifth, freely releasing the laboratory removes the ordinary local gravitational field to leading order, demonstrating the equivalence principle.

Sixth, tidal effects remain and reveal genuine spacetime curvature.

Seventh, an extended pendulum can therefore become conceptually sensitive not merely to acceleration but to gravitational gradients.

And **eighth**, the pendulum reminds us that general relativity does not simply modify gravitational forces.

It modifies the architecture within which **distance, duration and motion acquire meaning**.

XVIII. CONCLUSION: WHEN THE PENDULUM ASKED WHAT TIME WAS

We began with perhaps the simplest oscillator in physics.

A string.

A bob.

A restoring tendency.

A period.

Then we carried it into Schwarzschild spacetime.

Immediately the elementary question—

“How long does one swing take?”

fractured into several questions.



How long according to whom?

Measured locally or from infinity?

Is the laboratory hovering or falling?

Is the pendulum sufficiently small that tidal gravity may be neglected?

How close are we to the Schwarzschild radius?

The pendulum has therefore accomplished something extraordinary.

It has taken us from Galileo's cathedral to Einstein's black hole without changing its essential identity.

Far from the gravitating source, it whispers Newton.

Closer in, it speaks Einstein.

Released into free fall, it demonstrates equivalence.

Extended across sufficient distance, it detects curvature.

Observed from infinity, it reveals gravitational redshift.

And lowered toward the horizon, it teaches the strangest lesson of all:

There is no universal cosmic clock against which every pendulum must swing.

The universe does not provide one master metronome.

Every observer carries time along a particular path through spacetime.

And so our simple pendulum, once merely a bob oscillating beneath a support, becomes something vastly more profound:

A tiny mechanical question addressed to the geometry of the Universe.

Its answer is not merely:

How strong is gravity?

Its final question is:

Whose time are you measuring?

SELECTED REFERENCES

- [1] Einstein, "The Foundation of the General Theory of Relativity," *Annalen der Physik* **49**, 769–822 (1916).
- [2] K. Schwarzschild, "On the Gravitational Field of a Mass Point According to Einstein's Theory," *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, 189–196 (1916).
- [3] W. Misner, K. S. Thorne & J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, W. H. Freeman, San Francisco (1973).
- [4] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*, University of Chicago Press (1984).
- [5] S. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity*, Cambridge University Press, 2nd ed. (2019).
- [6] E. Poisson, *A Relativist's Toolkit: The Mathematics of Black-Hole Mechanics*, Cambridge University Press (2004).
- [7] Schutz, *A First Course in General Relativity*, Cambridge University Press, 3rd ed. (2022).
- [8] J. B. Hartle, *Gravity: An Introduction to Einstein's General Relativity*, Cambridge University Press (2021).

And the little jewel I would pocket from this final excavation, is this: **a pendulum does not merely measure gravity in Schwarzschild spacetime—it becomes a clock, and therefore inadvertently measures spacetime itself.** Newton asks why the bob falls; Einstein asks why the support prevents it from falling; and Schwarzschild quietly asks **which observer is timing the swing.**