International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 04, April 2026)

# Hybrid Intelligence Systems: Reinterpreting Indigenous Early Warning Mechanisms and AI-Driven Geospatial Technologies in Conflict Prediction in the Lake Chad Basin

Dr. Friday John Ogaleye[1], Temitayo Oluwaseun Jejeniwa[2], Dr. Seyi Festus Olatoyinbo[3], Adeyemo Stephen Busola[4], Latifat Adetoro[5], Olayinka Tolulope Oladejo[6], Titilola Olaide Jejeniwa[7], Oluwatoyin Josephine Olusoga[8], Folashade Helen Akintomide[9], Morounfoluwa Seun Arodudu[10], Wavi Peace Iliya[11].

[1,2,3,4,5,6,8,9,11] ***Institutional Affiliation:*** *United Nations African Regional Centre for Space Science and Technology Education-English, NASRDA, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.*
[7,10] ***Institutional Affiliation:*** *Advanced Space Technology Application Laboratory (ASTAL), South West, NASRDA, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.*

***Abstract*-- The Lake Chad Basin has, for decades, been a theatre of intersecting crises: insurgent violence, ecological deterioration, forced displacement, and the erosion of cross-border governance. In response, contemporary security agencies have increasingly turned to satellite surveillance, Geographic Information Systems, and machine-learning-driven predictive analytics as the primary instruments of situational awareness. Yet despite these technological investments, conflict prediction in the Basin remains stubbornly inconsistent. This paper argues that a central, underappreciated reason for that gap lies in the systematic exclusion of Indigenous Knowledge Systems from formal intelligence architectures. Drawing exclusively on published secondary sources, the study employs a historical-interpretive and thematic analytical methodology to reconstruct the operational logic of pre-colonial and community-based early warning mechanisms, to critically assess the capabilities and structural limitations of AI-driven geospatial tools, and to develop a conceptual framework termed hybrid intelligence, that integrates indigenous spatial knowledge with machine-driven geospatial analytics. The argument is situated within wider scholarly conversations about decolonizing knowledge production and security practice, and it contributes to an emerging field at the connection of history, geospatial intelligence, and technology studies in Africa.**

***Keywords*-- Indigenous Knowledge Systems; GeoAI; Conflict Prediction; Lake Chad Basin; Security Intelligence; Historiography; Hybrid Intelligence; Geospatial Technology**

## I. INTRODUCTION

Few regions on the African continent concentrate as many overlapping vulnerabilities as the Lake Chad Basin. Spanning portions of Nigeria, Niger, Chad, and Cameroon, the Basin has historically functioned as a crossroads of trade, pastoralism, and cultural exchange.

Over the past four decades, however, it has been transformed by environmental catastrophe and political fragmentation into one of the world's most complex humanitarian and security emergencies. Lake Chad itself has shrunk by roughly ninety percent of its mid-twentieth-century surface area, a contraction that has destroyed livelihoods, intensified competition for residual water and arable land, and generated mass displacement.[1]

Into this environment of chronic instability, the Boko Haram insurgency, and, following a 2016 organisational split, the Islamic State West Africa Province, introduced sustained armed violence that has claimed more than thirty-five thousand lives and displaced upwards of two million people.[2] The character of this violence has itself been shaped by the Basin's geography: porous and largely ungoverned borders, dense and ecologically fragile terrain across the Lake's shoreline, and communities whose routine movements across national lines render conventional border-surveillance frameworks inadequate.

In response to the Basin's security predicament, regional and international actors have invested substantially in technological intelligence capacities. Satellite imagery, drone reconnaissance, geographic information platforms, and increasingly sophisticated predictive analytics have all been deployed in efforts to anticipate and interdict insurgent activity.[3] The Multinational Joint Task Force, that is, the regional military coalition established by the Lake Chad Basin Commission has integrated several of these tools into its operational planning. International humanitarian organisations have developed their own geospatial conflict-mapping systems to guide aid distribution and early-warning protocols.

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 04, April 2026)

And yet the record of prediction has been inconsistent at best. Spectacular failures of anticipation of the 2014 Chibok abduction, the repeated breaches of ostensibly secured corridors, the persistence of suicide bombing campaigns in areas under surveillance reveal a pattern that cannot be explained by resource deficiency alone.[4] Something more structural is at stake. This paper proposes that a critical part of the explanation lies in the epistemological architecture of contemporary security intelligence itself: in its privileging of quantifiable, remotely sensed data over the granular, socially embedded knowledge that local communities have developed, and continue to hold. Indigenous Knowledge Systems in the Lake Chad Basin are not merely residual cultural forms awaiting displacement by modernity. They are, properly understood, functional intelligence systems: historically refined mechanisms for reading environmental signals, monitoring human movement, interpreting social tension, and mobilizing anticipatory responses to threats.[5] The scholarly literature on these systems is considerable in anthropological and environmental studies but remarkably thin when it comes to their systematic analysis as security intelligence. This paper seeks to address that gap.

Three research questions organise the inquiry. First, how have indigenous early warning systems been conceptualised and documented in the Lake Chad Basin and comparable African contexts? Second, what are the demonstrable strengths and structural limitations of AI-driven geospatial intelligence as applied to conflict prediction in this region? And third, how might both systems be analytically integrated into a coherent hybrid intelligence framework that is epistemologically sound and operationally plausible?

The argument advanced here is straightforward, if its implications are far-reaching: the marginalisation of indigenous knowledge within formal security architectures is not a peripheral problem but a foundational one. Resolving it does not require the abandonment of geospatial technology whose capabilities are real and valuable, but rather its reconfiguration within a framework that treats indigenous knowledge as a necessary epistemic complement rather than a residual curiosity. Epistemic

## II. Historiography And Literature Review

### *2.1 Indigenous Knowledge Systems as Security Intelligence*

The scholarly study of Indigenous Knowledge Systems has a long and complicated history. For much of the twentieth century, anthropological interest in local knowledge was either instrumentally extractive that focused on harvesting useful data for development planning or implicitly condescending, framing indigenous practices as cultural curiosities to be documented before modernisation swept them away.[6]

The intellectual turn of the 1990s, associated with scholars such as Paul Sillitoe and Fikret Berkes, who began to challenge this framing by demonstrating that indigenous knowledge constitutes a sophisticated, empirically grounded, and epistemologically coherent engagement with local environments and social realities.[7]

In the African context, and in the Lake Chad Basin specifically, this literature has documented the elaborate environmental monitoring capacities embedded in the knowledge systems of communities such as the Kanuri, the Shuwa Arab, the Buduma, and the many groups that historically depended on the Lake's fisheries and floodplain agriculture.[8] Their reading of seasonal water-level changes, migratory bird patterns, soil chemistry, and atmospheric conditions constituted a genuinely predictive science, one refined across generations and capable of anticipating environmental stresses that might translate into resource conflict.

What the existing literature has not done, with any systematic rigour, is reposition these knowledge systems as instruments of security intelligence specifically. The relevant scholarship tends to treat IKS either as environmental management tools or as cultural phenomena, without engaging the analytical literature on intelligence systems, early warning, or conflict prediction.[9] This is a significant omission. When one examines the operational logic of indigenous early warning as documented in available ethnographic and historical accounts, its reliance on distributed human sensors, its pattern-recognition across temporal and spatial scales, its capacity for rapid social communication, and the resemblance to intelligence architecture is not superficial. It is structural.

Studies of pre-colonial security arrangements in the Central Sudan zone of which the Lake Chad Basin formed the heart, have documented the existence of sophisticated information networks linking market towns, pastoralist encampments, and agricultural villages.[10] Rulers of the Kanem-Bornu Empire maintained networks of informants and relied on mobile communities as intelligence assets. The systematic surveillance of trade routes, the monitoring of strangers, the reading of movement patterns as indicators of political change: all of these were practiced with a regularity and sophistication that defies any simple dichotomy between traditional and modern intelligence.

### *2.2 Geospatial Intelligence and Conflict Mapping*

The application of geographic information systems and remote sensing technologies to conflict analysis in Africa has expanded dramatically since the early 2000s.

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 04, April 2026)

Initiatives such as the Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project and the Conflict Early Warning and Response Mechanism have developed increasingly granular spatial datasets, enabling analysts to identify conflict hotspots, map displacement corridors, and track the territorial dynamics of armed groups.[11]

The capabilities of these systems are substantial and genuine. Satellite imagery can detect the physical signatures of displacement, abandoned settlements, scorched fields, refugee movement at scales and speeds impossible for ground-based observers.[12] GIS platforms allow analysts to overlay conflict-event data with environmental, demographic, and infrastructure information to identify correlations that would be invisible to any single observer. These analytical capacities have contributed meaningfully to humanitarian planning and, in some instances, to early warning.

The limitations, however, are equally well documented, if less frequently foregrounded in the enthusiasm that often accompanies technological innovation. Remote sensing captures physical signatures but cannot interpret their social meaning. An image of a displaced settlement tells an analyst that people have left but not why, not what social dynamics preceded the departure, and not what is likely to happen next.[13] GIS platforms aggregate and display data but cannot generate the contextual knowledge required to interpret it. And the datasets on which these systems depend are themselves shaped by the uneven geography of data collection: urban areas, paved roads, and internationally monitored zones are densely covered; rural hinterlands, informal settlements, and cross-border zones, precisely the spaces most relevant to the Lake Chad Basin's conflict dynamics, remain systematically under-documented.

*2.3 Artificial Intelligence and Predictive Security*

The integration of machine learning and broader artificial intelligence techniques into conflict prediction represents the most recent and, in many respects, the most conceptually ambitious development in security geospatial analysis.[14] GeoAI is the application of AI methods to geospatial data that has been employed to identify pre-conflict signatures in satellite imagery, to predict the spread of violence using climate and demographic variables, and to anticipate recruitment patterns in insurgent organisations. The predictive models developed by researchers affiliated with the Peace Research Institute Oslo and the Political Instability Task Force have demonstrated statistically significant predictive accuracy in some contexts.[15]

Yet the epistemological critiques of AI-driven conflict prediction are serious and cannot be dismissed as technophobia.

The most fundamental is the problem of algorithmic bias: machine learning systems are trained on historical data, which means they embed and reproduce the patterns, including the blind spots and distortions of that data.[16] If the training data systematically under-represents certain communities, certain types of violence, or certain geographies, the resulting models will systematically mis-predict those contexts. In the Lake Chad Basin, where the most ecologically and socially marginal zones have historically been the least documented, this problem is not hypothetical but endemic.

A second critique concerns interpretive rigidity. AI models identify correlations in data but cannot generate meaning. They can flag the co-occurrence of drought conditions and conflict events without understanding the specific social, political, and historical processes that translate environmental stress into armed violence in one community but not in another.[17] This limitation is not a temporary technical deficiency awaiting resolution through more powerful algorithms; it reflects a structural feature of machine intelligence that social scientists and philosophers of mind have consistently identified. The generation of contextual meaning requires forms of understanding the historical, cultural, relational, that are not reducible to pattern recognition in quantitative datasets.

*2.4 Decolonising Knowledge and Security Practices*

The broader intellectual context for this paper's argument is provided by the growing literature on the decolonisation of knowledge production and, more specifically, of security studies.[18] Scholars working in this tradition have documented the ways in which colonial epistemologies, their hierarchies of valid knowledge, their privileging of formalized and codified over informal and tacit, their equation of literacy and quantification with rigour, survived decolonisation and continued to shape the institutional practices of postcolonial states and international organisations.

In the security domain, this critique translates into a recognition that the formalization of intelligence practice, its institutionalisation within state bureaucracies equipped with technological apparatus has not simply displaced indigenous knowledge but has actively discredited and suppressed it.[19] Community knowledge-holders who possess real and valuable intelligence about local conditions have been systematically excluded from formal intelligence-sharing frameworks, not because their knowledge is demonstrably less accurate, but because it is not legible to institutional systems oriented toward data formats that are quantifiable, scalable, and technologically mediated.

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 04, April 2026)

The identified gap in the existing literature is therefore twofold. Substantively, there is no systematic analytical account of indigenous early warning in the Lake Chad Basin that situates it within the intelligence studies literature. Conceptually, there is no framework that brings indigenous knowledge and AI-driven geospatial analysis into productive dialogue without either romanticizing the former or uncritically celebrating the latter. This paper attempts to address both dimensions of that gap.

## III. Methodology

This study is qualitative, interpretive, and interdisciplinary in its design. It draws exclusively on published secondary sources, peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly monographs, policy reports, and working papers produced by research institutions. This choice of methodology is both principled and pragmatic: principled because the paper's ambition is analytical and conceptual rather than empirical, and pragmatic because the methodological and ethical complexities of primary fieldwork in a conflict-affected zone exceed the scope of the current inquiry.

The analytical approach combines three complementary methods. The first is historical-interpretive analysis, applied to the reconstruction of indigenous early warning systems as documented in ethnographic, historical, and anthropological scholarship. Rather than treating this literature as a transparent window onto historical reality, the study reads it critically and reflexively, attending to the epistemological assumptions that shaped how scholars observed and recorded indigenous practices, and to the silences and distortions that colonial intellectual contexts may have introduced.

The second method is thematic synthesis, applied to the geospatial intelligence and AI-in-security literatures. This involves identifying the recurring analytical categories like capability, limitation, bias, contextual sensitivity that structure existing scholarly assessments of these technologies, and subjecting those categories to comparative evaluation. The aim is not to produce a systematic review in the technical sense, but to develop a coherent and critically grounded account of what the literature as a whole demonstrates.

The third method is conceptual modelling, applied to the development of the hybrid intelligence framework. Conceptual modelling in this sense involves specifying the components of a proposed analytical or operational architecture, articulating the relationships among those components, and assessing the plausibility and limitations of the resulting model in light of the available evidence.

The model is offered as a theoretical contribution rather than an implementation blueprint, and its empirical validation is identified as a priority for future research.

The interdisciplinary character of the study is drawn on history, anthropology, geography, computer science, and security studies and it reflects a conviction that the problem at hand cannot be adequately addressed from within any single disciplinary tradition. It also carries methodological risks: the risk of misreading disciplinary debates one is not fully immersed in, of deploying concepts outside their native contexts in ways that distort their meaning, and of producing synthetic claims that specialists in each constituent discipline would find oversimplified. These risks are acknowledged, and the paper seeks to manage them by remaining close to the available evidence and by foregrounding its analytical moves with sufficient transparency for readers to assess and contest them.

## IV. Indigenous Early Warning Systems In Historical Perspective

To argue that indigenous early warning systems constitute functional intelligence mechanisms is to make a claim that requires careful historical grounding. The temptation, particularly in interdisciplinary work that crosses the boundary between humanities and security studies, is to romanticize: to present indigenous knowledge as a pristine, holistic alternative to a broken modernity. This paper resists that temptation. Indigenous knowledge systems had, and have limitations, internal contradictions, and social embeddedness that rendered them effective in some contexts and less so in others. The analytical task is not to idealise them but to understand them accurately.

The communities that inhabited the Lake Chad Basin over the longue durée were, above all, skilled readers of environment. The annual flooding cycle of the Lake and its tributary rivers was not experienced as a natural fact beyond human understanding but as a legible text, whose variations communicated information about upstream rainfall, atmospheric conditions, and the likely productivity of the coming agricultural and fishing season.[20] For instance, Buduma fishers on the Lake's islands developed navigation and environmental-reading capacities so refined that they could anticipate storm conditions, changing fish-migration patterns, and the progressive retreat of the waterline processes whose significance extended well beyond subsistence to encompass the social and political organisation of their communities.

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 04, April 2026)

The security dimensions of environmental monitoring are direct and well documented. In a zone where competition over water and pasture was endemic, the capacity to anticipate resource scarcity, to read the early signals of drought or flood that would translate into pressure on shared resources, was a form of early warning in the most precise sense.[21] Communities that could anticipate these pressures could manage them through pre-emptive negotiation, seasonal migration, or the activation of reciprocal exchange relationships. Communities that were caught unprepared faced the prospect of acute conflict.

Social intelligence which is the monitoring of human movement, the interpretation of social tension, the reading of political change, was equally sophisticated. The Basin's position as a nexus of trans-Saharan trade routes meant that its communities were accustomed to processing information about distant events through the filter of arriving traders, migrants, and itinerant scholars.[22] Market networks functioned not only as economic institutions but as information systems, distributing knowledge about political developments, military movements, and social disruptions across vast distances with a speed and reach that is easily underestimated from a contemporary vantage point.

The Kanem-Bornu state, whose imperial reach at various points extended across much of the contemporary Basin region, institutionalised some of these information networks within its political structure. The sultans and their officials relied on a combination of formal envoys, commercial informants, and religious networks (particularly the itinerant scholars of the Islamic educational tradition) to maintain awareness of conditions across their domains.[23] The sophistication of this intelligence architecture is not always acknowledged in the historiography of pre-colonial African states, which has sometimes been reluctant to attribute to pre-colonial polities the kind of systemic political intelligence-gathering that is taken for granted in accounts of European state formation.

At the community level, early warning operated through mechanisms that were simultaneously social and spatial. The practice of placing observers, often framed in social terms as watchmen, herders, or itinerant traders at strategic points along routes of potential threat was widespread.[24] The interpretation of animal behaviour, the movement of livestock, the flight patterns of birds, the disturbance of wildlife as indicators of approaching danger was documented across multiple Basin communities. Communication systems using drums, fire signals, and messengers enabled the rapid diffusion of warning across communities that had no written language but possessed highly effective oral communication technologies.

What these systems shared, and what makes them analytically interesting for the purposes of this paper, was an operational logic that closely resembles what intelligence theorists would describe as a distributed sensor network operating through pattern recognition and anticipatory response. The sensors were human rather than electronic, the pattern recognition was culturally encoded rather than algorithmically computed, and the response mechanisms were social rather than institutional, but the underlying architecture was functionally analogous to what contemporary security systems attempt, at far greater cost, to replicate.

## V. Marginalisation Of Indigenous Knowledge In Modern Security Systems

The displacement of indigenous early warning systems did not begin with the independence of African states in the 1960s; it began with colonial conquest and accelerated through the decades of colonial rule. The epistemological dynamics of this process are now reasonably well understood, thanks largely to the scholars associated with postcolonial studies and, more recently, with the decolonial turn in social science.[25] What is less frequently examined is the specifically security-institutional dimension of this displacement: the ways in which colonial administrations actively dismantled indigenous intelligence architectures and replaced them with systems oriented toward metropolitan surveillance rather than local security.

Colonial security in the Lake Chad region was organised around the logic of pacification and taxation rather than the protection of local communities. Intelligence-gathering served the administrative requirements of colonial states: the identification of resistance leaders, the monitoring of potentially rebellious communities, the surveillance of trade and population movement for revenue purposes.[26] The knowledge systems of local communities were instrumentalized where they served these purposes. Colonial administrators who documented indigenous practices often did so in the spirit of exploitation rather than respect, and suppressed where they represented centres of autonomous authority and social organisation.

The postcolonial states that inherited these security structures largely replicated their epistemological priorities. The formalization bias of state institutions, their systematic preference for knowledge that is quantifiable, codifiable, and producible by credentialled experts, excluded community-based knowledge from official security frameworks not through any deliberate policy of exclusion but through the structural logic of bureaucratic organisation.[27]

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 04, April 2026)

A security analysis that could be summarised in a memorandum, supported by satellite imagery, and attributed to a credentialled intelligence officer was institutionally legible in ways that the knowledge of an elder fisherman or a Kanuri trader simply was not.

Technological determinism has compounded this structural bias. The availability of increasingly powerful geospatial and AI tools has reinforced a tendency, already present in security institutions to treat the absence of technologically mediated data as an absence of information.[28] This conflation is intellectually indefensible but institutionally powerful. When security planners can point to satellite imagery, GIS overlays, and machine-learning predictions, the absence of equivalent visual and quantitative legibility in indigenous knowledge makes the latter invisible rather than merely differently formatted.

The consequences of this marginalisation are concrete and serious. Intelligence gaps in the Lake Chad Basin are not, by and large, gaps in satellite coverage or algorithmic sophistication; they are gaps in the social and cultural knowledge required to interpret the data that technological systems generate.[29] The failure to anticipate the specific trajectories of Boko Haram's expansion into particular communities, the failure to predict which grievances would translate into mass recruitment, the failure to identify the informal social networks through which logistics and communication were sustained: all of these can be traced, at least in part, to the absence of the kind of embedded community knowledge that indigenous intelligence systems historically provided.

## VI. AI-Driven Geospatial Intelligence In Conflict Prediction

The case for AI-driven geospatial intelligence in conflict prediction is not frivolous. The capacity to process and integrate datasets of a scale and complexity that would overwhelm any human analyst is a genuine and significant capability.[30] Satellite imagery can reveal the physical signatures of conflict destroyed buildings, displaced populations, changed agricultural patterns, with a temporal resolution that ground-based observation cannot match. Predictive models trained on historical conflict data, climate variables, and demographic indicators can identify statistical risk factors that correlate meaningfully with future violence, providing a form of systematic signal that complements rather than replaces traditional intelligence assessment.

The application of these tools in the Lake Chad Basin has produced some demonstrable successes. Satellite-based monitoring has been used to track displacement flows, identify newly abandoned settlements, and assess the humanitarian implications of territorial changes.[31] GIS platforms developed by organisations including the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs have supported logistics planning and resource allocation in ways that have saved lives. Predictive models incorporating climate and economic variables have shown meaningful accuracy in identifying regions at elevated conflict risk over medium-term time horizons.

The limitations, however, are structural rather than merely technical, and no amount of additional computing power or data collection will fully resolve them. The most fundamental is the problem of contextual blindness: the inability of geospatial and AI systems to interpret data in light of the social, cultural, and historical context that gives it meaning.[32] A satellite image of a settlement shows who has left but not why. A GIS overlay of conflict events and drought conditions shows correlation but not causation. A machine learning model predicts statistical risk but cannot distinguish between communities where similar risk factors will translate into violence and communities where equivalent pressures will be managed through non-violent social mechanisms.

Data quality and coverage represent a second structural limitation. AI models are only as good as the data on which they are trained, and in the Lake Chad Basin, data coverage is severely uneven.[33] Urban centres, major roads, and internationally monitored zones are relatively well documented; the remote islands of Lake Chad, the pastoral hinterlands of the Sahel, and the informal cross-border zones that are most relevant to the Basin's conflict dynamics remain systematically under-represented in available datasets. Models trained on this uneven data will systematically mis-predict precisely the conditions most important to understand.

The problem of algorithmic bias is related but distinct. Machine learning systems embed the assumptions and priorities of those who design them, select the training data, and interpret the outputs.[34] When these designers are located in institutions shaped by the epistemological priorities described in the previous section when they default to quantifiable, remotely sensed, technologically mediated data as the gold standard of evidence, the resulting models will inherit those priorities and their associated blind spots.

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 04, April 2026)

Garbage in, garbage out is an old adage, but its application to AI-driven conflict prediction goes deeper than data quality: it extends to the epistemological assumptions that determine what counts as data in the first place.

## VII. Conceptualizing A Hybrid Intelligence Framework

### *7.1 Theoretical Foundation*

The concept of hybrid intelligence, as developed in this paper, is defined as the systematic integration of human-centered indigenous knowledge with machine-driven geospatial analytics in a shared analytical architecture oriented toward context-sensitive conflict prediction. The term is chosen deliberately to capture the complementary rather than competitive relationship between the two systems: neither is self-sufficient; each addresses the structural limitations of the other; and the resulting integrated system is qualitatively different from either component taken alone.[35]

The theoretical precedents for this framework are several. In cognitive science, the concept of extended cognition developed by Andy Clark and David Chalmers and subsequently elaborated by numerous scholars, holds that cognitive processes are not confined to individual brains but extend into social and material environments through tools, institutions, and social practices.[36] Applied to intelligence systems, this perspective suggests that the relevant unit of analysis is not the individual analyst, the community knowledge-holder, or the AI platform considered separately, but the sociotechnical system that integrates human and machine cognition in specific institutional and environmental contexts.

In science and technology studies, the concept of boundary objects, artefacts, representations, or concepts that are plastic enough to be interpreted differently by different communities but robust enough to maintain a shared identity across those communities, provides a useful lens for thinking about how indigenous knowledge and AI-generated data might be brought into productive dialogue without requiring either to abandon its specific character.[37] The hybrid intelligence framework, on this reading, requires the development of shared representational formats that can hold both indigenous knowledge and geospatial data in productive tension.

### *7.2 Model Structure*

The model proposed here has three components: inputs, processes, and outputs.

At the input level, the hybrid system draws on two distinct but complementary data streams. The indigenous knowledge stream includes environmental observation and interpretation, social network monitoring, community-based threat assessment, and the historical pattern recognition embedded in oral tradition and institutional practice. The geospatial stream includes satellite imagery, remotely sensed environmental data, GIS-based conflict mapping, and AI-generated predictive risk scores.[38]

The process layer is where the most significant analytical work occurs. Rather than simply aggregating the two data streams, the hybrid model proposes a structured dialogue between them: a process in which indigenous knowledge contextualizes and interprets geospatial data, while geospatial data provides scale, temporal coverage, and pattern identification that extends beyond the reach of any community-based observation network.[39] Concretely, this might involve embedding community knowledge-holders in analytical teams, developing structured protocols for the translation of indigenous observations into formats legible to GIS platforms, or designing AI training datasets that incorporate qualitative community knowledge alongside quantitative remotely sensed data.

The output layer produces what the model terms enhanced predictive intelligence: assessments of conflict risk that combine the scale and pattern-recognition capacities of geospatial AI with the contextual accuracy and social interpretive depth of indigenous knowledge. The specific outputs would vary depending on the operational context, humanitarian early warning, military intelligence, community conflict management, but the defining characteristic in each case is the explicit integration of both knowledge types rather than the dominance of either.

### *7.3 Analytical Advantages*

The analytical advantages of the hybrid model over either component considered alone are several. The most significant is improved contextual accuracy: the combination of geospatial pattern recognition with indigenous social interpretation allows analysts to distinguish between correlations that reflect genuine causal mechanisms and those that reflect coincidences or artefacts of data collection.[40] An AI model that flags elevated conflict risk in a particular zone can be evaluated and contextualized by community knowledge-holders who know whether the social conditions in that zone are actually conducive to violence or whether the statistical correlation is misleading in this specific case.

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 04, April 2026)

A second advantage is reduced epistemic bias. By incorporating indigenous knowledge as a systematic input to the analytical process, rather than an occasional anecdote, the hybrid model imposes a structural check on the algorithmic biases embedded in AI systems.[41] Where an AI system trained on historically biased data predicts low risk in a zone that community knowledge-holders identify as highly tense, the discrepancy itself becomes analytically significant: a flag that demands further investigation rather than the default acceptance of the machine's assessment.

Enhanced adaptability is a third advantage. Indigenous knowledge systems, because they are grounded in the ongoing lived experience of communities in specific environments, are inherently responsive to local change in ways that AI systems trained on historical data are not.[42] As the conflict ecology of the Lake Chad Basin continues to evolve, as climate change reshapes resource pressures, as insurgent organisations adapt their tactics, as demographic movements create new social configurations, community-based knowledge will track these changes in real time, providing an adaptive intelligence capacity that geospatial systems with their data-collection lags cannot match.

### *7.4 Challenges and Constraints*

The hybrid intelligence framework faces significant challenges that must be acknowledged honestly rather than minimized in the interest of advocacy. The most fundamental is the problem of knowledge codification. Indigenous knowledge systems are not simply informal databases awaiting translation into machine-readable formats; they are socially embedded, contextually specific, and often tacit in ways that make their codification genuinely difficult without distortion.[43] The translation of an elder's environmental reading into a structured data point requires interpretive choices that can easily strip the knowledge of the contextual nuance that makes it valuable. Any hybrid intelligence framework must grapple with this translation problem without assuming that it has a ready-made solution.

Ethical risks constitute a second set of challenges. The incorporation of indigenous knowledge into formal security systems is not an innocent technical procedure; it is a political act with significant implications for the communities whose knowledge is being incorporated.[44] Community knowledge that identifies specific individuals, social networks, or locations as security-relevant could be exploited in ways that harm rather than protect the community. Any implementation of the hybrid model requires robust mechanisms of community consent, data governance, and benefit-sharing that protect the rights and interests of knowledge-holding communities.

Institutional resistance is perhaps the most practically significant challenge. Security institutions that have invested substantially, financially, epistemologically, and reputationally in technological approaches to intelligence are unlikely to welcome proposals that relativize the authority of those approaches.[45] The bureaucratic politics of intelligence institutions have been extensively analysed, and the findings are consistent: institutions resist reorientations that challenge their core technologies, their established expertise hierarchies, and their relationships with external stakeholders including technology vendors and political principals. Overcoming this resistance will require not only intellectual argument but sustained political effort from actors capable of reshaping institutional incentives.

## VIII. Discussion

The argument developed in this paper situates itself at the intersection of three scholarly conversations: African historiography, security studies, and science and technology studies. In each of these domains, the paper advances a specific intervention that is worth making explicit.

In African historiography, the paper contributes to an ongoing effort to recover the analytical sophistication of pre-colonial African institutions from a historiographical tradition that has often, if not always deliberately, treated them as passive or pre-modern. The documentation of Kanem-Bornu intelligence networks, of Buduma environmental-reading capacities, and of the Basin's market-based information systems is not antiquarian; it is a necessary corrective to security analyses that assume the relevant history of intelligence in the region begins with colonial administration.[46]

In security studies, the paper challenges a persistent tendency to treat intelligence as a purely technical problem amenable to technological solutions. The repeated failures of prediction in the Lake Chad Basin by agencies equipped with the most advanced geospatial and AI tools available suggest that the problem is not technical but epistemological: not a deficit of data but a deficit of interpretive frameworks adequate to the social and cultural complexity of the environment being analysed.[47]

In science and technology studies, the paper adds a specifically African and conflict-focused dimension to a literature that has predominantly examined knowledge-technology interactions in Global North contexts. The dynamics of epistemic marginalisation and technological displacement are not unique to Africa, but they take specific forms in postcolonial contexts shaped by colonial knowledge hierarchies and continued by the structural inequalities of the global development system.[48]

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 04, April 2026)

The paper also engages critically with the limits of its own argument. The hybrid intelligence framework, as proposed, is conceptually coherent but empirically unvalidated. The claim that integrating indigenous knowledge with AI-driven geospatial analysis would improve predictive accuracy is analytically plausible, the logic of complementary capabilities is clear but it requires empirical testing in specific operational contexts before it can be asserted with confidence rather than argued with inference. This is not a limitation that undermines the paper's contribution; it is a specification of the work that remains to be done.

It is also worth acknowledging that indigenous knowledge systems are themselves not monolithic or internally consistent. They reflect the social divisions, power hierarchies, and political interests of the communities in which they are embedded. An elder's assessment of security conditions may reflect the particular position of his age grade, lineage, or political faction rather than the community's knowledge as a whole.[49] Any implementation of the hybrid model must be alert to these internal dynamics and design knowledge-elicitation procedures that are sensitive to them, rather than treating community knowledge as a unified and authoritative voice.

The central conclusion toward which this discussion points is not that indigenous knowledge is superior to AI-driven geospatial analysis or vice versa, but that the framing of this as a competition between two alternatives is itself part of the problem. The fragmentation of knowledge systems, the institutional separation of indigenous knowledge from geospatial technology, of community expertise from formal intelligence is the core issue. The hybrid intelligence framework is a proposal for overcoming that fragmentation, not for declaring a winner in a contest that should never have been framed as a contest in the first place.

## IX. Conclusion

This paper has argued that the persistence of predictive failures in the Lake Chad Basin cannot be adequately explained by reference to resource deficits, technological limitations, or the sheer complexity of the conflict environment. It reflects, at a more fundamental level, the epistemological consequences of marginalizing indigenous early warning systems from formal security architectures. The knowledge that Basin communities have accumulated over generations about their environment, about patterns of social tension, about the preconditions and indicators of violence, represents a form of intelligence that geospatial technology cannot replicate and without which AI-driven prediction remains structurally incomplete.

The hybrid intelligence framework developed here is offered as a conceptual response to this structural problem. By integrating indigenous knowledge with machine-driven geospatial analytics in a shared analytical architecture, the framework proposes a form of conflict intelligence that is simultaneously more contextually accurate, less epistemically biased, and more adaptively responsive to changing conditions than either approach considered separately. The framework is theoretically grounded in cognitive science and science and technology studies, consistent with the empirical record of both indigenous knowledge and geospatial intelligence as documented in the available literature, and sensitive to the significant challenges of knowledge codification, ethical risk, and institutional resistance that any implementation would face.

The paper makes three distinct contributions to the scholarly literature. Historiographically, it repositions indigenous early warning systems as functional intelligence mechanisms rather than cultural artefacts, contributing to the broader project of recovering the analytical sophistication of pre-colonial African institutions. Conceptually, it develops the hybrid intelligence framework as a novel contribution to the literature on knowledge integration in security contexts. Analytically, it demonstrates the productivity of bringing history, security studies, and science and technology studies into dialogue around a problem that each discipline partially illuminates but none can adequately address alone.

Two areas of future research emerge as priorities. The first is empirical validation: the testing of the hybrid intelligence model in specific operational contexts within the Lake Chad Basin, in partnership with community knowledge-holders, security practitioners, and geospatial analysts, to assess whether the predicted improvements in predictive accuracy materialize in practice. The second is policy implementation: the translation of the model's conceptual proposals into practical frameworks for institutional reform that address the bureaucratic, political, and legal challenges that stand between conceptual innovation and operational change.

The Lake Chad Basin will not resolve its security crisis through technological innovation alone. The communities most affected by its violence are not passive objects of external intelligence surveillance; they are active holders of knowledge that is directly relevant to understanding and predicting that violence. The recognition of this fact, and the institutional reorientation it demands is not a concession to sentiment but a requirement of analytical rigour.

International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 04, April 2026)

## X. Policy Implications

The argument developed in this paper has several implications for policy that extend beyond the academic context in which the argument is framed. These are offered not as prescriptions but as suggestions for practitioners and policymakers who may find the hybrid intelligence framework relevant to their work.

The most immediate implication concerns the inclusion of indigenous knowledge-holders in formal intelligence-sharing frameworks. The Multinational Joint Task Force, the Lake Chad Basin Commission, and the national security services of the Basin states all maintain intelligence architectures that currently have limited or no formal mechanisms for incorporating community knowledge. Developing such mechanism with appropriate protections for community rights, data governance frameworks, and benefit-sharing arrangements should be an institutional priority.[50]

A second implication concerns the design of GeoAI frameworks for the Basin. The development of AI-driven conflict prediction tools for use in the region should incorporate qualitative community knowledge in the construction of training datasets, rather than relying exclusively on remotely sensed quantitative data. This requires interdisciplinary collaboration between geospatial analysts, AI developers, anthropologists, and historians, a collaboration that is not standard practice in the technology-development processes of security institutions.

The third implication is the broadest. The epistemological problem identified in this paper is the systematic marginalisation of locally embedded knowledge in favour of technologically mediated data that is not unique to the Lake Chad Basin or to security intelligence. It is a structural feature of how contemporary institutions in many domains relate to knowledge that does not conform to their preferred formats. The development of frameworks that take local knowledge seriously as an analytical resource, rather than a policy-communications challenge, represents a broader institutional challenge that extends well beyond this specific context.

## ENDNOTES

[1] United Nations Environment Programme, "Lake Chad Basin: Climate Change Adaptation and Conflict Prevention," UNEP, 2016, https://www.unep.org/resources/report/lake-chad-basin-climate-change-adaptation-and-conflict-prevention. Accessed on March 24, 2026.

[2] United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, "Lake Chad Basin Crisis Overview," OCHA, March 2023, https://www.unocha.org/lake-chad-basin. Accessed on March 24, 2026.

[3] Clionadh Raleigh, Andrew Linke, Håvard Hegre, and Joakim Karlsen, "Introducing ACLED: An Armed Conflict Location and Event Dataset," Journal of Peace Research 47, no. 5 (2010): 651–60, https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343310378914. Accessed on March 25, 2026.

[4] Jacob Zenn, 'Boko Haram and the Islamic State's West Africa Province,' Combating Terrorism Center at West Point, CTC Sentinel 12, no. 2 (2019), https://ctc.westpoint.edu/boko-haram-islamic-states-west-africa-province/. Accessed on March 26, 2026.

[5] Paul Sillitoe, "The Development of Indigenous Knowledge: A New Applied Anthropology," Current Anthropology 39, no. 2 (1998): 223–52, https://doi.org/10.1086/204722. Accessed on March 24, 2026.

[6] Fikret Berkes, Sacred Ecology: Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Resource Management (Philadelphia: Taylor and Francis, 1999). See also the overview at https://www.routledge.com/Sacred-Ecology/Berkes/p/book/9780415814591. Accessed on March 25, 2026.

[7] Paul Sillitoe, ed., Local Science vs Global Science: Approaches to Indigenous Knowledge in International Development (New York: Berghahn Books, 2007), https://www.berghahnbooks.com/title/SillitoeLocal. Accessed on March 23, 2026.

[8] Maiduguri-based scholarship is synthesised in Emmanuel Kwesi Aning and John Pokoo, "Understanding the Nature and Threats of Drug Trafficking Networks in West Africa," Stability: International Journal of Security and Development 3, no. 1 (2014), https://doi.org/10.5334/sta.da. Accessed on March 24, 2026.

[9] Adedokun Temitope Ruth, "African Indigenous Knowledge System and The Quest For Sustainable Development In Nigeria" International Journal of Innovation Research and Advanced Studies, 4 No. 2 (2024): 191-202.

[10] Dierk Lange, "The Chad Region as a Crossroads," in The Cambridge History of Africa, vol. 3, ed. Roland Oliver (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977), 436–85. See a summary at https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-history-of-africa/BF5B835DA61FFF4B2DC77CA71B71DA5C. Accessed on March 23, 2026.

[11] Raleigh et al., "Introducing ACLED," 651–60. For current ACLED data see https://acleddata.com/. Accessed on March 25, 2026.

[12] European Space Agency, "Earth Observation for Humanitarian Response in the Lake Chad Basin," ESA, 2021, https://www.esa.int/Applications/Observing_the_Earth/Copernicus/Earth_observation_for_humanitarian_response. Accessed on March 23, 2026.

[13] P. K Thornton et al., "Climate change and poverty in Africa: Mapping hotspots of vulnerability" AfJARE, 2 no 1, (2008): 24-44.

[14] Nathaniel Raymond, Caitlin Howarth, and Jonathan Hutson, "Crisis Mapping Needs an Ethical Compass," Global Brief, Winter 2012, https://globalbrief.ca/2012/02/crisis-mapping-needs-an-ethical-compass/. Accessed on April 8, 2026.

[15] Håvard Hegre, Joakim Karlsen, Håvard Mokleiv Nygård, Håvard Strand, and Henrik Urdal, "Predicting Armed Conflict, 2010–2050," International Studies Quarterly 57, no. 2 (2013): 250–70, https://doi.org/10.1111/isqu.12007. Accessed on March 23, 2026.

[16] Virginia Eubanks, Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor (New York: St. Martin's Press, 2018). See also https://virginia-eubanks.com/automating-inequality/. Accessed on March 24, 2026.

[17] Nils B. Weidmann and Sebastian Schutte, "Using Night Light Emissions for the Prediction of Local Wealth," Journal of Peace Research 54, no. 2 (2017): 125–40, https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343316630359. Accessed on March 25, 2026.

[18] Ndlovu-Gatsheni Sabelo J., "Epistemic Freedom in Africa: Deprovincialization and Decolonization," Abingdon: Routledge, 2018, https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429492204. Accessed on March 24, 2026.
[19] Rita Abrahamsen and Michael C. Williams, Security Beyond the State: Private Security in International Politics (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011), https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511974441. Accessed on March 24, 2026.
[20] Mateusz Brodowicz, " Case study: The shrinking of Lake Chad and its implications." (2025). https://aithor.com/essay examples/case-study-the-shrinking-of-lake-chad-and-its-implications. Accessed on April 8, 2026.
[21] Inter-Agency Standing Committee, "Lake Chad Basin Crisis: Addressing the Forgotten Displacement Crisis," IASC, 2017, https://interagencystandingcommittee.org/system/files/lake_chad_basin_crisis.pdf. Accessed on March 24, 2026.
[22] Nehemia Levtzion and Jay Spaulding, eds., Medieval West Africa: Views from Arab Scholars and Merchants (Princeton: Markus Wiener, 2003). For overview see https://www.markuswiener.com/product/medieval-west-africa/. Accessed on April 7, 2026.
[23] John E. Lavers, "Kanem and Borno to 1808," in History of West Africa, vol. 1, ed. J. F. A. Ajayi and M. Crowder (London: Longman, 1985), 187-220.
[24] Murray Last, "The Sokoto Caliphate and Borno," in History of West Africa, vol. 2, ed. J. F. A. Ajayi and M. Crowder (London: Longman, 1987), 555-599.
[25] Walter D. Mignolo, The Darker Side of Western Modernity: Global Futures, Decolonial Options (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2011), https://www.dukeupress.edu/the-darker-side-of-western-modernity. Accessed on April, 5, 2026.
[26] Mahmood Mamdani, Citizen and Subject: Contemporary Africa and the Legacy of Late Colonialism (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1996), https://press.princeton.edu/books/paperback/9780691027937/citizen-and-subject. Accessed on April, 5, 2026.
[27] James C. Scott, Seeing Like a State: How Certain Schemes to Improve the Human Condition Have Failed (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1998), https://yalebooks.yale.edu/book/9780300078152/seeing-like-a-state/. Accessed on April 6, 2026.
[28] Langdon Winner, "Do Artefacts Have Politics?" Daedalus 109, no. 1 (1980): 121–36, https://www.jstor.org/stable/20024652. Accessed on April 7, 2026.
[29] Marc-Antoine Pérouse de Montclos, ed., Boko Haram: Islamism, Politics, Security and the State in Nigeria (Leiden: African Studies Centre, 2014), https://www.ascleiden.nl/publications/boko-haram-islamism-politics-security-and-state-nigeria. Accessed on April 7, 2026.
[30] Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach, 4th ed. (Hoboken: Pearson, 2020). Overview at https://aima.cs.berkeley.edu/. Accessed on 6 April, 2026.
[31] UNOSAT, "Satellite-Based Damage Assessment and Monitoring for the Lake Chad Basin," UNOSAT, 2020, https://www.unitar.org/maps/map/3250. Accessed on April 5, 2026.
[32] Noel Cressie and Christopher K. Wikle, Statistics for Spatio-Temporal Data (Hoboken: Wiley, 2011). For methodology overview see https://www.wiley.com/en-gb/Statistics+for+Spatio-Temporal+Data-p-9780471692744. Accessed on April 7, 2026.
[33] W. J. Fenrick1 "Crimes in combat: the relationship between crimes against humanity and war crimes", Guest Lecture Series of the Office of the Prosecutor, (2024). chrome-extension://kdpelmjpfafjppnhbloffcjpeomlnpah/https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/NR/rdonlyres/E7C759C8-C5A4-4AD3-8AB5-EF6ED68AC1D4/0/Fenrick.pdf. Accessed on April 8, 2026.
[34] Kate Crawford, Atlas of AI: Power, Politics, and the Planetary Costs of Artificial Intelligence (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2021), https://yalebooks.yale.edu/book/9780300264630/atlas-of-ai/. Accessed on April 5, 2026.
[35] Josh Abramson, " Imitating Interactive Intelligence" (2020). https://deepmind.google/blog/imitating-interactive-intelligence/. Accessed on April 8, 2026.
[36] Andy Clark and David J. Chalmers, "The Extended Mind," Analysis 58, no. 1 (1998): 7–19, https://doi.org/10.1093/analys/58.1.7. Accessed on April 5, 2026.
[37] Susan Leigh Star and James R. Griesemer, "Institutional Ecology, Translations and Boundary Objects," Social Studies of Science 19, no. 3 (1989): 387–420, https://doi.org/10.1177/030631289019003001. Accessed on Aril 6, 2026.
[38] Goodchild, Michael F. et al., "Next-Generation Digital Earth," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109, no. 28 (2012): 11088–94, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1202383109. Accessed on April 6, 2026.
[39] Wendy Harcourt and Ingrid L. Nelson, eds., Practicing Feminist Political Ecologies: Moving Beyond the Green Economy (London: Zed Books, 2015). For an overview see https://zedbooks.net/shop/book/practising-feminist-political-ecologies/. Accessed on April 3, 2026.
[40] Idean Salehyan, "Climate Change and Conflict: Making Sense of Disparate Findings," Political Geography 43 (2020).https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268079890_Climate change and conflict Making sense of disparate findings doi:10.1016/j.polgeo.2014.10.004
[41] Solon Barocas and Moritz Hardt, "Fairness in Machine Learning," NIPS Tutorial, 2017, https://fairmlbook.org/. Accessed on April 3, 2026.
[42] Fikret Berkes, Johan Colding, and Carl Folke, "Rediscovery of Traditional Ecological Knowledge as Adaptive Management," Ecological Applications 10, no. 5 (2000): 1251–62, https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2000)010[1251:ROTEKA]2.0.CO;2. Accessed on April 4, 2026.
[43] Arun Agrawal, "Dismantling the Divide Between Indigenous and Scientific Knowledge," Development and Change 26, no. 3 (1995): 413–39, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7660.1995.tb00560.x. Accessed on April 4, 2026.
[44] 44 E Ondari-Okemwa, "Ethical Issues and Indigenous Knowledge Production and Use in Sub-Saharan Africa in the 21st Century", Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 5, no 23, (2014): 2389-2396.
[45] Mark M. Lowenthal, Intelligence: From Secrets to Policy, 7th ed. (Washington, DC: CQ Press, 2019), https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/intelligence/book262264. Accessed on April 3, 2026.
[46] Joseph C. Miller, "History and Africa / Africa and History," American Historical Review 104, no. 1 (1999): 1–32, https://doi.org/10.1086/ahr/104.1.1. Accessed on March 25, 2026.

[47] Séverine Autesserre, The Trouble with the Congo: Local Violence and the Failure of International Peacebuilding (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010), https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511761041. Accessed on March 25, 2026.

[48] Sheila Jasanoff, ed., States of Knowledge: The Co-Production of Science and the Social Order (London: Routledge, 2004), https://www.routledge.com/States-of-Knowledge-The-Co-Production-of-Science-and-Social-Order/Jasanoff/p/book/9780415403450. Accessed on April 7, 2026.

[49] Mfaniseni Fana Sihlongonyane, "Africa's Odious Debts: How Foreign Loans and Capital Flight Bled the Continent", Development in Practice, 22, no 8, (2012): 1147-1149.

[50] Lake Chad Basin Commission, "Regional Strategy for the Stabilisation, Recovery and Resilience of the Boko Haram-Affected Areas of the Lake Chad Basin," LCBC, 2018, https://www.lcbc-cblt.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Regional-Strategy-Boko-Haram.pdf. Accessed on April 7, 2026.