



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347 - 6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 2, February 2026)

Intelligent Anomaly Detection in Industrial Big Data Environments: A Review

¹Priyanka Gayakwad, ²Dr. Ritu Shrivastava, ³Mr. Ashish Chourey
^{1,2,3}Department of CSE, SIRT, Bhopal, India

Abstract— This review paper presents a comprehensive analysis of intelligent anomaly detection techniques in industrial big data environments. With the rapid adoption of Industry 4.0 technologies, industrial systems generate massive volumes of heterogeneous data from sensors, IoT devices, production lines, and monitoring platforms. Detecting anomalies in such high-dimensional and streaming data is critical for fault diagnosis, predictive maintenance, quality control, and operational efficiency. Traditional statistical methods often struggle with scalability and nonlinear patterns, whereas machine learning and deep learning approaches such as Support Vector Machines, Random Forest, Autoencoders, and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks have demonstrated superior performance. This review examines recent advancements, comparative methodologies, performance metrics, and real-world industrial applications. It also discusses challenges including data imbalance, concept drift, real-time processing, and interpretability. The study highlights the importance of scalable, adaptive, and explainable anomaly detection frameworks for enhancing reliability, safety, and productivity in modern industrial systems.

Keywords— Industrial Big Data, Anomaly Detection, Machine Learning, Predictive Maintenance, Deep Learning, Industry 4.0.

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of Industry 4.0 has transformed traditional manufacturing and industrial systems into highly connected, data-driven environments. Modern industries deploy a large number of sensors, Internet of Things (IoT) devices, smart machines, robotic systems, and cloud-based platforms to monitor and control operations in real time[1]. These technologies continuously generate massive volumes of structured and unstructured data, commonly referred to as industrial big data. This data includes machine temperature, vibration signals, pressure levels, energy consumption, production quality metrics, and operational logs. Managing and analyzing such high-dimensional and high-velocity data has become a major challenge, but it also offers significant opportunities for intelligent decision-making and automation[2].

Anomaly detection plays a critical role in industrial environments. An anomaly refers to any unusual pattern or deviation from normal operational behavior that may indicate faults, equipment failure, cyber-attacks, process inefficiencies, or safety risks. Early detection of anomalies is essential for preventing unexpected breakdowns, reducing downtime, minimizing maintenance costs, and ensuring worker safety[3]. In industries such as manufacturing, oil and gas, power generation, transportation, and smart grids, even minor system failures can lead to significant financial losses and operational disruptions. Therefore, intelligent anomaly detection systems are increasingly being integrated into industrial monitoring frameworks[4].

Traditional anomaly detection techniques were primarily based on statistical methods and rule-based systems. These approaches relied on predefined thresholds and simple mathematical models to identify abnormal behavior[5]. While effective for small-scale systems, traditional methods struggle to handle the complexity, scalability, and nonlinear relationships present in modern industrial big data environments. Additionally, static threshold-based systems often generate false alarms or fail to detect subtle anomalies in dynamic processes[6].

To address these limitations, Machine Learning (ML) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques have emerged as powerful solutions for intelligent anomaly detection. ML models can automatically learn patterns from historical data and identify deviations without explicit programming. Supervised learning methods such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Decision Trees, Random Forest, and Gradient Boosting are widely used when labeled datasets are available[7]. In contrast, unsupervised techniques such as k-means clustering, Isolation Forest, and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) are effective when labeled anomaly data is limited[8].

Deep learning approaches have further enhanced anomaly detection capabilities in industrial big data. Models such as Autoencoders, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks can capture complex spatial and temporal dependencies in sensor data[9]. For example, LSTM networks are particularly useful for detecting anomalies in time-series



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology

Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347 - 6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 2, February 2026)

data generated by industrial equipment. Autoencoders can learn compressed representations of normal behavior and identify deviations based on reconstruction error. These intelligent models provide higher detection accuracy and adaptability compared to traditional techniques[10].

Despite significant progress, intelligent anomaly detection in industrial big data environments faces several challenges. Industrial datasets are often highly imbalanced, where abnormal events occur rarely compared to normal operations. Real-time processing requirements demand low-latency and high-performance computing systems. Data privacy, cybersecurity, and model interpretability are additional concerns, especially in safety-critical industries. Furthermore, concept drift—where system behavior changes over time—requires adaptive and continuously updated models [11][12].

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Langbridge et al., [1] proposed an optimal transport-based framework for efficient unsupervised anomaly detection on industrial datasets. The study utilized Wasserstein distance to measure distributional shifts in high-dimensional sensor data. Experimental evaluation on industrial benchmarks showed an anomaly detection accuracy of 95.2% with reduced computational cost. The method outperformed traditional clustering approaches by improving detection precision by 7%. The authors emphasized scalability for large-scale manufacturing data. The framework demonstrated robustness against noise and missing values. Overall, optimal transport improved unsupervised anomaly identification efficiency.

Shaukat et al., [2] presented a comprehensive review of time-series anomaly detection techniques. The study categorized approaches into statistical, machine learning, and deep learning methods. Results indicated that LSTM-based models achieved up to 97% accuracy in sequential anomaly detection tasks. The authors discussed challenges such as concept drift and data imbalance. They emphasized real-time implementation in industrial IoT systems. Hybrid time-series models showed improved stability. The review provided future directions for adaptive anomaly detection frameworks.

Langone et al., [3] introduced an interpretable anomaly prediction model using regularized logistic regression in Industry 4.0 environments. The model provided transparent decision boundaries while maintaining competitive

performance. Experimental findings reported a prediction accuracy of 92.8% with improved interpretability metrics. The study reduced false alarm rates by 10% compared to black-box models. The authors highlighted the importance of explainable AI in industrial safety systems. The approach balanced accuracy and transparency effectively.

Rad et al., [4] developed an explainable anomaly detection approach for high-dimensional time-series data. The study integrated feature attribution methods with deep learning models. Results showed a 15% improvement in interpretability without compromising detection accuracy (96%). The proposed framework enabled root-cause analysis of abnormal industrial events. The authors emphasized trust and reliability in automated monitoring systems. The approach was validated on distributed event-based datasets.

Khan et al., [5] presented a survey on knowledge-based anomaly detection methods. The study highlighted rule-based systems integrated with AI for improved detection reliability. Experimental comparisons showed hybrid knowledge-ML systems achieving 94% detection performance. The authors discussed challenges such as knowledge acquisition and scalability. The survey emphasized integrating domain expertise with machine learning. Future directions included explainable and adaptive systems.

Ramaswamy et al., [6] proposed efficient algorithms for mining outliers from large datasets. Their distance-based outlier detection algorithm demonstrated strong scalability in high-dimensional data. Experimental results showed significant performance improvement over naive approaches, reducing computation time by 30%. The study laid foundational work for large-scale anomaly detection. It remains influential in industrial big data analytics. The algorithm effectively handled massive datasets.

Breunig et al., [7] introduced the Local Outlier Factor (LOF) method for density-based anomaly detection. The LOF algorithm identified local deviations from surrounding data points. Results showed improved detection accuracy of 93% in complex datasets. The approach effectively captured local anomalies in clustered industrial data. It remains widely used in unsupervised anomaly detection. The study contributed significantly to density-based outlier analysis.

Sahu et al., [8] proposed a sustainable machine learning framework for real-time DDoS attack detection in Industry

4.0 cyber-physical systems. The hybrid ML model achieved 98.4% detection accuracy with reduced energy consumption. The study emphasized real-time anomaly mitigation in industrial networks. False alarm rates were minimized to below 2%. The framework demonstrated scalability and robustness. It highlighted security-focused anomaly detection in smart industries.

Lage et al., [9] evaluated the human interpretability of explanation methods in AI systems. The study conducted experiments measuring explanation clarity and user understanding. Results showed that simplified rule-based explanations improved human trust by 18%. The research emphasized interpretability evaluation metrics. It provided guidelines for explainable anomaly detection systems. The study linked transparency with decision-making reliability.

Guidotti et al., [10] conducted a survey of explainability methods for black-box models. The study categorized local and global explanation techniques. Findings showed that hybrid explanation approaches improved model transparency by 20%. The authors emphasized the importance of interpretable AI in critical applications. The survey provided practical tools for anomaly detection explainability. It remains a key reference in XAI research.

Datta et al., [11] introduced quantitative input influence measures for algorithmic transparency. The study demonstrated how feature contribution analysis enhances trust in predictive systems. Experimental validation showed improved understanding of model decisions. The framework supported accountability in machine learning applications. It is applicable to industrial anomaly detection interpretability. The study highlighted fairness and transparency considerations.

Nguyen et al., [12] applied optimal transport-based machine learning for detecting specific patterns in omics data. The framework achieved pattern detection accuracy of 96% by aligning complex data distributions. The authors demonstrated robustness against distribution shifts. Although applied in bioinformatics, the methodology is adaptable to industrial anomaly detection. The study highlighted the strength of distribution-matching techniques.

Huyan et al., [13] proposed a cluster-memory augmented deep autoencoder using optimal transportation for hyperspectral anomaly detection. The model achieved 97.1% detection accuracy in high-dimensional datasets. It effectively handled nonlinear spectral variations. The

approach improved reconstruction performance compared to standard autoencoders. The study emphasized memory augmentation for enhanced feature representation.

Alaoui-Belghiti et al., [14] developed semi-supervised optimal transport methods for anomaly detection. The framework combined labeled and unlabeled data for improved detection. Experimental results showed 95% anomaly identification accuracy with reduced training requirements. The approach handled limited labeled industrial datasets effectively. It provided a balance between supervised and unsupervised methods.

Pandey et al., [15] conducted a comparative study of Random Forest, SVM, and Naive Bayes classifiers. The Random Forest model achieved the highest accuracy of 95.6%. The study emphasized algorithm optimization and parameter tuning. The evaluation methodology supports industrial anomaly model selection. Ensemble learning demonstrated superior performance. The findings highlight the importance of classifier comparison.

Mridula et al., [16] proposed an Edge-AI enabled hybrid deep learning framework for intrusion detection in IoT-driven ecosystems. The model achieved 99% detection accuracy with low latency at the edge level. The study highlighted decentralized anomaly detection for real-time industrial systems. It reduced response time by 25% compared to cloud-based models. The framework demonstrated scalability and energy efficiency. It supports secure and intelligent industrial big data environments.

Table 1: Summary of literature review

Sr. No.	Author Name with year	Work	Outcome
1	Langbridge et al., (2024)	Optimal Transport for Efficient, Unsupervised Anomaly Detection on Industrial Data	Achieved 95.2% accuracy using optimal transport for scalable unsupervised industrial anomaly detection.
2	Shaukat et al., (2021)	A Review of Time-Series Anomaly Detection Techniques	Reported up to 97% accuracy with LSTM-based models for time-series anomaly detection.
3	Langone et	Interpretable	Provided 92.8%

	al., (2020)	Anomaly Prediction via Regularized Logistic Regression	accuracy with improved interpretability in Industry 4.0 settings.
4	Rad et al., (2021)	Explainable Anomaly Detection on High-Dimensional Time Series Data	Improved interpretability by 15% while maintaining 96% detection accuracy.
5	Khan et al., (2024)	Knowledge-Based Anomaly Detection: Survey and Future Directions	Hybrid knowledge-ML systems achieved around 94% detection performance.
6	Ramaswamy et al., (2000)	Efficient Algorithms for Mining Outliers from Large Data Sets	Reduced computational time by 30% for large-scale outlier detection.
7	Breunig et al., (2000)	LOF: Identifying Density-Based Local Outliers	Achieved 93% accuracy using density-based local outlier factor method.
8	Sahu et al., (2024)	Sustainable ML for Real-Time DDoS Detection in Industry 4.0 CPS	Achieved 98.4% detection accuracy with false alarm rate below 2%.
9	Lage et al., (2019)	Evaluation of Human-Interpretability of Explanation	Improved human trust by 18% through simplified explanation methods.
10	Guidotti et al., (2018)	Survey of Methods for Explaining Black Box Models	Enhanced model transparency by 20% using hybrid explainability techniques.
11	Datta et al., (2016)	Algorithmic Transparency via Quantitative Input Influence	Demonstrated improved accountability through feature influence analysis.
12	Nguyen et al., (2024)	Optimal Transport-Based ML for Pattern Detection	Achieved 96% pattern detection accuracy using distribution alignment techniques.
13	Huyan et al., (2022)	Cluster-Memory Augmented Deep Autoencoder for Anomaly Detection	Reached 97.1% accuracy in high-dimensional anomaly detection tasks.

14	Alaoui-Belghiti et al., (2020)	Semi-Supervised Optimal Transport Methods for Detecting Anomalies	Achieved 95% detection accuracy with limited labeled data.
15	Pandey et al., (2024)	Comparative Study of RF, SVM, and Naive Bayes	Random Forest achieved highest accuracy of 95.6%.
16	Mridula et al., (2025)	Edge-AI Enabled Hybrid Deep Learning for Botnet Intrusion Detection	Achieved 99% detection accuracy with 25% reduced response time.

III. CHALLENGES

Intelligent anomaly detection in industrial big data environments faces multiple technical and operational challenges due to the complex, dynamic, and large-scale nature of industrial systems. Industrial data is generated continuously from heterogeneous sources such as sensors, IoT devices, control systems, and enterprise platforms. These datasets are often high-dimensional, noisy, imbalanced, and time-dependent. Moreover, industrial environments require real-time processing with high reliability and minimal false alarms, as incorrect decisions may lead to safety risks or financial losses. The integration of advanced machine learning and deep learning models further introduces challenges related to interpretability, scalability, and adaptability. Addressing these issues is essential for building robust, efficient, and trustworthy anomaly detection systems.

1. High-Dimensional and Heterogeneous Data

Industrial systems generate data from multiple sensors measuring temperature, vibration, pressure, voltage, and other parameters. This results in high-dimensional datasets with complex correlations. Handling such heterogeneous data efficiently requires advanced feature extraction and dimensionality reduction techniques.

2. Data Imbalance

Anomalies are rare compared to normal operational data. This class imbalance can cause machine learning models to become biased toward normal behavior, reducing their ability to detect rare but critical abnormal events accurately.

3. Real-Time Processing Requirements



Industrial environments often demand immediate anomaly detection to prevent equipment failure or accidents. Designing low-latency models that can process streaming data in real time without sacrificing accuracy is a significant challenge.

4. Concept Drift

Industrial processes may change over time due to equipment aging, environmental variations, or operational adjustments. These changes alter data patterns, making previously trained models less effective. Continuous model updating and adaptive learning are required.

5. Noise and Missing Data

Sensor faults, communication errors, and environmental interference can introduce noise or missing values in datasets. Poor-quality data negatively impacts model performance and may lead to false alarms or missed detections.

6. Scalability and Computational Cost

Processing large-scale industrial big data requires significant computational resources. Deep learning models, while accurate, may demand high memory and processing power, limiting deployment in edge or resource-constrained environments.

7. Interpretability and Trust

Many deep learning-based anomaly detection models act as black boxes. In critical industries such as manufacturing, healthcare, or energy, decision-makers require clear explanations of detected anomalies to take corrective action confidently.

8. Cybersecurity and Data Privacy

Industrial big data systems are often connected through networks, making them vulnerable to cyber-attacks. Ensuring secure data transmission and protecting sensitive industrial information is essential while implementing anomaly detection frameworks.

IV. CONCLUSION

Intelligent anomaly detection in industrial big data environments plays a vital role in ensuring operational reliability, safety, and efficiency in modern Industry 4.0 systems. The integration of machine learning and deep learning techniques has significantly enhanced the ability to detect complex and subtle abnormalities in high-dimensional, time-series, and streaming industrial data. Advanced approaches such as unsupervised learning, hybrid models, and optimal transport-based frameworks have demonstrated improved accuracy, scalability, and adaptability. However, challenges including data imbalance, concept drift, real-time constraints, interpretability, and cybersecurity risks continue to limit full-scale implementation. Developing explainable, energy-efficient, and adaptive detection systems is essential for practical industrial deployment. Future research should focus on edge-AI solutions, self-learning models, and secure data architectures to support sustainable and intelligent industrial ecosystems. Overall, robust anomaly detection frameworks are fundamental to achieving predictive maintenance, reduced downtime, and resilient smart manufacturing systems.

REFERENCES

1. A. Langbridge, F. O'Donncha, J. T. Rayfield and B. Eck, "Optimal Transport for Efficient, Unsupervised Anomaly Detection on Industrial Data," *2024 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (BigData)*, Washington, DC, USA, 2024, pp. 2142-2151, doi: 10.1109/BigData62323.2024.10825081.
2. K. Shaukat, T. M. Alam, S. Luo, S. Shabbir, I. A. Hameed, J. Li, S. K. Abbas, and U. Javed, "A review of time-series anomaly detection techniques: A step to future perspectives," in *Advances in Information and Communication: Proceedings of the 2021 Future of Information and Communication Conference (FICC)*, Volume 1. Springer, 2021, pp. 865–877.
3. R. Langone, A. Cuzzocrea, and N. Skantzos, "Interpretable anomaly prediction: Predicting anomalous behavior in industry 4.0 settings via regularized logistic regression tools," *Data & Knowledge Engineering*, vol. 130, p. 101850, 2020.
4. B. Rad, F. Song, V. Jacob, and Y. Diao, "Explainable anomaly detection on high-dimensional time series data," in *Proceedings of*



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology

Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347 - 6435 (Online) Volume 15, Issue 2, February 2026)

- the 15th ACM International Conference on Distributed and Event-based Systems, 2021, pp. 2–14.
5. A. Q. Khan, S. El Jaouhari, N. Tamani, and L. Mroueh, “Knowledge-based anomaly detection: Survey, challenges, and future directions,” *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 136, p. 108996, 2024.
 6. S. Ramaswamy, R. Rastogi, and K. Shim, “Efficient algorithms for mining outliers from large data sets,” in *Proceedings of the 2000 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data*, 2000, pp. 427–438.
 7. M. M. Breunig, H.-P. Kriegel, R. T. Ng, and J. Sander, “Lof: identifying density-based local outliers,” in *Proceedings of the 2000 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data*, 2000, pp. 93–104.
 8. D. Sahu, R. Pandey, N. Sahu, M. Chahar, S. Shukla and R. Tiwari, "Sustainable Machine Learning for Real-Time DDoS Attack Detection and Mitigation in Industry 4.0 CPS," *2024 International Conference on Recent Advances in Science and Engineering Technology (ICRASET)*, B G Nagara, Mandya, India, 2024, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICRASET63057.2024.10894989.
 9. I. Lage, E. Chen, J. He, M. Narayanan, B. Kim, S. Gershman, and F. Doshi-Velez, “An evaluation of the human-interpretability of explanation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv :1902.00006*, 2019.
 10. R. Guidotti, A. Monreale, S. Ruggieri, F. Turini, F. Giannotti, and D. Pedreschi, “A survey of methods for explaining black box models,” *ACM computing surveys (CSUR)*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 1–42, 2018.
 11. A. Datta, S. Sen, and Y. Zick, “Algorithmic transparency via quantitative input influence: Theory and experiments with learning systems,” in *2016 IEEE symposium on security and privacy (SP)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 598–617.
 12. T. T. Y. Nguyen, W. Harchaoui, L. M egret, C. Mendoza, O. Bouaziz, C. Neri, and A. Chambaz, “Optimal transport-based machine learning to match specific patterns: application to the detection of molecular regulation patterns in omics data,” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series C: Applied Statistics*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 639–657, 2024.
 13. N. Huyan, X. Zhang, D. Quan, J. Chanussot, and L. Jiao, “Cluster-memory augmented deep autoencoder via optimal transportation for hyperspectral anomaly detection,” *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 60, pp. 1–16, 2022.
 14. A. Alaoui-Belghiti, S. Chevallier, E. Monacelli, G. Bao, and E. Azabou, “Semi-supervised optimal transport methods for detecting anomalies,” in *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 2997–3001.
 15. R. Pandey, P. K. Patidar, P. Verma, G. H. Anjum Khan, S. Harne and R. Tiwari, "A Comparative Study of Random Forest, SVM, and Naive Bayes for Sentiment Analysis Optimization," *2024 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Innovations in High Speed Communication and Signal Processing (IHCSP)*, Bhopal, India, 2024, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/IHCSP63227.2024.10959957.
 16. Mridula, S. Shukla, K. Singh, J. Malviya, K. Rawat and R. Tiwari, "Edge-AI Enabled Hybrid Deep Learning Framework for Botnet Intrusion Detection in Modern IoT-Driven Cyber Ecosystems," *2025 5th International Conference on Emerging Research in Electronics, Computer Science and Technology (ICERECT)*, MANDYA, India, 2025, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/ICERECT65215.2025.11377360.