



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435(Online) Volume 15, Issue 02, February 2026)

Beyond the Screen: Integrating Virtual Reality into Media Studies Pedagogy and Research

Garima Jain¹, Dr Vishal Sahai², Dr Divya Rastogi³

^{1,3}Assistant Professor, ²Associate Professor, Delhi Metropolitan Education, GGSIPU, India

Abstract-- The integration of Virtual Reality (VR) into media studies represents a paradigm shift in the ways media can be taught, produced, and researched. VR offers immersive, experiential learning environments that help students internalize visual composition, production workflows, and audience reception studies through first-person engagement. This paper synthesizes existing empirical and review literature on VR-based learning in higher education, connects those findings to pedagogical needs within media studies (newsrooms, film sets, production labs, and audience research), and melds practical examples and institutional resources (including the New York Times VR curriculum) with challenges such as cost, accessibility, health concerns, and ethical questions about representation. Drawing on the author's PPT notes and the broader scholarly debate, this paper presents a conceptual and practical framework for implementing VR in media curricula, suggestions for assessment and research designs, and recommended best practices for balancing innovation with inclusivity. The paper concludes with a research agenda to guide media educators and institutions toward equitable, evidence-based adoption of VR technologies.

Keywords-- Virtual Reality; Augmented Reality; Media Studies; Immersive Learning; Digital Media Pedagogy; Accessibility; Ethics

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) technologies have moved beyond entertainment and gaming into serious educational and professional contexts. Media studies — a field that blends theoretical analysis with hands-on production — is particularly well suited to benefit from immersive technologies that allow students to inhabit media environments rather than merely describe them. VR can recreate newsroom environments, film sets, archival spaces, and field locations in three dimensions, enabling embodied learning experiences that support spatial understanding, production practice, and audience research. The present paper builds from an initial conceptual PPT prepared by the author and synthesizes peer-reviewed literature and practical resources to explore how VR can be integrated into media studies curricula, what pedagogical benefits it offers, and what limitations and ethical considerations must be addressed.

II. BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

2.1 VR: Definitions and Types

Virtual Reality (VR) refers to fully immersive digital environments usually accessed via head-mounted displays (HMDs) or other immersive displays that block out the physical world. Augmented Reality (AR) overlays digital information onto the physical world through screens, headsets, or mobile devices. Research distinguishes levels of immersion (non-immersive, semi-immersive, fully immersive) and associated interaction modalities (visual, auditory, haptic). Understanding these distinctions is necessary for selecting appropriate VR/AR designs for different media pedagogy goals.

2.2 Why Media Studies Needs VR

Media studies combines theory (representation, audience studies, ethics) and practice (reporting, production, editing). VR offers unique affordances: it provides embodied perspectives (e.g., being in a newsroom), replicable and controllable environments for research (e.g., audience reaction studies), and interactive 3-D models for production and design classes. The author's PPT emphasizes that VR is "not just fun & games" and can support virtual field trips, newsroom simulations, and virtual studios — all of which address constraints like travel budgets and limited access to professional sites.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) have become increasingly central to academic and professional discussions on innovative pedagogy, particularly within disciplines that combine theory and practice such as media and communication studies. Over the last five years, multiple systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and empirical case studies have examined how VR transforms learning environments, knowledge acquisition, and creative production.

3.1 Evolution of VR in Higher Education

Radianti et al. (2020) conducted one of the most comprehensive systematic reviews, analyzing 38 studies across various disciplines.



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435(Online) Volume 15, Issue 02, February 2026)

They concluded that immersive VR environments increase motivation and facilitate learning when the experience aligns with instructional goals. The study also cautioned that technical challenges and lack of instructor training may hinder outcomes. Follow-up reviews by Jensen and Konradsen (2021) and Merchant et al. (2021) reinforced these conclusions, highlighting that immersion enhances affective learning and empathy but requires structured guidance to achieve cognitive benefits. In more recent years, meta-analyses such as those by Li and Yu (2022) and Kim et al. (2023) focused on the post-pandemic adoption of immersive tools. They noted that online and hybrid programs have embraced VR to replicate lab-based or field-based learning, mitigating disruptions caused by remote education models.

3.2 Cognitive, Affective, and Social Dimensions of VR Learning

Beyond engagement, VR's impact extends to cognitive and affective learning. Makransky and Petersen (2021) identified that the sense of presence and agency in VR promotes intrinsic motivation and deeper conceptual understanding. However, they emphasized that excessive cognitive load from navigation or sensory stimuli can offset these benefits if not properly scaffolded. From a social perspective, VR enables collaborative learning in shared virtual environments. For instance, Minocha et al. (2022) demonstrated that students participating in multi-user VR simulations displayed stronger teamwork and communication skills, as the immersive setting fostered co-presence and real-time interaction. These findings have direct implications for media education, where teamwork and collaborative production are essential skills.

3.3 VR for Creative Practice and Media Production

Within creative and media disciplines, VR and AR have been integrated as tools for both production and critical analysis. Mills (2022) explored the use of VR painting tools such as Tilt Brush for digital storytelling and found that the medium encouraged experimentation with scale, color, and narrative composition. Similarly, projects at the University of Southern California's School of Cinematic Arts and the MIT Media Lab have used VR for pre-visualization and immersive storyboarding, allowing students to conceptualize camera movement and spatial narrative design before physical production begins. In journalism education, immersive storytelling has been a rapidly growing subfield. De la Peña et al. (2020) and Doyle (2023) examined "immersive journalism" as a pedagogical strategy, where students create 360° news features to understand issues of ethics, empathy, and representation.

Their research showed that VR narratives increase empathy and engagement but also raise questions about bias, manipulation, and audience vulnerability—topics that are integral to media ethics courses.

3.4 Audience Engagement and Empathy in VR

Several experimental studies have explored how immersive media influences audience perception and emotional engagement. Herrera et al. (2020) conducted experiments comparing traditional video and VR documentaries, finding that VR significantly enhanced empathic concern for social issues. A 2023 study by Kim and Sundar confirmed that first-person immersive perspectives can elicit stronger emotional and behavioral responses, making VR a powerful tool for social advocacy media. However, these effects also demand heightened ethical oversight, as emotional intensity may blur distinctions between information and persuasion.

3.5 VR for Media Research and Data Visualization

In media research, VR provides an unprecedented opportunity to simulate audience experiences and control environmental variables. For example, Felnhofer et al. (2021) used VR to study how framing and camera distance influence audience perceptions of credibility and bias in news reporting. Similarly, Bishop and Keating (2022) introduced immersive data visualization for film analysis, enabling researchers to map camera trajectories, spatial blocking, and movement patterns across cinematic texts. These applications demonstrate VR's capacity to bridge qualitative interpretation and quantitative modeling—an interdisciplinary advantage that strengthens media studies research.

3.6 Barriers to Implementation and Equity Concerns

Despite growing enthusiasm, access and inclusivity remain major challenges. Studies by Holz et al. (2022) and Niner & Preece (2023) warn that high hardware costs, limited institutional infrastructure, and sensory barriers (motion sickness, eye strain) can exclude students. Accessibility frameworks are now emerging; for example, the "Accessible Immersive Learning Initiative" (AILI, 2024) outlines inclusive design guidelines that media educators can adopt. Ethical use of VR also extends to data privacy, representation, and consent, especially when immersive storytelling depicts marginalized groups or traumatic events.

In summary, the literature establishes VR as a transformative yet complex pedagogical medium that must be adopted thoughtfully.



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435(Online) Volume 15, Issue 02, February 2026)

The convergence of immersive storytelling, data visualization, and embodied practice aligns perfectly with media studies' interdisciplinary nature—combining technological fluency, ethical reflection, and creative exploration.

IV. PEDAGOGICAL BENEFITS OF VR IN MEDIA STUDIES

Drawing on the literature and on the author's presentation, the pedagogical benefits cluster under engagement, experiential learning, skills practice, research opportunities, and democratized access to diverse media sites (with caveats discussed later).

4.1 *Enhanced Engagement and Motivation*

Immersive environments consistently increase learner engagement and motivation relative to traditional text or video formats. Presence — the subjective sense of “being there” — can increase attention, curiosity, and willingness to experiment (e.g., practice interview techniques in a virtual newsroom). Higher engagement has been associated with improved practice frequency and deeper exploration of content.

4.2 *Experiential and Situated Learning*

VR enables situated learning: students can rehearse production workflows (camera blocking, set design), attend virtual field trips to newsrooms or studios, or enter historical reconstructions relevant to media history lessons. Experiential learning supports embodied cognition and can help students internalize tacit aspects of media production that are hard to communicate via lecture. The PPT's examples — virtual field trips across India's cultural locations and virtual newsroom visits — illustrate these opportunities.

4.3 *Safe, Cost-Effective Practical Training*

Virtual studios allow repeated practice without the costs and logistical obstacles of physical equipment and location shoots. For example, students can design and test newsroom layouts, camera positions, and lighting in a virtual studio before moving to real hardware. This “safe failure” environment encourages experimentation and iterative learning at reduced expense. Empirical work supports VR's role in rehearsal and motor skill practice when the simulated tasks closely mirror the real ones.

4.4 *New Research Methods and Audience Studies*

VR creates controlled, repeatable virtual environments that researchers can use to study audience reactions, attention, and effects of framing and perspective.

For media studies researchers, these digital laboratories enable experiments that were previously difficult or impossible (e.g., systematically varying camera perspective to measure empathy or bias). Such controlled manipulations support rigorous studies of narrative effects and ethical framing.

4.5 *Visualization of Complex Media Structures*

VR's 3-D visualization supports comprehension of complex visual structures, such as set geometry, motion design, or interactive media architectures. This is particularly valuable for design, cinematography, and interactive media modules where spatial reasoning is central. The PPT underscores this benefit by noting VR's affordance for visual composition and design understanding.

V. IMPLEMENTATION: DESIGN PRINCIPLES AND CLASSROOM MODELS

To translate benefits into effective practice, educators should adopt evidence-based design principles and model curricula. Below are suggested frameworks and sample activities.

5.1 *Design Principles (Evidence-Based)*

1. *Align VR Task with Learning Objectives:* VR should serve defined learning outcomes (e.g., mastering camera blocking), not be used merely for novelty. (Radianti et al., 2020).
2. *Scaffolded Experiences:* Begin with low-stakes orientation and “VR training” so students acclimate (training improves learning outcomes).
3. *Interactivity and Feedback:* Include interactive tasks and provide timely formative feedback to consolidate skills.
4. *Accessibility and Alternate Paths:* Offer non-VR alternatives (360° video on desktop/mobile) for students with no access or who experience discomfort.
5. *Assessment Alignment:* Use authentic assessments (production portfolio, reflective logs, audience response measures) that reflect VR tasks.

5.2 *Sample Classroom Models*

A. *Virtual Newsroom Simulation (Undergraduate Production Class)*

- *Objective:* Teach newsroom roles, live desk production, and field coordination.



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435(Online) Volume 15, Issue 02, February 2026)

- *Activity:* Students rotate roles (anchor, producer, field reporter, editor) in a simulated newsroom scenario. Use VR to simulate breaking news constraints; follow with debrief and rubric assessment (communication, accuracy, teamwork).
- *Assessment:* Team production quality, reflective journal, peer feedback.

B. Virtual Set Design and Blocking (Film Production Module)

- *Objective:* Practice set design, camera placement, and blocking without physical set costs.
- *Activity:* Students design a 3-D set, rehearse camera moves with virtual dolly, and create shot lists. Export storyboards and compare to real camera tests.
- *Assessment:* Technical competence, storyboard–execution alignment, creativity.

C. Audience Reception Lab (Media Studies Research Methods)

- *Objective:* Study how perspective and framing affect empathy.
- *Activity:* Create two VR versions of a news vignette (first-person vs. third-person). Randomize participants and measure emotional response, recall, and attitude shifts.
- *Assessment:* Research report, statistical analysis, ethical reflection.

VI. EVIDENCE OF EFFECTIVENESS: WHAT THE RESEARCH SHOWS

Although the literature is rapidly evolving, several patterns hold:

- *Engagement and Motivation:* Multiple studies show higher engagement and self-reported motivation in VR conditions compared with traditional media. (Lin, 2024; Conrad, 2024).
- *Skill Practice and Transfer:* When VR tasks closely match real-world skills (e.g., physical tasks, spatial reasoning), transfer to real environments is more likely; training and familiarity with VR increase transfer effects. (Porter et al., 2020).
- *Knowledge Retention:* Results are mixed; some studies show comparable retention to video/text, with VR excelling in procedural knowledge and spatial understanding. Well-designed VR with pedagogical scaffolding tends to produce stronger outcomes. (Radianti et al., 2020).

VII. CHALLENGES, RISKS, AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Despite promise, VR adoption carries real challenges that media educators must confront: cost, equity, health/safety, and ethical representation.

7.1 Cost and Infrastructure

Hardware (HMDs, VR-ready computers), software licenses, and content development are significant expenses. Institutions must weigh costs against pedagogical returns and consider sharing resources (VR labs, mobile VR carts) or leveraging lower-cost 360° video solutions. The PPT notes cost as a primary challenge.

7.2 Accessibility and Digital Divide

Not all students have access to VR gear, and heavy reliance on VR can exacerbate inequities. Offering alternatives (desktop 360 playback, AR overlays on phones) and ensuring equitable scheduling of lab access are essential. Faculty should also consider disabilities and sensory issues — some users experience motion sickness or discomfort in HMDs.

7.3 Health and Safety Concerns

Extended VR use can produce eyestrain, motion sickness (cybersickness), and spatial disorientation. Institutions need safe usage guidelines, time limits, and clinical considerations for susceptible students. The PPT rightly flags health and safety as a concern.

7.4 Ethical Representation and Bias

VR can create vivid persuasive experiences; the ethical stakes are higher when perspective can produce empathy or manipulation. Media educators must teach ethical frameworks and design experiences that respect privacy, avoid exploitative perspectives, and present balanced narratives. Controlled experiments in VR also require robust IRB/ethics oversight, especially when emotional immersion is high.

VIII. PRACTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MEDIA PROGRAMS

Below are pragmatic steps for media departments to adopt VR responsibly and effectively.

8.1 Start Small, Scale Intentionally

Pilot single modules (e.g., one production lab or a research methods seminar) before large-scale adoption. Use pilot data to refine curriculum and justify investments.



8.2 Leverage Existing Resources and Partnerships

Use free/low-cost VR content (NYT 360, YouTube 360, public archives) and institutional library guides (Concordia) to test curricular fit before producing custom content. Partnerships with industry (local studios, XR companies) can provide hardware loans, guest workshops, and co-development opportunities.

8.3 Provide Orientation and VR Training

Short acclimation activities and “how to use VR” modules can reduce cybersickness and increase learning transfer. Research shows that prior VR training improves subsequent learning from domain-specific VR tasks.

8.4 Prioritize Inclusive Design

Offer multiple access points (HMD, desktop, mobile), captioning and audio descriptions for 360 videos, alternative assessment paths, and scheduling policies to ensure all students can participate.

8.5 Integrate Ethical and Reflective Exercises

Make ethical reflection part of assignments: students should critique the perspective, discuss potential biases, and analyze representational choices in VR content. This practice prepares media students to be responsible content creators.

IX. RESEARCH AGENDA: QUESTIONS FOR MEDIA STUDIES

To build a robust evidence base, media researchers should pursue the following:

1. *Transfer Studies*: Under what conditions does VR training in media production lead to measurable improvements in real-world production tasks? (Focus on task fidelity and training dosage.)
2. *Audience Effects*: How does first-person VR framing influence empathy, perceived credibility, and attitude change compared to traditional frames? (Randomized experiments in controlled virtual settings.)
3. *Pedagogical Cost-Benefit Analyses*: What is the cost per learning gain of VR interventions compared to enhanced video or simulation? (Use mixed-methods and institutional budgeting models.)
4. *Equity and Accessibility Research*: Which design adjustments most effectively mitigate digital divide outcomes (loan programs, mobile VR kits, asynchronous 360 content)?

5. *Ethics and Representation*: How do VR narrative choices shape ethical perception, and what guidelines should govern the use of immersive perspectives in sensitive reporting?

X. CASE STUDIES AND RESOURCES

To contextualize theoretical and empirical insights, this section presents international and Indian case studies illustrating how VR has been implemented within media education and professional practice.

10.1 Case Study 1: The New York Times VR Classroom Project (USA)

The **New York Times VR Curriculum** (2020) provides an exemplary model for integrating immersive journalism into education. Using 360° videos and Google Cardboard, students experience virtual field trips covering topics such as climate change, cultural heritage, and conflict reporting. The resource includes lesson plans emphasizing critical analysis and ethical storytelling, directly aligning with media studies learning outcomes. This initiative demonstrates how established media organizations can collaborate with educators to translate professional VR practices into classroom pedagogy.

10.2 Case Study 2: BBC Virtual Reality Newsroom (UK)

The **BBC VR Hub** launched several experimental projects, including *Damming the Nile VR* and *Home: A VR Spacewalk*, used in journalism training programs. Students learned to produce immersive narratives while reflecting on editorial decisions such as point of view and interactivity. According to BBC’s internal evaluation (2021), participants reported increased understanding of empathy-driven journalism and ethical representation. This approach parallels classroom exercises where students simulate newsroom decision-making in immersive environments.

10.3 Case Study 3: Indian Higher Education Initiatives

In India, the integration of VR in media and communication programs has gained traction since 2022. Amity University’s Media Studies department launched a “Virtual Production Studio,” allowing students to experiment with real-time compositing using Unreal Engine and VR cameras. Similarly, DME Media School has begun exploratory modules in “Immersive Media Practices,” where students analyze 360° documentaries and VR-based campaigns. These local initiatives demonstrate growing institutional recognition of VR’s pedagogical potential within the Indian context.



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435(Online) Volume 15, Issue 02, February 2026)

Beyond academia, Indian media organizations such as NDTV and India Today have produced immersive news experiences, including 360° election coverage and virtual heritage tours, giving students direct examples of industry-standard practices.

10.4 Case Study 4: The Virtual Human Interaction Lab, Stanford University (USA)

Stanford's **VHIL**, led by Jeremy Bailenson, has conducted pioneering experiments demonstrating how VR can influence behavior and social perception. Studies such as "Becoming Homeless" and "Ocean Acidification" have been used in communication and journalism courses to teach empathy and social responsibility. These experiments reveal both the educational potential and ethical dilemmas of immersive experiences—key considerations for courses on media ethics and social impact storytelling.

10.5 Case Study 5: The Australian Broadcasting Corporation (ABC) and Monash University Collaboration

ABC's partnership with Monash University (2023) developed a VR storytelling course in which students created 360° features on environmental issues. The project's outcomes showed measurable gains in narrative comprehension, visual literacy, and production skills. Importantly, it incorporated audience testing to analyze emotional and cognitive engagement, connecting production training with research-based assessment.

10.6 Case Study 6: Virtual Reality in News Literacy Education (Singapore and South Korea)

Researchers at Nanyang Technological University (Singapore) and Yonsei University (South Korea) collaborated on a 2024 study testing VR-based news literacy interventions. Students who experienced VR simulations of misinformation scenarios demonstrated improved critical evaluation skills compared to control groups. This aligns with the broader goal of media studies to cultivate informed, reflective consumers and producers of media content.

10.7 Summary of Institutional Best Practices

Across these diverse case studies, several best practices emerge:

- **Collaborative Partnerships:** Industry–academia collaborations (e.g., NYT, BBC, ABC) enhance content quality and professional relevance.
- **Ethical Frameworks:** Courses integrate ethical debriefing sessions post-experience to address emotional impact and bias.

- **Hybrid Accessibility:** Institutions supplement VR headsets with 360° video platforms to ensure inclusive participation.
- **Interdisciplinary Learning:** Projects often combine journalism, design, and computer science students, fostering cross-functional media production skills.

Together, these cases exemplify how VR can shift media education from passive consumption to active creation, combining technical training with ethical and analytical depth.

XI. LIMITATIONS OF THIS PAPER

This paper synthesizes available reviews, empirical studies, practitioner guides, and the author's notes. The empirical literature is expanding rapidly; while key recent sources (2020–2024) are included, new studies continue to emerge (2024–2025 reviews show the field evolving). The recommendations emphasize cautious, evidence-based adoption rather than wholesale replacement of established pedagogies.

XII. CONCLUSION

Virtual Reality offers media studies educators an unprecedented opportunity to provide immersive, experiential, and research-rich learning experiences. When designed with clear learning objectives, scaffolded practice, accessibility in mind, and ethical reflection embedded, VR can deepen students' understanding of visual composition, production workflows, and audience dynamics. Institutions should pilot carefully, leverage curated resources (such as the NYT VR curriculum), and pursue research that quantifies learning gains and equity outcomes. With thoughtful implementation, VR can help media programs prepare students for a media landscape that increasingly blends digital immersion with storytelling and public engagement.

REFERENCES

- [1] Amity University Media Studies Department. (2023). Virtual production studio: Integrating immersive technology in media education [University report]. Amity University Press.
- [2] Bailenson, J. N. (2021). Experience on demand: What virtual reality is, how it works, and what it can do. W. W. Norton & Company.
- [3] BBC VR Hub. (2021). Home: A VR spacewalk and immersive storytelling evaluation report. British Broadcasting Corporation.
- [4] Bishop, C., & Keating, J. (2022). Immersive data visualization for film analysis: A virtual approach to cinematic composition. *Journal of Visual Media Studies*, 14(2), 77–93.
- [5] Concordia University Library. (n.d.). Virtual reality (VR) teaching resources guide. Concordia University Library.



International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology
Website: www.ijrdet.com (ISSN 2347-6435(Online) Volume 15, Issue 02, February 2026)

- [6] Conrad, M. (2024). Learning effectiveness of immersive virtual reality in higher education. *Computers & Education*, X, 6(1), 100110.
- [7] De la Peña, N., Weil, P., Llobera, J., Giannopoulos, E., Pomés, A., Spanlang, B., ... & Slater, M. (2020). Immersive journalism: From virtual reality to mixed reality. *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*, 29(3), 161–177.
- [8] Doyle, K. (2023). Immersive journalism pedagogy: Teaching empathy and ethics through 360° storytelling. *Journal of Media Education*, 14(4), 32–41.
- [9] Felhofer, A., Kothgassner, O. D., Hetterle, T., Beutl, L., Hlavacs, H., & Kryspin-Exner, I. (2021). Framing effects in virtual reality: Implications for audience perception and news credibility. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 24(2), 101–109.
- [10] Garima Jain. (2025). An exploration of the benefits of Virtual Reality technology in the discipline of media studies [PowerPoint presentation]. DME Media School.
- [11] Herrera, F., Bailenson, J., Weisz, E., Ogle, E., & Zaki, J. (2020). Building long-term empathy: A large-scale comparison of traditional and virtual reality perspective-taking. *PLOS ONE*, 15(10), e0229926.
- [12] Holz, D., Schmitz, M., & Lee, H. (2022). Accessibility barriers in immersive learning environments: Toward inclusive VR education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 19(4), 69–87.
- [13] ITIF. (2021). Augmented and virtual reality's potential in education: The promise of immersive learning. Information Technology and Innovation Foundation.
- [14] Jensen, L., & Konradsen, F. (2021). A review of the use of virtual reality head-mounted displays in education and training. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(1), 101–120.
- [15] Kim, K., & Sundar, S. S. (2023). Presence and persuasion: Emotional and behavioral outcomes of immersive storytelling. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 28(2), 180–199.
- [16] Kim, Y., Lee, S., & Park, J. (2023). Learning in immersive virtual environments: A meta-analysis of cognitive and affective outcomes. *Educational Research Review*, 38, 100526.
- [17] Li, W., & Yu, X. (2022). Immersive learning in the post-pandemic era: A meta-analysis of VR adoption in higher education. *Educational Technology & Society*, 25(3), 41–59.
- [18] Lin, X. P., Chen, R., & Zhao, Q. (2024). The impact of virtual reality on student engagement and motivation in media education. *Journal of Educational Technology Research*, 42(1), 59–78.
- [19] Makransky, G., & Petersen, G. B. (2021). Investigating the process of learning with virtual reality using eye-tracking and EEG. *Computers & Education*, 159, 104005.
- [20] Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W., & Davis, T. J. (2021). Effectiveness of virtual reality-based instruction in higher education: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*, 166, 104152.
- [21] Mills, K. A. (2022). Immersive virtual reality (VR) for digital media making: Creativity, agency, and storytelling. *Journal of Media Practice and Education*, 23(1), 56–74.
- [22] Minocha, S., Tudor, A.-D., & Tilling, S. (2022). Embodied collaboration in virtual learning environments. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 53(4), 987–1005.
- [23] Niner, S., & Preece, J. (2023). Equity in immersive education: Challenges and frameworks for inclusion. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 24(2), 56–74.
- [24] Nanyang Technological University & Yonsei University. (2024). News literacy and misinformation prevention through virtual reality simulations. Joint University Research Report.
- [25] New York Times. (2020, October 29). Virtual reality curriculum guide: Experience, immersion, and excursion in the classroom. The New York Times. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/10/29/learning/lesson-plans/virtual-reality-curriculum-guide-experience-immersion-and-excursion-in-the-classroom.html>
- [26] Porter, C. D., Smith, J. R. H., & Orban, C. M. (2020). Using virtual reality in electrostatics instruction: The impact of training. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.08923.
- [27] Rianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103778.
- [28] Stanford University Virtual Human Interaction Lab (VHIL). (2021). Becoming homeless: Empathy and social perception through immersive experiences [Research summary]. Stanford University.