

An Experimental Study of Strength Parameter by Metakaolin Material with Glass Fiber Reinforced in Concrete

Preetam lal rajak¹, Kamlesh Kumar Choudhary²

¹M.Tech Scholar, ²Professor, Saraswati Institute of Engineering & Technology, Jabalpur, India

Abstract: Concrete is generally classified as Normal Strength Concrete (NSC), High Strength Concrete (HSC) and Ultra High Strength Concrete (UHSC). HSC differs from ordinary concrete with respect to its performance in fresh and hardened states that are mainly driven by exceptional material components and mixture proportions. . Metakaolin, which is a relatively new material in the concrete industry, is effective in increasing strength, reducing sulphate attack and improving air-void network. But at some percentage of replacement of cement with Metakaolin there will be a decrease in strength. Glass fiber has the high tensile strength and fire resistant properties thus reducing the loss of damage during fire accidents. The addition of these fibers into concrete can dramatically increase the compressive strength and tensile strength of the concrete. In this thesis has attempted to examine mechanical properties of M30 grade of concrete of made with glass fibers using with Metakaolin. In this experiment 10% of total dosage of Metakaolin content was fixed with Supplementary materials glass fiber in varving percentages i.e. 0% of Metakaolin and 0% of glass fiber, 10% of Metakaolin and 0% of glass fiber, 10% of Metakaolin and 10% of glass fiber, 10% of Metakaolin and 20% of glass fiber and 10% of Metakaolin and 30% of glass fiber of total dosage (i.e.40%) by weight of cement. Results are taken as a Beams and Cubes are casted to check the flexural strength and compressive of concrete at 7 days, 14days and 28 days.

Keywords-- Metakaolin, Glass Fiber, M 30 Grades of Concrete, Cement, Fine Aggregates, Coarse Aggregates

I. INTRODUCTION

The worldwide interest for development totals surpasses twenty six.8 billion tons every year [1]. In Egypt there's a major expansion in the utilization of normal totals in light of framework and development improvement. The usage of reused blend in development is frequently useful for natural insurance and practical terms; it began since the highest point of war II by utilizing substantial asphalt as reused combination. One of the possible answers for those issues is to reuse development partner degreed destruction substantial waste to give an elective blend to underlying cement. Reused concrete total is generally made by the pounding of substantial residue, screening then expulsion of impurities like support, paper, wood, plastics and mineral. Concrete made with such reused substantial blend is named reused combination concrete. The most reason for this work is to see the central properties of manufactured from coarse reused substantial blend then, at that point, to check them to the properties of cement made with normal combination concrete. Fine reused combination wasn't contemplated for the creation of because of its application in underlying cement is for the most part proposed.

Today, there region unit significant deficiencies of regular assets in gift circumstance. Creation of cement and usage of cement has in practically no time misrepresented, which closes in overstated utilization of normal blend on the grounds that the biggest substantial part. A feasible reply of those issues is to reuse flattened cement and turn out a substitute blend for underlying cement during this methodology. Reused substantial combination is generally made by 2 phase squashing of crushed cement. Lessens the effect on landfills; diminishes energy utilization and may offer value reserve funds. Be that as it may, there's totally accommodating utilization of in substantial the development.

Reused blend is included squashed, defined in natural particles handled from the texture that have been used in the turn of events and destruction trash. The point of this undertaking is to see the strength normal for reused totals, for application in primary cement. Coarse blend is vital material in concrete for compressive strength, along these lines there region unit usage of flattened cement in supplanted by normal coarse combination.

Reused coarse combination got from squashed substantial garbage, rather than being hang tight, might be reused in building exchange. a shot has been made to survey the probability of reusing the reused substantial combination from wrecked designs inside the spot of contemporary blend.



The fundamental properties of totals, similar to water ingestion and explicit gravity, mechanical properties, similar to scraped spot opposition, impact, and pulverizing values were moreover determined.

Usefulness of contemporary cement and strength boundaries of solidified concrete, as compressive strength were contemplated. The first properties were tried for 3 totally various times of cementing of seven, 14, and 28 days. of these blends were intended for M40 grade of cement. inside the gift work, a correlation was made between the aftereffects of a research facility examination on changed actual properties of cement made with reused blend concrete with contemporary combination concrete and found that the outcomes region unit empowering to utilize concrete.

Concrete is that the most by and large utilized cement across the globe. it's used in a wide range of designing science works like framework, low and tall structures, guard construction, and climate insurance structure. Concrete is an engineered item, fundamentally comprising of concrete, coarse and fine totals, water and additionally admixture(s). Reusing of cement is needed according to the viewpoint of ecological conservation and powerful usage of assets. As of now, usage of reused combination is confined in the fundamental to sub bases of streets and inlay works.

An enormous piece of substantial waste grounds up at removal locales. it's expected that there'll be an ascent inside the amount of substantial waste, a lack of removal destinations, and consumption in regular assets especially. These reason the work of reused total in new substantial creation that is considered to be a more pragmatic use of substantial waste. In any case, data on substantial exploitation reused combination stays pitiful, and it'll be recommended to incite a ton of cautious data with respect to the qualities of substantial exploitation reused blend.

The solidified properties were tried and contrasted and control merged mass of M30 grade. Inside the starter examination, mechanical properties of the ready by the on top of previously mentioned approach were tried by its extraordinary testing approach. upheld the really take a look at results, in the subsequent part, the flexural conduct of the examples, utilizing bar examples were tried for its heap conveying ability by stacking outline.

II. OBJECTIVE

The primary object of the current work was to observe the strength worth of cement by supplanting it utilizing recycling product.

1. To resolve of the underneath most Strength esteem by exploitation of waste product.

- 2. Find the compressive pressure strength all through 7, 14 and 28 Days.
- 3. To discover the different strength of cube and shape for substantial utilizing materials..

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

From the upper than writing surveys it's done that Metakaolin was amazingly compelling for raising the strength qualities, breaking and work to capacity of the substantial. when we tend to utilize the asset we tend to find that there was low qualities strength, functionality and making so to flavor laugh uncontrollably that strength we have a twisted to utilize reused item in this manner we have an adapted to establish improvement among the strength and work to capacity of the substantial at shifted extents there was a change among the strength of the substantial and it give higher outcome when contrasted with the typical cement.

IV. MATERIALS AND METHOLODOGY

Materials

In this study, materials used are ordinary Portland cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate and recycled material Metakaolin are used. Super plasticizer was also used in all mixes to make consolidated mass better in workability.

Cement

The Normal Portland concrete of 43 grades affirming to IS 8112-1989 produced by Ultra tech Organization was utilized in this exploratory work. Concrete with explicit gravity 3.12 was utilized for the readiness of test examples. From an overall perspective, concrete was a cement and durable material which is fit for holding together molecule. There are distinctive sort of concrete; out of that I have utilized 43 grade normal Portland cement (OPC). Beginning and Last setting season of concrete individually was 90 min and 360 min.

Fine And Coarse Aggregate

Crushed stone from the neighborhood quarry of size 20 mm and 10 mm in the proportion of 60:40 individually affirming to IS: 383-1970 has been utilized as coarse total. The particular gravity of 10 mm and 20 mm coarse total were taken as 2.72 and 2.74 separately. Water ingestion for 10 mm and 20 mm total were 0.17 and 0.15 % separately. Fineness modulus of 10 mm and 20 mm were 2.31 and 2.65 individually. Locally accessible stream sand of zone II adjusting to IS 383-1970 with explicit gravity 2.69, water retention 1.82 % and fineness modulus 2.86.



Broken stone from the nearby quarry of size 20 mm and 10 mm in the proportion of 60:40 individually affirming to IS: 383-1970 has been utilized as coarse total.

The particular gravity of 10 mm and 20 mm coarse total were taken as 2.72 and 2.74 individually. Water retention for 10 mm and 20 mm total were 0.17 and 0.15 % separately. Fineness modulus of 10 mm and 20 mm were 2.31 and 2.65 individually. Locally accessible stream sand of zone II adjusting to IS 383-1970 with explicit gravity 2.69, water ingestion 1.82 % and fineness modulus 2.86.

Portable Water

Perfect and compact water from tape was utilized for blending of combined mass and restoring the solidified masses per IS: 456-2000 in the whole exploratory program .new water are likewise acknowledge for all reasons for this examination. Water will be liberated from shocking amounts of oil, corrosive, soluble base, salt, or different materials.

Super-Plasticizer

A financially accessible super-plasticizer (SIKA 150) has been utilized in all blends. The super plasticizer was added 0.6 % by weight of concrete to all blends adjusting to IS 9103:1999. Super plasticizer was additionally utilized in all blends to improve combined mass in usefulness.

Metakaolin Matrix

Since 2000, researchers have used MK (calcined kaolinite) from different parts of the world to synthesize GPs. Among these, we can enumerate MK from: BASF Germany 17 – 24, France 25– 26, UK 1, Ukraine 27, Australia 28– 30, China 31– 35, Czech Republic 36, Colombia 37, Malaysia 38, Brazil 39– 40, Iran 41, Cameroon 35. Table 1 lists the chemical composition and the physical characteristics of this worldwide MKs. The main components are silica (SiO2, 44.4 % – 73 %) and alumina (Al2O3, 14.5 % – 47.43 %). The MK average particle size (PS) and specific surface area (SSA) ranged from 1.20 - 38 m and from 2.16 - 22 m2/g.

 $M_{a}AOH + M_{w}H_{2}O$ + $M_{s}SiO_{2} \square M_{a/2}A_{2}O \square M_{s}SiO_{2} \square M_{wa}H_{2}O$

Where,

Ma = alkali mol., A = alkali type (K or

Na),

Mw = water mol., Ms = silicate mol., Mwa = water added mol.

Glass Fibre

Glass fibre is a material made up of several fine fibres of glass. The product is one of the most versatile industrial materials known today. It has comparable mechanical properties to other fibres such as carbon fibre and polymers. Glass fibre is used as a reinforcing agent for many polymer products in order to form a very durable and lightweight material, known as fibreglass.

Fibreglass offers some unique advantages over other materials due to its thickness, weight and strength. With such a wide range of properties, the material can satisfy design and project objectives in many industrial applications.

Laboratory Tests

A progression of lab test was led on merged mass built up with Metakaolin on various level of glass fiber. Merged mass was supported in sets of two fiber support and scarcely any tests were done on example. Following test was led on pre-arranged examples and materials likewise according to important are code of Training:

- 1. Sieve Determine Test
- 2. Fineness Modulus Test
- 3. Specific Gravity Test
- 4. Slump Cone Test
- 5. Compaction Factor Test
- 6. Compressive Strength Test
- 7. Flexural Strength Test



Mix Design Approach

Blend configuration was characterized as an amount of material (concrete, fine total, coarse total) required per cubic meter of cement. Indian Standard methodology of blend plan (according to IS: 456-2000, IS: 10262-2009) the blend plan of plain solidified mass was completed as follows.



- 1. Grade assignment (Trademark Compressive strength)
- 2. Type of grade of concrete
- 3. Type of Total
- 4. Maximum ostensible size of total
- 5. Minimum water/concrete proportion, concrete substance
- 6. Workability by strength required
- 7. Quality control accomplished.

According to Indian Standard methodology of blend plan (according to IS: 456-2000, IS: 10262-2009) the blend plan of plain merged mass was completed as: -

1. Target Mean Strength of combined mass blend plan

Fck = fck + t x s.

Fck = Target normal compressive strength at 28 days

fck = Attributes compressive strength at 28 days

S = Standard deviation and (Table 1 IS 10262-2009)

t = A static, contingent on the acknowledged extent of low outcomes and the quantity of tests, for huge number of test the worth of "t".

2. Selection of water concrete proportion (from IS: 456-2000 Table 5)

- 3. Assurance of concrete substance and total substance.
- 4. Estimation of ensnared air from table
- 5. Calculation of concrete substance:
- 6. Calculation of total substance

Mix Plan for M30 Grade Concrete:

Plan Specification: Sort of blend = Configuration blend

- 1. Grade assignment = M30
- 2. Type of Concrete = OPC 43 grade adjusting to IS: 8112
- 3. Attributes compressive strength needed in the field at 28 Days = 30N/mm2
- 4. Greatest size of total = 20mm
- 5. Level of Usefulness = 0.85 Compaction Variable
- 6. Degree of value control = Great
- 7. Type of openness = Gentle
- 8. Specific Gravity of Concrete = 3.12
- 9. Grading of total:

a. Fine total: affirming to zone II of IS: 383 - 1970 table (a)

- b. Coarse total: affirming to IS: 383 1970
- 5. Specific gravity of coarse total = 2.7
- 6. Specific gravity of fine total = 2.69

- 7. Water assimilation of coarse total = 1.17%
- 8. Water assimilation of fine total = 1.1%
- 9. Minimum concrete substance = 320kg/m^3
- 10. Maximum concrete substance = 450kg/m^3

| Mix | proportion |
|-----|------------|
|-----|------------|

| Water | Cement | Fine Aggregate | Coarse Agg. |
|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 186 Lit. | 413.3 kg/m ³ | 712.82 kg/m ³ | 1123.82 |
| | | | kg/m ³ |
| 0.45 | 1 | 1.73 | 2.72 |

Casting and Curing of M30 Grade of Concrete With Different % Of Metakaolin and Glass Fiber

| S NO | MIX | METAKAOILN (%) | GLASS FIBER (%) |
|------|--------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | M0-0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | M10-0 | 10 | 0 |
| 3 | M10-10 | 10 | 10 |
| 4 | M10-20 | 10 | 20 |
| 5 | M10-30 | 10 | 30 |

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Slump Cone Test

This is a test utilized broadly in site work all around the work. The droop test is recommended by IS: 456 (2000), ASTM C 143 90A and BS 1881 Part 102:1983.

Slump cone value of m30 grade of concrete with different % of Metakaolin and glass fiber

| S NO | MIX | METAKAOILN (%) | GLASS FIBER (%) | SLUMP VALUE |
|------|--------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1 | M0-0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 2 | M10-0 | 10 | 0 | 46 |
| 3 | M10-10 | 10 | 10 | 44 |
| 4 | M10-20 | 10 | 20 | 43 |
| 5 | M10-30 | 10 | 30 | 42 |





Variation in slump cone value of m30 grade of concrete with different % of Metakaolin and glass fiber

Compressive Strength Test

Compressive strength test value of m30 grade of concrete with different % of Metakaolin and glass fiber for 7 days

| S NO | MIX | METAKAOILN (%) | GLASS FIBER (%) | COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TEST (MPa) |
|---------|--------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | M0-0 | 0 | 0 | 25.65 |
| 2 | M10-0 | 10 | 0 | 28.9 |
| 3 | M10-10 | 10 | 10 | 27.76 |
| 4 | M10-20 | 10 | 20 | 27.87 |
| 5 | M10-30 | 10 | 30 | 28.32 |

Compressive strength test value of m30 grade of concrete with different % of Metakaolin and glass fiber for 14 days

| S NO | MIX | METAKAOILN (%) | GLASS FIBER (%) | COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TEST (MPa) |
|---------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | M0-0 | 0 | 0 | 35.93 |
| 2 | M10-0 | 10 | 0 | 38.59 |
| 3 | M10-10 | 10 | 10 | 34.96 |
| 4 | M10-20 | 10 | 20 | 35.93 |
| 5 | M10-30 | 10 | 30 | 33.55 |

Compressive strength test value of m30 grade of concrete with different % of Metakaolin and glass fiber for 28 days

| S NO | MIX | METAKAOILN (%) | GLASS FIBER (%) | COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TEST (MPa) |
|---------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | M0-0 | 0 | 0 | 38.93 |
| 2 | M10-0 | 10 | 0 | 42.59 |
| 3 | M10-10 | 10 | 10 | 41.96 |
| 4 | M10-20 | 10 | 20 | 44.93 |
| 5 | M10-30 | 10 | 30 | 43.55 |

COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (MPa)



Flexural Strength Test

Flexural strength test value of m30 grade of concrete with different % of Metakaolin and glass fiber for 7 days

| S NO | MIX | METAKAOILN (%) | GLASS FIBER (%) | Flexural STRENGTH TEST (MPa) |
|---------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | M0-0 | 0 | 0 | 25.65 |
| 2 | M10-0 | 10 | 0 | 28.9 |
| 3 | M10-10 | 10 | 10 | 27.76 |
| 4 | M10-20 | 10 | 20 | 27.87 |
| 5 | M10-30 | 10 | 30 | 28.32 |

Flexural strength test value of m30 grade of concrete with different % of Metakaolin and glass fiber for 14 days

| S NO | MIX | METAKAOILN (%) | GLASS FIBER (%) | Flexural STRENGTH TEST (MPa) |
|---------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | M0-0 | 0 | 0 | 35.93 |
| 2 | M10-0 | 10 | 0 | 38.59 |
| 3 | M10-10 | 10 | 10 | 34.96 |
| 4 | M10-20 | 10 | 20 | 35.93 |
| 5 | M10-30 | 10 | 30 | 33.55 |

Flexural strength test value of m30 grade of concrete with different % of Metakaolin and glass fiber for 28 days

| S NO | MIX | METAKAOILN (%) | GLASS FIBER (%) | Flexural STRENGTH TEST (MPa) |
|---------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | M0-0 | 0 | 0 | 38.93 |
| 2 | M10-0 | 10 | 0 | 42.59 |
| 3 | M10-10 | 10 | 10 | 41.96 |
| 4 | M10-20 | 10 | 20 | 44.93 |
| 5 | M10-30 | 10 | 30 | 43.55 |





VI. CONCLUSION

Compressive strength of cement blends made with and without Glass Fiber with various rate and variety long of waste were resolved at 7, 14, and 28 days of relieving. The test outcomes are given in table and displayed in figure. The most extreme compressive strength was gotten for a blend having a 10% Metakaolin with 20% of Glass Fiber of 15.41% increased.

The multi day compressive strength of Glass Fiber concrete was discovered to be high as 38.93Mpa. Which is more than normal concrete and Glass Fiber concrete. Also multi day compressive strength was discovered to be around 44.93 Mpa which is more than that of customary concrete and Glass Fiber concrete.

It has been seen that as the level of Glass Fiber expands the compressive strength increments at first, on additional expansion in its rate decreases its compressive strength.

Aggregates well impacts the pressure of cement by expanding the surface region for hard holding with concrete glue and diminishing high interior pressure focuses.

Flexural strength of cement blends made with and without Glass Fiber with various rate and variety long of waste were resolved at 7, 14, and 28 days of relieving. The test outcomes are given in table and displayed in figure. The most extreme Flexural strength was gotten for a blend having a 10% meta kaolin with10% of Glass Fiber of 21.26% increased.

The multi day compressive strength of Glass Fiber concrete was discovered to be high as 38.93Mpa. Which is more than normal concrete and Glass Fiber concrete . Also multi day compressive strength was discovered to be around 44.93 Mpa which is more than that of customary concrete and Glass Fiber concrete. From the above focuses it tends to be reasoned that Glass Fiber is exceptionally compelling for further developing the strength qualities, breaking and functionality of the substantial. Accordingly the presentation of the substantial will be improved if appropriate plan and development philosophy is embraced.

VII. FUTURE SCOPE

For additional investigation the accompanying test work can be completed:

The long haul conduct of cement with Metakaolin and Glass Fiber ought to be contemplated and its similarity with building up Glass Fiber ought to be broke down later on.

It is likewise proposed to do realistic assessments of substantial examples with glass decline totals to get knowledge of the genuine conduct of cement. The connection between entrained air and caught air in cement ought to be contemplated.

Due to presence of certain salts and hefty metals in the Glass Fiber decline totals, filtering tests ought to be completed to affirm its eco-accommodating similarity.

Similar tests can be led on other sort of fiber support material like polyester, natural fiber, regular filaments, various sorts and sizes of steel strands and so forth

The impact of Glass Fiber denies in mix with lime, fly ash, silica vapor, and so on can be examined.

Other properties like split elastic test, malleability, and so forth can be contemplated and so on might be read for built up concrete.

Durability parts of Glass Fiber decline supported cement

REFERENCES

- Duxson, P., Provis, J.L., Lukey, G.C., Mallicoat, S.W., Kriven, W.M., van Deventer, J.S.J.: Understanding the relationship between geopolymer composition, microstructure and mechanical properties, Colloid. Surface. A, 269, 47 – 58, (2005). doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2005.06.060
- [2] Görhan, G., Aslaner, R., Sinik, O.: The effect of curing on the properties of metakaolin and fly ash-based geopolymer paste, Compos. Part B-Eng., 97, 329 – 335, (2016).
- [3] Hussain, M., Varely, R., Cheng, Y.B., Mathys, Z., Simon, G.P.: Synthesis and thermal behavior of inorganic-organic hybrid geopolymer composites, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 96, 112 – 121, (2005).
- [4] Heah, C.Y., Kamarudin, H., Mustafa Al Bakri, A.M., Binhussain, M., Luqman, M., Khairul Nizar, I., Ruzaid, C.M., Liew, Y.M.: Effect of curing profile on Kaolin-based geopolymers, Physcs. Proc., 22, 305 – 311, (2011).
- [5] Davidovits, J.: 30 years of success and failures in geopolymer applications – market trends and potential breakthroughs. In: Geopolymer 2002 Conference Keynotes, October 28 – 29, Melbourne, Australia, 1 – 15, 2002. 6 Kriven, W.M.: Inorganic polysialates.



- [6] K.Chandramouli, Srinivasa Rao ,N. Pannirselvam, T.Seshadri Sekhar and P. Sravana, "strength properties of glass fiber concrete ",ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, vol. 5, no. 4, (2010) pp.1-5.
- [7] Mohamed Osmani and Asokan Pappu, Concrete Research Letters," an assessment of the compressive strength of glass reinforced plastic waste filled concrete for potential applications in construction ", Vol. 1 (2010), pp.1-5.
- [8] IS: 456: 2000, Plain and Reinforced Code of practice

- [9] IS: 10262-2009 (Reaffirmed 2004): Recommended guidelines for concrete mix design, Bureau of Indian standard, New Delhi-2004.
- [10] IS: 383-1970: Specification for Coarse and Fine Aggregates from Natural Sources for Concrete, Bureau of Indian Standard, And New Delhi- 197.
- [11] Gambir, M.L., "Concrete Technology", 2nd edition, Tata mcgraw hill co. Ltd, New Delhi, 1995
- [12] Shetti M S "Concrete Technology-Theory and Practices", schand publications, New Delhi